



Article Some H-Godunova–Levin Function Inequalities Using Center Radius (Cr) Order Relation

Waqar Afzal ¹, Mujahid Abbas ¹, Jorge E. Macías-Díaz ^{2,3,*} and Savin Treanță ^{4,5,6}

- ¹ Department of Mathemtics, Government College University Lahore (GCUL), Lahore 54000, Pakistan
- ² Departamento de Matemáticas y Física, Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes, Avenida Universidad 940, Ciudad Universitaria, Aguascalientes 20131, Mexico
- ³ Department of Mathematics, School of Digital Technologies, Tallinn University, Narva Rd. 25, 10120 Tallinn, Estonia
- ⁴ Department of Applied Mathematics, University Politehnica of Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania
- ⁵ Academy of Romanian Scientists, 54 Splaiul Independentei, 050094 Bucharest, Romania
- ⁶ Fundamental Sciences Applied in Engineering-Research Center (SFAI), University Politehnica of Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania
- * Correspondence: jemacias@correo.uaa.mx

Abstract: Interval analysis distinguishes between different types of order relations. As a result of these order relations, convexity and nonconvexity contribute to different kinds of inequalities. Despite this, convex theory is commonly known to rely on Godunova–Levin functions because their properties make it more efficient for determining inequality terms than convex ones. The purpose of this study is to introduce the notion of cr-*h*-Godunova–Levin functions by using total order relation between two intervals. Considering their properties and widespread use, center-radius order relation appears to be ideally suited for the study of inequalities. In this paper, various types of inequalities are introduced using center-radius order (cr) relation. The cr-order relation enables us firstly to derive some Hermite–Hadamard ($\mathcal{H}.\mathcal{H}$) inequalities, and then to present Jensen-type inequality for *h*-Godunova–Levin interval-valued functions (GL- \mathcal{IVFS}) using a Riemann integral operator. This kind of convexity unifies several new and well-known convex functions. Additionally, the study includes useful examples to support its findings. These results confirm that this new concept is useful for addressing a wide range of inequalities. We hope that our results will encourage future research into fractional versions of these inequalities and optimization problems associated with them.

Keywords: Jensen inequality; Hermite–Hadamard inequality; Godunova–Levin function; cr-order relation; interval-valued function

1. Introduction

The results of uncertainty problems in real life may be invalid if a specific number is used for describing the results. This is why avoiding such errors and getting effective results is so important. Interval analysis was first applied to automatic error analysis by Moore [1] in 1969 for resulting in an improvement of calculation results and attracting the attention of many researchers. In interval analysis, interval numbers are used as variables, and interval operations are used instead of numbers. The interval is widely used in uncertain problems because it can be expressed as an uncertain variable, such as in computer graphics [2], decision-making analysis [3], multi-objective optimization [4], and error analysis [5]. Thus, interval analysis research has produced numerous excellent results, and interested readers can consult Refs. [6–8].

Mathematicians and other scientists have long acknowledged the significance of convexity in fields like economics, probability theory, optimal control theory. In addition, several inequalities have been documented in the literature as well, see Refs. [9–12]. Convexity and inequality have played a crucial role in many disciplines and applications in recent



Citation: Afzal, W.; Abbas, M.; Macías-Díaz, J.E.; Treanță, S. Some H-Godunova-Levin Function Inequalities Using Center Radius (Cr) Order Relation. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 518. https://doi.org/10.3390/ fractalfract6090518

Academic Editor: Mark Edelman

Received: 30 August 2022 Accepted: 12 September 2022 Published: 14 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). decades, which has led to extensive research and application in the field of generalized convexity of interval-valued functions, see Refs. [13–18]. Here are a few recent applications related to these inequalities, see Refs. [19–22]. The continuity of interval-valued functions is described by Breckner [23], who defined (A, s)-convex and (A, s)-concave mapping. Moreover, some other inequalities concerning \mathcal{IVFS} have been established in the last decade. In the context of \mathcal{IVFS} , Chalco-Cano et al. [24], derived some Ostrowski inequalities using the generalized Hukuhara derivative. Inequalities of Opial type were established for generalized Hukuhara differentiable \mathcal{IVFS} by Costa et al. [25]. Matkowski et al. [26], established the interval version of Jensen inequality. It was Zhao [27] and his co-authors who first established the $\mathcal{H}.\mathcal{H}$ and Jensen inequality by using h-convexity for \mathcal{IVFS} . Generally, a classical Hermite–Hadamard inequality is defined as the following:

$$\frac{\eta(t)+\eta(u)}{2} \ge \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(v) dv \ge \eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right). \tag{1}$$

where $\eta : K \subseteq R \to R$ is a convex function on K with v < w such that $v, w \in K$. Due to the fact that the Hermite–Hadamard inequality is the first geometrical interpretation of convex mappings in elementary mathematics, this inequality has attracted a lot of attention. The following are some generalizations and extensions of this inequality, see Refs. [28-31]. First, h-convex was developed by Varoşanec [32], in 2007. Hermite-Hadamard-based inequalities have been developed by several authors using h-convex functions, see Refs. [33–36]. Currently, these results are based on inclusion relations and interval LU-order relations, which are flawed. The inequalities obtained by using these old partial order relations are less precise than those obtained by using center-radius order relation, which can be verified by comparing the examples defined in this literature. Furthermore, we observe that, in examples, the interval difference between endpoints is much closer than for other convexity classes. In light of this, it is of great importance to be able to use a total order relation to study the convexity and inequalities of \mathcal{IVFS} . As a result, we will focus the whole paper on Bhunia et al. [37], total interval order relation that is, cr-order. In 2020, Rahman [38], defined cr-convex functions and used cr-order to study nonlinear constrained optimization problems. Using the notions of cr-convexity and cr-order relation, Wei Liu and his co-authors developed a refined version of $\mathcal{H}.\mathcal{H}$ and Jensen-type inequalities in 2022, see Refs. [39,40].

Theorem 1 (See [39]). Let $\eta : [t, u] \to R_I^+$. Consider $h : (0, 1) \to R^+$ and $h(\frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$. If $\eta \in SX(cr-h, [t, u], R_I^+)$ and $\eta \in IR_{[t,u]}$, then

$$\frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(\nu)d\nu \preceq_{cr} \left[\eta(t)+\eta(u)\right] \int_0^1 h(x)dx.$$
(2)

By using cr-convexity, a Jensen-type inequality is also proven.

Theorem 2 (See [39]). Let $d_i \in R^+$, $z_i \in [t, u]$ with $k \ge 2$. If h is super multiplicative nonnegative function and $\eta \in SX(cr-h, [t, u], R_I^+)$, then the inequality become as :

$$\eta\left(\frac{1}{D_k}\sum_{i=1}^k d_i z_i\right) \preceq_{cr} \sum_{i=1}^k h\left(\frac{d_i}{D_k}\right) \eta(z_i),\tag{3}$$

Based on the h-GL function, Ohud Almutairi and Adem Kiliman proved the following result in 2019, see Ref. [35].

Theorem 3. Let $\eta : [t, u] \to R$. If η is h-Godunova–Levin function and $h(\frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{h(\frac{1}{2})}{2}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{u-t} \int_{t}^{u} \eta(\nu)d\nu \le \left[\eta(t) + \eta(u)\right] \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dx}{h(x)}.$$
(4)

from classical interval-valued analysis. To calculate intervals, we use the centre and radius concept given as $t_c = \frac{t+\bar{t}}{2}$ and $t_r = \frac{\bar{t}-\underline{t}}{2}$, respectively, where \bar{t} and \underline{t} are endpoints of interval t.

Inspired by Refs. [35,37,39,40], we introduce a novel class of convexity by using centreradius order relation for IVFS which are known as cr-h-GL functions. First, we derived new variants of H.H inequality, then we represented the Jensen inequality by using this new class. Additionally, the study includes useful examples to support its findings.

Lastly, the structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, preliminary information is provided. The key problems are described in Section 3. There is a conclusion at the end of Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

For the notions which are used in this paper and are not defined here, see Refs. [39,40]. The space of intervals is denoted by the following R_I of R. In addition, the collection of all positive intervals can be represented by R_I^+ . For $\nu \in R$, the Minkowski addition and scalar multiplication are defined by

$$t + u = [\underline{t}, \overline{t}] + [\underline{u}, \overline{u}] = [\underline{t} + \underline{u}, \overline{t} + \overline{u}];$$
$$vt = v.[\underline{t}, \overline{t}] = \begin{cases} [v\underline{t}, \mu\overline{t}], & \text{if } v > 0, \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } v = 0, \\ [v\overline{t}, v\underline{t}], & \text{if } v < 0, \end{cases}$$

respectively.

Let $t = [\underline{t}, \overline{t}] \in R_I$, $t_c = \frac{t+\overline{t}}{2}$ is center while $t_r = \frac{\overline{t}-\underline{t}}{2}$ is known as radius of t. Centerradius form of interval t is represented by

$$t=\left(\frac{\underline{t}+\overline{t}}{2},\frac{\overline{t}-\underline{t}}{2}\right)=(t_c,t_r).$$

Here is the definition of order relation for radii and centers.

Definition 1 (See [37]). Consider $t = [t, \overline{t}] = (t_c, t_r)$, $u = [\underline{u}, \overline{u}] = (u_c, u_r) \in R_I$, then centreradius order (In short cr-order) relation is defined as

$$t \preceq_{cr} u \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} t_c < u_c, t_c \neq u_c \\ \\ t_c \leq u_c, t_c = u_c \end{cases}$$

In addition, we describe the concept of Riemann integrable (In short *IR*) as it pertains to interval-valued functions in [41].

Theorem 4 (See [41]). Let $\eta : [t, u] \to R_I$ be \mathcal{IVF} given by $\eta(v) = [\underline{\eta}(v), \overline{\eta}(v)]$ for each $v \in [t, u]$ and $\eta, \overline{\eta}$ are IR over interval [t, u]. In that case, we would say that our function η is IR over interval $[\overline{t}, u]$, and

$$\int_t^u \eta(\nu) d\nu = \bigg[\int_t^u \underline{\eta}(\nu) d\nu, \int_t^u \overline{\eta}(\nu) d\nu \bigg].$$

Assign $IR_{[t,u]}$, to all Riemann integrables (*IR*) IVFS over the interval.

Theorem 5 (See [39]). Let $\eta, \zeta : [t, u] \to R_I^+$ given by $\eta = [\underline{\eta}, \overline{\eta}]$, and $\zeta = [\underline{\zeta}, \overline{\zeta}]$. If $\eta, \zeta \in IR_{[t,u]}$, and $\eta(\nu) \preceq_{cr} \zeta(\nu), \forall \nu \in [t, u]$, then

$$\int_t^u \eta(\nu) d\nu \preceq_{cr} \int_t^u \zeta(\nu) d\nu.$$

For a more detailed explanation of interval analysis notations, see Refs. [41].

Definition 2 (See [39]). Consider $h : [0,1] \rightarrow R^+$. We say that $\eta : [t,u] \rightarrow R^+$ is known *h*-convex function, or that $\eta \in SX(h, [t, u], R^+)$, if $\forall t_1, u_1 \in [t, u]$ and $v \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\eta(\nu t_1 + (1 - \nu)u_1) \le h(\nu)\eta(t_1) + h(1 - \nu)\eta(u_1).$$
(5)

If in (5) \leq replaced with \geq it is referred to as h-concave function or $\eta \in SV(h, [t, u], R^+)$.

Definition 3 (See [39]). Consider $h : (0,1) \to R^+$. We say that $\eta : [t, u] \to R^+$ is known as *h*-GL function, or that $\eta \in SGX(h, [t, u], R^+)$, if $\forall t_1, u_1 \in [t, u]$ and $v \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\eta(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) \le \frac{\eta(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)}.$$
(6)

If in (6) \leq replaced with \geq it is referred to as h-Godunova–Levin concave function or $\eta \in$ SGV(h, [t, u], R⁺).

Now let's introduce the interval-valued function concept for cr-convexity.

Definition 4 (See [39]). Consider $h : [0,1] \to R^+$. We say that $\eta = [\underline{\eta}, \overline{\eta}] : [t, u] \to R_I^+$ is called *cr-h-convex function, or that* $\eta \in SX(cr-h, [t, u], R_I^+)$, *if* $\forall t_1, u_1 \in [t, u]$ and $v \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\eta(\nu t_1 + (1 - \nu)u_1) \preceq_{cr} h(\nu)\eta(t_1) + h(1 - \nu)\eta(u_1).$$
(7)

If in (7) \leq *replaced with* \geq *it is referred to as cr-h-concave function or* $\eta \in SV(cr-h, [t, u], R_I^+)$ *.*

Definition 5 (See [39]). Consider $h : (0,1) \to R^+$. We say that $\eta = [\underline{\eta}, \overline{\eta}] : [t, u] \to R_I^+$ is called *cr*-h-Godunova–Levin convex function, or that $\eta \in SGX(cr-h, [t, u], R_I^+)$, if $\forall t_1, u_1 \in [t, u]$ and $\nu \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\eta(\nu t_1 + (1 - \nu)u_1) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta(u_1)}{h(1 - \nu)}.$$
(8)

If in (2) \leq replaced with \geq it is referred to as cr-h-Godunova–Levin concave function or $\eta \in$ SGV(cr-h, [t, u], R_1^+).

Remark 1. • If h(v) = 1, Definition 5 becomes a cr-P-function [39].

- If $h(v) = \frac{1}{h(v)}$, Definition 5 becomes a cr h-convex function [39].
- If h(v) = v, Definition 5 becomes a cr-Godunova–Levin function [39].
- If $h(v) = \frac{1}{v^s}$, Definition 5 becomes a cr-s-convex function [39].
- If $h(v) = v^s$, Definition 5 becomes a cr-s-GL function [39].

3. Main Results

Proposition 1. Consider $h_1, h_2 : (0, 1) \rightarrow R^+$ be non-negative functions and

$$\frac{1}{h_2} \le \frac{1}{h_1}, \nu \in (0,1).$$

If
$$\eta \in SGX(cr-h_2, [t, u], R_I^+)$$
, then $\eta \in SGX(cr-h_1, [t, u], R_I^+)$.

Proof. Since $\eta \in SGX(cr-h_2, [t, u], R_I^+)$, then for all $t_1, u_1 \in [t, u], v \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\eta(\nu t_1 + (1 - \nu)u_1) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta(t_1)}{h_2(\nu)} + \frac{\eta(u_1)}{h_2(1 - \nu)}$$
$$\preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta(t_1)}{h_1(\nu)} + \frac{\eta(u_1)}{h_1(1 - \nu)}.$$

Hence, $\eta \in SGX(cr-h_1, [t, u], R_I^+)$. \Box

Proposition 2. Let $\eta : [t, u] \to R_I$ given by $[\underline{\eta}, \overline{\eta}] = (\eta_c, \eta_r)$. If η_c and η_r are h-GL over [t, u], then η is known as cr-h-GL function over [t, u].

Proof. Since η_c and η_r are h-GL over [t, u], then for each $v \in (0, 1)$ and for all $t_1, u_1 \in [t, u]$, we have

$$\eta_c(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta_c(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta_c(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)},$$

and

$$\eta_r(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta_r(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta_r(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)}$$

Now, if

$$\eta_c(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) \neq \frac{\eta_c(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta_c(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)},$$

then for each $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and for all $t_1, u_1 \in [t, u]$,

$$\eta_c(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) < \frac{\eta_c(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta_c(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)},$$

Accordingly,

$$\eta_c(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta_c(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta_c(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)}.$$

Otherwise, for each $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and for all $t_1, u_1 \in [t, u]$,

$$\eta_r(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) \le \frac{\eta_r(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta_r(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)} \Rightarrow \eta(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)}.$$

Taking all of the above into account, and Definition 1 this can be written as

$$\eta(\nu t_1 + (1-\nu)u_1) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta(t_1)}{h(\nu)} + \frac{\eta(u_1)}{h(1-\nu)}$$

for each $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and for all $t_1, u_1 \in [t, u]$. This completes the proof. \Box

This section establishes $\mathcal{H}.\mathcal{H}$ inequalities for cr-h-GL functions.

Theorem 6. Consider $h: (0,1) \to R^+$ and $h(\frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$. Let $\eta: [t,u] \to R_I^+$, if $\eta \in SGX(cr-h, [t,u], R_I^+)$ and $\eta \in IR_{[t,u]}$, we have

$$\frac{h(\frac{1}{2})}{2}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(\nu)d\nu \preceq_{cr} \left[\eta(t)+\eta(u)\right] \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)}dx$$

Proof. Since $\eta \in SGX(\text{cr-h}, [t, u], R_I^+)$, we have

$$h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \eta(xt+(1-x)u) + \eta((1-x)t+xu)$$

Integration over (0,1), we have

$$h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \eta(xt+(1-x)u)dx + \int_{0}^{1} \eta((1-x)t+xu)dx\right]$$

$$= \left[\int_{0}^{1} \underline{\eta}(xt+(1-x)u)dx + \int_{0}^{1} \underline{\eta}((1-x)t+xu)dx, \int_{0}^{1} \overline{\eta}(xt+(1-x)u)dx + \int_{0}^{1} \overline{\eta}((1-x)t+xu)dx\right]$$

$$= \left[\frac{2}{u-t}\int_{t}^{u} \underline{\eta}(v)dv, \frac{2}{u-t}\int_{t}^{u} \overline{\eta}(v)dv\right]$$

$$= \frac{2}{u-t}\int_{t}^{u} \eta(v)dv.$$
(9)

By Definition 5, we have

$$\eta(xt + (1-x)u) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta(t)}{h(x)} + \frac{\eta(u)}{h(1-x)}$$

With integration over (0,1), we have

$$\int_0^1 \eta(xt + (1-x)u) dx \preceq_{cr} \eta(t) \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)} + \eta(u) \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(1-x)}$$

Accordingly,

$$\frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(\nu) d\nu \preceq_{cr} \left[\eta(t) + \eta(u)\right] \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)}$$
(10)

Now, combining (9) and (10), we get required result

$$\frac{h(\frac{1}{2})}{2}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(\nu)d\nu \preceq_{cr} \left[\eta(t)+\eta(u)\right] \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)}$$

Remark 2. • If h(x) = 1, Theorem 6 becomes result for cr- *P*-functions:

$$\frac{1}{2}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(v) dv \preceq_{cr} [\eta(t)+\eta(u)].$$

• If $h(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, Theorem 6 becomes result for cr-convex functions:

$$\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(v) dv \preceq_{cr} \frac{[\eta(t)+\eta(u)]}{2}$$

• If $h(x) = \frac{1}{(x)^s}$, Theorem 6 becomes result for cr-s-convex function:

$$2^{s-1}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(v) dv \preceq_{cr} \frac{[\eta(t)+\eta(u)]}{s+1}.$$

Example 1. Let
$$[t, u] = [0, 1], h(x) = \frac{1}{x}, \forall x \in (0, 1). \eta : [t, u] \to R_I^+$$
 is defined as
$$\eta(v) = [-v^2 + 1, 2v^2 + 2].$$

where

$$\frac{h(\frac{1}{2})}{2}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) = \eta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{2}\end{bmatrix},$$
$$\frac{1}{u-t}\int_{t}^{u}\eta(v)dv = \begin{bmatrix}\int_{0}^{1}(-v^{2}+1)dv, \int_{0}^{1}(2v^{2}+2)dv\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\frac{2}{3}, \frac{8}{3}\end{bmatrix},$$
$$[\eta(t) + \eta(u)]\int_{0}^{1}\frac{dx}{h(x)} = \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}, 3\end{bmatrix}.$$
As a result,
$$\begin{bmatrix}\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{2}\end{bmatrix} \preceq_{cr}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{2}{3}, \frac{8}{3}\end{bmatrix} \preceq_{cr}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}, 3\end{bmatrix}.$$

This proves the above theorem.

Theorem 7. Consider $h : (0,1) \to R^+$ and $h(\frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$. Let $\eta : [t,u] \to R_I^+$, if $\eta \in SGX(cr-h, [t,u], R_I^+)$ and $\eta \in IR_{[t,u]}$, we have

$$\frac{\left[h(\frac{1}{2})\right]^2}{4}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \bigtriangleup_1 \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(v)dv \preceq_{cr} \bigtriangleup_2$$
$$\preceq_{cr} \left\{ \left[\eta(t) + \eta(u)\right] \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})}\right] \right\} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)},$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{[h(\frac{1}{2})]}{4} \Big[\eta \Big(\frac{3t+u}{4} \Big) + \eta \Big(\frac{3u+t}{4} \Big) \Big],$$

$$\Delta_2 = \Big[\eta \Big(\frac{t+u}{2} \Big) + \frac{\eta(t)+\eta(u)}{2} \Big) \Big] \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)}.$$

Proof. Consider $[t, \frac{t+u}{2}]$, we have

$$\eta\left(\frac{t+\frac{t+u}{2}}{2}\right) = \eta\left(\frac{3t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta\left(xt+(1-x)\frac{t+u}{2}\right)}{h(\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\eta\left((1-x)t+x\frac{t+u}{2}\right)}{h(\frac{1}{2})}$$

Integration over (0,1), we have

$$\begin{split} \eta\Big(\frac{3t+u}{2}\Big) &\preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \Bigg[\int_0^1 \eta(xt+(1-x)\frac{t+u}{2})dx + \int_0^1 \eta(x\frac{t+u}{2}+(1-x)u)dx \Bigg] \\ &= \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \Big[\frac{2}{u-t} \int_u^{\frac{t+u}{2}} \eta(v)dv + \frac{2}{u-t} \int_u^{\frac{t+u}{2}} \eta(v)dv \Big] \\ &= \frac{4}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \Big[\frac{1}{w-v} \int_v^{\frac{v+w}{2}} \varphi(\mu)d\mu \Big]. \end{split}$$

Accordingly,

$$\frac{[h(\frac{1}{2})]}{4}\eta\left(\frac{3t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_{t}^{\frac{t+u}{2}} \eta(\nu)d\nu.$$
(11)

Similarly for interval $\left[\frac{t+u}{2}, u\right]$, we have

$$\frac{[h(\frac{1}{2})]}{4}\eta\left(\frac{3u+t}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_{t}^{\frac{t+u}{2}} \eta(\nu)d\nu.$$
(12)

Adding inequalities (11) and (12), we get

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{\left[h(\frac{1}{2})\right]}{4} \left[\eta\left(\frac{3t+u}{4}\right) + \eta\left(\frac{3u+t}{4}\right)\right] \preceq_{cr} \left[\frac{1}{u-t}\int_t^u \eta(v)dv\right].$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \frac{\left[h(\frac{1}{2})\right]^2}{4} \eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\left[h(\frac{1}{2})\right]^2}{4} \eta\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3t+u}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3u+t}{4}\right)\right) \\ &\preceq_{cr} \frac{\left[h(\frac{1}{2})\right]^2}{4} \left[\frac{\eta\left(\frac{3t+u}{4}\right)}{h(\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\eta\left(\frac{3u+t}{4}\right)}{h(\frac{1}{2})}\right] \\ &= \frac{\left[h(\frac{1}{2})\right]}{4} \left[\eta\left(\frac{3t+u}{4}\right) + \eta\left(\frac{3u+t}{4}\right)\right] \\ &= \Delta_1 \\ &\preceq_{cr} \frac{\left[h(\frac{1}{2})\right]}{4} \left\{\frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\eta(t) + \eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\eta(u) + \eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right)\right]\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\eta(t) + \eta(u)}{2} + \eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right)\right] \\ &\preceq_{cr} \left[\frac{\eta(t) + \eta(u)}{2} + \eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right)\right] \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)} \\ &= \Delta_2 \\ &\preceq_{cr} \left[\frac{\eta(t) + \eta(u)}{2} + \frac{\eta(t)}{h(\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\eta(u)}{h(\frac{1}{2})}\right] \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)} \\ &\preceq_{cr} \left[\frac{\eta(t) + \eta(u)}{2} + \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\eta(t) + \eta(u)\right]\right] \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)} \\ &\preceq_{cr} \left[\frac{\eta(t) + \eta(u)}{2} + \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\eta(t) + \eta(u)\right]\right] \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)} \\ &\preceq_{cr} \left[\eta(t) + \eta(u)\right] \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})}\right] \right\} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)}. \end{split}$$

Example 2. *Thanks to Example 1, we have*

$$\frac{\left[h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^2}{4}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) = \eta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{2}\right],$$
$$\bigtriangleup_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\eta\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \eta\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\right] = \left[\frac{11}{16}, \frac{21}{8}\right],$$
$$\bigtriangleup_2 = \left[\frac{\eta(0) + \eta(1)}{2} + \eta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h(x)},$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix} \preceq_{cr} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{11}{16}, \frac{21}{8} \end{bmatrix} \preceq_{cr} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3}, \frac{8}{3} \end{bmatrix} \preceq_{cr} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{8}, \frac{11}{4} \end{bmatrix} \preceq_{cr} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

This proves the above theorem.

Theorem 8. Let $\eta, \zeta : [t, u] \to R_I^+, h_1, h_2 : (0, 1) \to R^+$ such that $h_1, h_2 \neq 0$. If $\eta \in SGX$ $(cr-h_1, [t, u], R_I^+), \zeta \in SGX(cr-h_2, [t, u], R_I^+)$ and $\eta, \zeta \in IR_{[v,w]}$ then, we have

$$\frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(v)\zeta(v)dv \preceq_{cr} M(t,u) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(x)}dx + N(t,u) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(1-x)}dx$$

where

$$M(v,w) = \eta(t)\zeta(t) + \eta(u)\zeta(u), N(t,u) = \eta(t)\zeta(u) + \eta(u)\zeta(t).$$

Proof. Conider $\eta \in SGX(cr-h_1, [t, u], R_I^+), \zeta \in SGX(cr-h_2, [t, u], R_I^+)$ then, we have

$$\eta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta(t)}{h_1(x)} + \frac{\eta(u)}{h_1(1-x)},$$

$$\zeta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\zeta(t)}{h_2(x)} + \frac{\zeta(u)}{h_2(1-x)}.$$

Then,

$$\leq_{cr} \frac{\eta(t)\zeta(t)}{h_1(x)h_2(x)} + \frac{\eta(t)\zeta(u)}{h_1(x)h_2(1-x)} + \frac{\eta(u)\zeta(t)}{h_1(1-x)h_2(x)} + \frac{\eta(u)\zeta(u)}{h_1(1-x)h_2(1-x)}.$$

n(tr + (1 - r)u) 7(tr + (1 - r)u)

Integration over (0,1), we have

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{u-t}\int_t^u \eta(v)\zeta(v)dv \preceq_{cr} M(t,u)\int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(x)}dx + N(t,u)\int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(1-x)}dx.$$

Theorem is proved. \Box

Then,

$$\frac{1}{u-t} \int_{t}^{u} \eta(v)\zeta(v)dv = \begin{bmatrix} 9\\ 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M(t,u) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{h_{1}(x)h_{2}(x)}dx = M(1,2) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}dx = \begin{bmatrix} -140\\ 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$N(t,u) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{h_{1}(x)h_{2}(1-x)}dx = N(1,2) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}dx = \begin{bmatrix} -42, \frac{224}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that

$$\left[\frac{9}{4}, \frac{103}{6}\right] \preceq_{cr} \left[\frac{-140}{3}, 70\right] + \left[-42, \frac{224}{3}\right] = \left[\frac{-266}{3}, \frac{434}{3}\right].$$

It follows that the theorem above is true.

Theorem 9. Let $\eta, \zeta : [t, u] \to R_I^+, h_1, h_2 : (0, 1) \to R^+$ such that $h_1, h_2 \neq 0$. If $\eta \in SGX(cr-h_1, [t, u], R_I^+), \zeta \in SGX(cr-h_2, [t, u], R_I^+)$ and $\eta, \zeta \in IR_{[v,w]}$ then, we have

$$\frac{h_1(\frac{1}{2})h_2(\frac{1}{2})}{2}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t}\int_t^u \eta(v)\zeta(v)d\mu$$
$$+M(t,u)\int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(x)}dx + N(t,u)\int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(1-x)}dx$$

Proof. Since $\eta \in SGX(cr-h_1, [t, u], R_I^+), \zeta \in SGX(cr-h_2, [t, u], R_I^+)$, we have

$$\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\eta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right)}{h_1(\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\eta\left(t(1-x)+xu\right)}{h_1(\frac{1}{2})},$$
$$\zeta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{\zeta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right)}{h_2(\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\zeta\left(t(1-x)+xu\right)}{h_2(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \\ \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{h_{1}(\frac{1}{2})h_{2}(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\eta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right)\zeta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right)+\eta\left(t(1-x)+xu\right)\zeta\left(t(1-x)+xu\right)\right)\right] \\ + \frac{1}{h_{1}(\frac{1}{2})h_{2}(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\eta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right)\zeta\left(t(1-x)+xu\right)+\eta\left(t(1-x)+xu\right)\zeta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right)\right)\right] \\ \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{h_{1}(\frac{1}{2})h_{2}(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\eta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right)\zeta\left(tx+(1-x)u\right)+\eta\left(t(1-x)+(xu)\zeta\left(t(1-x)+xu\right)\right)\right] \\ + \frac{1}{h_{1}(\frac{1}{2})h_{2}(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\left(\frac{\eta(t)}{h_{1}(x)}+\frac{\eta(u)}{h_{1}(1-x)}\right)\left(\frac{\zeta(u)}{h_{2}(1-x)}+\frac{\zeta(u)}{h_{2}(x)}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} + & \left(\frac{\eta(t)}{h_1(1-x)} + \frac{\eta(u)}{h_1(x)}\right) \left(\frac{\zeta(t)}{h_2(x)} + \frac{\zeta(u)}{h_2(1-x)}\right) \right] \\ \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{h_1(\frac{1}{2})h_2(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\eta \left(tx + (1-x)u \right) \zeta \left(tx + (1-x)u \right) + \eta \left(t(1-x) + ux \right) \zeta \left(t(1-x) + ux \right) \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{h_1(\frac{1}{2})h_2(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(1-x)} + \frac{1}{h_1(1-x)h_2(x)} \right) M(t,u) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(x)} + \frac{1}{h_1(1-x)h_2(1-x)} \right) N(t,u) \right]. \end{split}$$

Integration over (0, 1), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1} \eta \left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \zeta \left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) dx &= \left[\int_{0}^{1} \underline{\eta} \left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \underline{\zeta} \left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) dx, \int_{0}^{1} \overline{\eta} \left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \overline{\zeta} \left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) dx \right] \\ &= \eta \left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \zeta \left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) dx \preceq_{cr} \frac{2}{h_{1}(\frac{1}{2})h_{2}(\frac{1}{2})} \left[\frac{1}{u-t} \int_{t}^{u} \eta(v) \zeta(v) dv \right] \\ &+ \frac{2}{h(\frac{1}{2})h(\frac{1}{2})} \left[M(t,u) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{h_{1}(x)h_{2}(1-x)} dx + N(t,u) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{h_{1}(x)h_{2}(x)} dx \right] \end{split}$$

Multiply both sides by $\frac{h_1(\frac{1}{2})h_2(\frac{1}{2})}{2}$ above equation, we get required result

$$\frac{h_1(\frac{1}{2})h_2(\frac{1}{2})}{2}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) \preceq_{cr} \frac{1}{u-t} \int_t^u \eta(v)\zeta(v)dv$$
$$+M(t,u) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(1-x)}dx + N(t,u) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(x)}dx.$$

As a result, the proof is complete. \Box

Example 4. Let $[t, u] = [1, 2], h_1(x) = h_2(x) = \frac{1}{x}, \forall x \in (0, 1). \ \eta, \zeta : [t, u] \to R_I^+$ be defined as $\eta(v) = [-v^2 + 1, 2v^2 + 2], \zeta(v) = [-v, v + 1].$

Then,

$$\frac{h_1(\frac{1}{2})h_2(\frac{1}{2})}{2}\eta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{t+u}{2}\right) = 2\eta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}-78\\4,130\end{bmatrix},$$
$$\frac{1}{u-t}\int_t^u \eta(v)\zeta(v)dv = \begin{bmatrix}9\\4,\frac{103}{6}\end{bmatrix},$$
$$M(t,u)\int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(1-x)}dx = M(1,2)\int_0^1 x^2 dx = \begin{bmatrix}-140\\3,70\end{bmatrix},$$
$$N(t,u)\int_0^1 \frac{1}{h_1(x)h_2(x)}dx = N(1,2)\int_0^1 x^2 dx = \begin{bmatrix}-42,\frac{224}{3}\end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\left[\frac{-78}{4}, 130\right] \preceq_{cr} \left[\frac{9}{4}, \frac{103}{6}\right] + \left[\frac{-140}{3}, 70\right] + \left[-42, \frac{224}{3}\right] = \left[\frac{-1037}{12}, \frac{971}{6}\right]$$

This proves the above theorem.

4. Jensen-Type Inequality

This section establishes cr-h-GL version of Jensen-type inequality.

Theorem 10. Let $d_i \in R^+$, $z_i \in [t, u]$ with $k \ge 2$. If h is non-negative and super multiplicative function or $\eta \in SGX(cr-h, [t, u], R_I^+)$. Then the inequality become as :

$$\eta\left(\frac{1}{D_k}\sum_{i=1}^k d_i z_i\right) \preceq_{cr} \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{\eta(z_i)}{h\left(\frac{d_i}{D_k}\right)}\right],\tag{13}$$

where $D_k = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i$.

Proof. When k = 2, inequality (13) holds. Assume that inequality (13) is also true for k - 1, then

$$\begin{split} \eta\left(\frac{1}{D_k}\sum_{i=1}^k d_i z_i\right) &= \eta\left(\frac{d_k}{D_k}z_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{d_i}{D_k}z_i\right) \\ &= \eta\left(\frac{d_k}{D_k}z_k + \frac{D_{k-1}}{D_k}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{d_i}{D_{k-1}}z_i\right) \\ &\preceq_{cr}\frac{\eta(z_k)}{h\left(\frac{d_k}{D_k}\right)} + \frac{\eta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{d_i}{D_{k-1}}z_i\right)}{h\left(\frac{D_{k-1}}{D_k}\right)} \\ &\preceq_{cr}\frac{\eta(z_k)}{h\left(\frac{d_k}{D_k}\right)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left[\frac{\eta(z_i)}{h\left(\frac{d_i}{D_{k-1}}\right)}\right]\frac{1}{h\left(\frac{D_{k-1}}{D_k}\right)} \\ &\preceq_{cr}\frac{\eta(z_k)}{h\left(\frac{d_k}{D_k}\right)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left[\frac{\eta(z_i)}{h\left(\frac{d_i}{D_k}\right)}\right] \\ &\preceq_{cr}\sum_{i=1}^k\left[\frac{\eta(z_i)}{h\left(\frac{d_i}{D_k}\right)}\right]. \end{split}$$

Therefore, the result can be proved by mathematical induction. \Box

Remark 3. • If h(x) = 1, Theorem 10 becomes result for cr-P-functions:

$$\eta\left(\frac{1}{D_k}\sum_{i=1}^k d_i z_i\right) \preceq_{cr} \sum_{i=1}^k \eta(z_i).$$

• If $h(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, Theorem 10 becomes result for cr-convex functions:

$$\eta\left(\frac{1}{D_k}\sum_{i=1}^k d_i z_i\right) \preceq_{cr} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{d_i}{D_k}\eta(z_i).$$

• If $h(x) = \frac{1}{(x)^s}$, Theorem 10 becomes result for cr-s-convex function:

$$\eta\left(\frac{1}{D_k}\sum_{i=1}^k d_i z_i\right) \preceq_{cr} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{d_i}{D_k}\right)^s \eta(z_i).$$

5. Conclusions

In this study, we introduce the h-GL concept for \mathcal{IVFS} using cr-order. The purpose of this concept was to study Jensen and $\mathcal{H}.\mathcal{H}$ inequalities for \mathcal{IVFS} . Recent results developed by Wei Liu [39,40] and Adem Kiliman [35] are generalized in this study. As a further support for our main findings, we provide a few relevant examples. We can explore this topic in the future by determining equivalent inequalities for different types of convexity. A new direction begins to emerge in convex optimization theory under the influence of this concept. As part of our future research, we will be interested in the study of differential equations with intervals and application of cr-h-GL functions to optimize problems using cr-order. It is hoped that other scientists in various scientific disciplines will benefit from this concept.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, W.A.; Formal analysis, W.A.; Funding acquisition, J.E.M.-D.; Investigation, J.E.M.-D.; Methodology, M.A.; Resources, S.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by J.E.M.-D. by the National Council of Science and Technology of Mexico (CONACYT) through grant A1-S-45928.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Moore, R.E. Interval Analysis; Prentice-Hall: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1966.
- 2. Snyder, J.M. Interval analysis for computer graphics. In Proceedings of the 19th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, Chicago, IL, USA, 27–31 July 1992; pp. 121–130.
- 3. Qian, Y.; Liang, J.; Dang, C. Interval ordered information systems. Comput. Math. Appl. 2008, 56, 1994–2009. [CrossRef]
- 4. Bettahalli Kengegowda, D.; Kamidoddi Chowdaiah, S.; Harinahalli Lokesh, G.; Flammini, F. Classification and Merging Techniques to Reduce Brokerage Using Multi-Objective Optimization. *Algorithms* **2022**, *15*, 70. [CrossRef]
- 5. Rothwell, E.J.; Cloud, M.J. Automatic error analysis using intervals. IEEE Trans. Educ. 2011, 55, 9–15. [CrossRef]
- 6. de Weerdt, E.; Chu, Q.P.; Mulder, J.A. Neural network output optimization using interval analysis. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.* 2009, 20, 638–653 [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gao, W.; Song, C.; Tin-Loi, F. Probabilistic interval analysis for structures with uncertainty. *Struct. Saf.* 2010, 32, 191–199. [CrossRef]
- 8. Wang, X.; Wang, L.; Qiu, Z. A feasible implementation procedure for interval analysis method from measurement data. *Appl. Math. Model.* **2014**, *38*, 2377–2397. [CrossRef]
- 9. Xiaoju, Z.; Shabbir, K.; Afzal, W.; Xiao, H.; Lin, D. Hermite–Hadamard and Jensen-Type Inequalities via Riemann Integral Operator for a Generalized Class of Godunova–Levin Functions. *J. Math.* **2022**, 2022, 3830324.
- 10. Faisal, S.; Adil Khan, M.; Khan, T.U.; Saeed, T.; Alshehri, A.M.; Nwaeze, E.R. New "Conticrete" Hermite–Hadamard–Jensen–Mercer Fractional Inequalities. *Symmetry* 2022, 14, 294. [CrossRef]
- 11. Dragomir, S.S. Inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type for functions of selfadjoint operators and matrices. *J. Math. Inequalities* **2017**, *11*, 241–259. [CrossRef]
- 12. Kamenskii, M.; Petrosyan, G.A.R.I.K.; Wen, C.F. An existence result for a periodic boundary value problem of fractional semilinear differential equations in a Banach space. *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.* **2021**, *5*, 155–177.
- 13. Zhao, D.; An, T.; Ye, G.; Torres, D.F. On Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities for harmonical *h*-convex interval-valued functions. *arXiv* **2019**, arXiv:1911.06900.
- 14. Khan, M.B.; Macías-Díaz, J.E.; Treanță, S.; Soliman, M.S.; Zaini, H.G. Hermite–Hadamard Inequalities in Fractional Calculus for Left and Right Harmonically Convex Functions via Interval-Valued Settings. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 178. [CrossRef]
- 15. Afzal, W.; Alb Lupaş, A.; Shabbir, K. Hermite–Hadamard and Jensen-Type Inequalities for Harmonical (h 1, h 2)-Godunova–Levin Interval-Valued Functions. *Mathematics* 2022, 10, 2970. [CrossRef]
- 16. Niculescu, C.P.; Persson, L.E. Old and new on the Hermite-Hadamard inequality. Real Anal. Exch. 2004, 29, 663-686. [CrossRef]
- 17. Abdeljawad, T.; Rashid, S.; Khan, H.; Chu, Y.M. On new fractional integral inequalities for p-convexity within interval-valued functions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 2020, 330. [CrossRef]
- 18. Nwaeze, E.R.; Khan, M.A.; Chu, Y.M. Fractional inclusions of the Hermite–Hadamard type for m-polynomial convex intervalvalued functions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 2020, 507. [CrossRef]
- 19. Nowicka, M.; Witkowski, A. Applications of the Hermite–Hadamard inequality. arXiv 2016, arXiv:1603.07170.
- 20. Mihai, M.V.; Awan, M.U.; Noor, M.A.; Kim, J.K.; Noor, K.I. Hermite–Hadamard inequalities and their applications. J. Inequalities Appl. 2018, 2018, 309. [CrossRef]
- 21. Xiao, L.; Lu, G. A new refinement of Jensen's inequality with applications in information theory. *Open Math.* **2020**, *18*, 1748–1759. [CrossRef]

- Awan, M.U.; Noor, M.A.; Safdar, F.; Islam, A.; Mihai, M.V.; Noor, K.I. Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities with applications. *Miskolc Math. Notes* 2020, 21, 593–614. [CrossRef]
- 23. Breckner, W.W. Continuity of generalized convex and generalized concave set-valued functions. *Rev. D'Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.* **1993**, *22*, 39–51.
- Chalco-Cano, Y.; Flores-Franulič, A.; Román-Flores, H. Ostrowski type inequalities for interval-valued functions using generalized Hukuhara derivative. *Comput. Appl. Math.* 2012, *31*, 457–472.
- Costa, T.M.; Román-Flores, H.; Chalco-Cano, Y. Opial-type inequalities for interval-valued functions. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 2019, 358, 48–63. [CrossRef]
- 26. Matkowski, J.; Nikodem, K. An integral Jensen inequality for convex multifunctions. Results Math. 1994, 26, 348–353. [CrossRef]
- 27. Afzal, W.; Shabbir, K.; Botmart, T. Generalized version of Jensen and Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for interval-valued (h1, h2)-Godunova-Levin functions. *AIMS Math.* **2022**, *7*, 19372–19387. [CrossRef]
- Wu, Y.; Qi, F. Discussions on two integral inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type for convex functions. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 2022, 406, 114049. [CrossRef]
- Macías-Díaz, J.E.; Khan, M.B.; Noor, M.A.; Abd Allah, A.M.; Alghamdi, S.M. Hermite–Hadamard inequalities for generalized convex functions in interval-valued calculus. *AIMS Math.* 2022, 7, 4266–4292. [CrossRef]
- Khan, M.B.; Srivastava, H.M.; Mohammed, P.O.; Nonlaopon, K.; Hamed, Y.S. Some new Jensen, Schur and Hermite–Hadamard inequalities for log convex fuzzy interval-valued functions. *AIMS Math.* 2022, 7, 4338–4358. [CrossRef]
- Khan, M.B.; Noor, M.A.; Shah, N.A.; Abualnaja, K.M.; Botmart, T. Some New Versions of Hermite–Hadamard Integral Inequalities in Fuzzy Fractional Calculus for Generalized Pre-Invex Functions via Fuzzy-Interval-Valued Settings. *Fractal Fract.* 2022, 6, 83. [CrossRef]
- 32. Varošanec, S. On h-convexity. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2007, 326, 303–311. [CrossRef]
- 33. Luo, C.; Wang, H.; Du, T. Fejér–Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities involving generalized h-convexity on fractal sets and their applications. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2020**, 131, 109547. [CrossRef]
- Sun, W. Generalized h-convexity on fractal sets and some generalized Hadamard-type inequalities. *Fractals* 2020, 28, 2050021. [CrossRef]
- 35. Almutairi, O.; Kılıçman, A. Some integral inequalities for h-Godunova–Levin preinvexity. Symmetry 2019, 11, 1500. [CrossRef]
- 36. Ali, S.; Ali, R.S.; Vivas-Cortez, M.; Mubeen, S.; Rahman, G.; Nisar, K.S. Some fractional integral inequalities via *h*-Godunova–Levin preinvex function. *AIMS Math.* 2022, *7*, 13832–13844. [CrossRef]
- 37. Bhunia, A.K.; Samanta, S.S. A study of interval metric and its application in multi-objective optimization with interval objectives. *Comput. Ind. Eng.* **2014**, *74*, 169–178. [CrossRef]
- 38. Rahman, M.S.; Shaikh, A.A.; Bhunia, A.K. Necessary and sufficient optimality conditions for non-linear unconstrained and constrained optimization problem with interval valued objective function. *Comput. Ind. Eng.* **2020**, *147*, 106634. [CrossRef]
- 39. Shi, F.; Ye, G.; Liu, W.; Zhao, D. cr-h-convexity and some inequalities for cr-h-convex function. *Filomat* 2022, *submitted*.
- Liu, W.; Shi, F.; Ye, G.; Zhao, D. The Properties of Harmonically cr-h-Convex Function and Its Applications. *Mathematics* 2022, 10, 2089. [CrossRef]
- 41. Markov, S. Calculus for interval functions of a real variable. *Computing* **1979**, 22, 325–337. [CrossRef]