



Article

Maximum Principle for Variable-Order Fractional Conformable Differential Equation with a Generalized Tempered Fractional Laplace Operator

Tingting Guan and Lihong Zhang *

School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shanxi Normal University, Taiyuan 030031, China; guantingting1985@163.com

* Correspondence: zhanglihongsj@sxnu.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-18935042188

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate properties of solutions to a space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator by using the maximum principle. We first establish some new important fractional various-order conformable inequalities. With these inequalities, we prove a new maximum principle with space-time fractional variable-order conformable derivatives and a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator. Moreover, we discuss some results about comparison principles and properties of solutions for a family of space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equations with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator by maximum principle.

Keywords: maximum principle; fractional variable-order conformable derivative; generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator; uniqueness and continuous dependence



Citation: Guan, T.; Zhang, L. Maximum Principle for Variable-Order Fractional Conformable Differential Equation with a Generalized Tempered Fractional Laplace Operator. *Fractal Fract.* **2023**, *7*, 798. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract7110798>

Academic Editor: Carlo Cattani

Received: 22 August 2023

Revised: 19 October 2023

Accepted: 30 October 2023

Published: 1 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Owing to fractional calculus linkage with memory, fractional differential equations have been applied successively to the modeling of physical, chemical, engineer and economics processes. Examples include fluctuations of the external pressure fields in the anomalous diffusion model [1], biological population model [2], process of geographical data [3], the complex dynamics of financial processes [4], etc.

Maximum principle is a useful tool to study fractional partial differential equations (FPDE). By using maximum principle, some important properties of solution without specific expression for FPDE can be indirectly or directly produced. Luchko [5] formulated a maximum principle for a FPDE in an explicit form in 2009. In 2016, Liu, Zeng and Bai [6] proved the maximum principle for FPDE with a space-time multi-term Riesz–Caputo variable-order derivative. They also discussed the uniqueness of solutions for FPDE with space-time multi-term Riesz–Caputo variable-order derivative and continuous dependence of solutions for IBVP. In 2020, Zeng et al. [7] established the space-time multi-term fractional variable-order maximum principles. Applying the maximum principle, they investigated the generalized time-fractional variable-order Caputo diffusion equations and fractional variable-order Riesz–Caputo diffusion equations. For other new developments of the maximum principle, the reader can refer to [8–16] and the references therein.

In 2018, Deng, Li, Tian and Zhang [17] gave the mathematic definition of the tempered fractional Laplace operator. In 2018, Sun, Nie and Deng [18] advanced the finite difference discretization for the tempered fractional Laplace operator by the weighted trapezoidal rule and bilinear interpolation. On this basis, Zhang et al. [19] proposed a new type of generalized tempered fractional p -Laplace operator in 2020. Zhang, Deng and Fan [20] established the finite difference schemes for the tempered fractional Laplacian equation on the generalized Dirichlet type boundary condition. Using the direct method of moving planes, Wang et al. [21] studied parabolic equation with the tempered fractional Laplacian

and logarithmic nonlinearity. Zhang, Deng and Karniadakis [22] presented new computational methods for the tempered fractional Laplacian equation on the homogeneous and nonhomogeneous generalized Dirichlet type boundary conditions. Other new developments of the tempered fractional Laplace operator can be found in [23–31]. The conception and properties of fractional conformable Caputo and Riemann–Liouville derivatives were formulated by Jarad et al. [32]. However, there are few studies on the maximum principle and its application to fractional various-order conformable Caputo derivatives. In fact, the variable-order operator has been applied successively to complex diffusion modeling, such as the processing of geographical data [3], signature verification [33], financial processes [4], etc. In addition, the studies on fractional conformable derivatives did not mention a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator.

Intrigued by past works, in this paper we investigate the following space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator on $(c, d) \times [T, T_1]$:

$$\left({}_T^{\text{C}\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) - \left[\left({}_c^{\text{C}\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) + \left({}_{d, \vartheta}^{\text{C}\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{d, \vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) \right] + (-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w(\vartheta, \theta) - e(\vartheta, \theta)w(\vartheta, \theta) = F(\vartheta, \theta, w). \quad (1)$$

Here, ${}_T^{\text{C}\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)}$ is left fractional variable-order conformable Caputo derivative with respect to the variable ϑ of order $0 < \beta(\vartheta, \theta) < 1$. ${}_c^{\text{C}\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)}$ and ${}_{d, \vartheta}^{\text{C}\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{d, \vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)}$ are left and right fractional variable-order conformable Caputo derivatives (LFVCCD and RFVCCD) to the variable ϑ of order $1 < \sigma(\vartheta, \theta) < 2$, respectively. $(-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator and $e(\vartheta, \theta)$ is a continuous function.

In this paper, we focus our attention on the maximum principle for Equation (1). We emphasize that the introduction of variable-order derivatives and generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator bring the main difficulties to prove our main result, see Theorem 1. To handle these difficulties, we first propose the fractional variable-order conformable derivative and extend the constant-order derivative to the variable-order derivative. Then, we prove the extreme principles of fractional variable-order conformable derivative (see Lemmas 1 and 2). Finally, we prove the maximum principle of a space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator (see Theorem 1). The main result can be stated as follows.

Lemma 1. Let $0 < \epsilon(\vartheta, \theta) < 1, 0 < \beta(\vartheta, \theta) < 1, \forall \vartheta \in [T, T_1]$. If $f \in C_{\epsilon, T}^1([T, T_1])$, $\theta_0 \in (T, T_1]$ is its maximum, then the inequality

$$\left({}_T^{\text{C}\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} f \right) (\theta_0) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta(\vartheta, \theta_0))} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} \right)^{-\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0)} (f(\theta_0) - f(T)) \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

holds.

Lemma 2. Let $0 < \epsilon(\vartheta, \theta) < 1, 1 < \sigma(\vartheta, \theta) < 2, \forall \vartheta \in [c, d]$. If f attains its maximum value at $\vartheta_0 \in (T, T_1]$, then

(1) if $f \in C_{\epsilon, c}^2([c, d])$

$$\left({}_c^{\text{C}\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} f \right) (\vartheta_0) \leq -\frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(c)) \leq 0 \quad (3)$$

(2) if $f \in C_{\epsilon, d}^2([c, d])$

$$\left({}^{C\sigma(\vartheta,\theta)} D_{d,\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f \right) (\vartheta_0) \leq -\frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, t) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(d)) \leq 0 \tag{4}$$

hold.

Theorem 1. (Maximum principle) Assume $F(\vartheta, \theta, w) \leq 0$ and $e(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0, \forall(\vartheta, \theta) \in U$. If $w \in H(\bar{U})$ satisfies the space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator (18), then

$$w(\vartheta, \theta) \leq \max\left\{ \max_{\vartheta \in [c, d]} \phi(\vartheta), \max_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} g_1(\theta), \max_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} g_2(\theta), 0 \right\}, \quad \forall(\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U} \tag{5}$$

holds.

The remainder of this paper is as follows: Some definitions are given in Section 2. The main results are derived and proved in Section 3. In Section 4, the maximum principles are utilized to gain the comparison principle, the uniqueness and continuous dependence of solution of space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator.

2. Some Definitions

In this section, the definitions of fractional variable-order conformable Caputo derivatives and generalized tempered fractional p -Laplace operator are given.

First, we shall give the definitions of fractional variable-order conformable Caputo derivatives.

Definition 1. Let $\epsilon : [c, d] \times [T, T_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ and $\sigma : [c, d] \times [T, T_1] \rightarrow (m - 1, m)$.

(1) If $f \in C_{\epsilon,c}^m([c, d])$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the definition of LJVCCD on variable-order $\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{C\sigma(\vartheta,\theta)} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f(\vartheta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(m - \sigma(\vartheta, \theta))} \int_c^{\vartheta} \left(\frac{(\vartheta - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} - (s - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right)^{m - \sigma(\vartheta,\theta) - 1} \frac{{}_c^m T^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f(s)}{(s - c)^{1 - \epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)}} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(2) If $f \in C_{\epsilon,d}^m([c, d])$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the definition of RVVCCD on variable-order $\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{C\sigma(\vartheta,\theta)} D_{d,\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f(\vartheta) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m - \sigma(\vartheta, \theta))} \int_{\vartheta}^d \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta)^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} - (d - s)^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right)^{m - \sigma(\vartheta,\theta) - 1} \frac{{}_d^m T^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f(s)}{(d - s)^{1 - \epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)}} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

with $m = [\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)] + 1, [\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)]$ is the biggest integer of no more than $\sigma(\vartheta, \theta), {}_c T^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f(\vartheta) = (\vartheta - c)^{1 - \epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f'(\vartheta), T_d^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f(\vartheta) = (d - \vartheta)^{1 - \epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f'(\vartheta), {}_c^m T^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} = \underbrace{{}_c T^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} \dots {}_c T^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)}}_{m \text{ times}}$

${}_d^m T^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} = \underbrace{T_d^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} T_d^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} \dots T_d^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)}}_{m \text{ times}}, C_{\epsilon,c}^m[c, d] = \{f : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid {}^{m-1} T_d^{\epsilon} f \in {}_{\epsilon} I[c, d]\}$ and

$C_{\epsilon,c}^m[c, d] = \{f : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid {}^{m-1} T_c^{\epsilon} f \in I_{\epsilon}[c, d]\}$ ($I_{\epsilon}[c, d]$ and ${}_{\epsilon} I[c, d]$ are defined in Definition 3.1 in [34]).

Remark 1. If variable-order $\sigma(\vartheta, \theta) = \beta$ (constant) in the Definition 1, the LJVCCD became left fractional conformable Caputo of order β [32], i.e.,

$${}^C D_T^\beta f(\theta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\beta)} \int_T^\theta \left(\frac{(\theta-T)^\epsilon - (s-T)^\epsilon}{\epsilon} \right)^{n-\beta-1} \frac{{}_T^n T^\epsilon f(s)}{(s-T)^{1-\epsilon}} ds.$$

If variable-order $\sigma(\vartheta, \theta) = \sigma(\text{constant})$ in the Definition 1, the LRVCCD and RRVCCD became left and right fractional conformable Caputo derivatives of order σ [32], i.e.,

$${}^C D_c^\sigma f(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\sigma)} \int_c^\vartheta \left(\frac{(\vartheta-c)^\epsilon - (s-c)^\epsilon}{\epsilon} \right)^{m-\sigma-1} \frac{{}_c^m T^\epsilon f(s)}{(s-c)^{1-\epsilon}} ds,$$

and

$${}^C D_{d,\vartheta}^\sigma f(\vartheta) = \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m-\sigma)} \int_\vartheta^d \left(\frac{(d-\vartheta)^\epsilon - (d-s)^\epsilon}{\epsilon} \right)^{m-\sigma-1} \frac{{}_d^m T^\epsilon f(s)}{(d-s)^{1-\epsilon}} ds,$$

respectively.

Very recently, Zhang, Hou, Ahmad and Wang [19] proposed a new type of generalized tempered fractional p -Laplace operator defined by

$$(-\Delta - \lambda_f)_p^s \phi(\vartheta) = C_{n,sp} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\phi(\vartheta) - \phi(y)|^{p-2} [\phi(\vartheta) - \phi(y)]}{e^{\lambda f(|\vartheta-y|)} |\vartheta - y|^{n+sp}} dy.$$

When $p = 2$ and f is an identity map, the above-mentioned generalized tempered fractional p -Laplace operator becomes the tempered fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. When $\phi \in C_{loc}^{1,1} \cap L^\alpha$, the tempered fractional Laplace operator defined by

$$(-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \phi(\vartheta) = C_{n,\alpha} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\phi(\vartheta) - \phi(y)}{e^{\lambda f(|\vartheta-y|)} |\vartheta - y|^{1+\alpha}} dy, \tag{8}$$

with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $C_{n,\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{2\pi^{1/2} |\Gamma(-\alpha)|}$, $P.V.$ refers to the Cauchy principal value, λ is a sufficiently small positive number, f is nondecreasing with respect to $|\vartheta - y|$ and

$$L^\alpha = \left\{ \phi \in L^1_{loc} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|1 + \phi(y)|}{1 + |y|^{1+\alpha}} dy < \infty \right\}. \tag{9}$$

3. Main Result

In this part, the extreme principles of these variable-order derivatives and the maximum principles of Equation (18) are established and proved.

Next, we will establish some extremum principles of LRVCCD and RRVCCD to prove our maximum principle.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$h(\theta) = f(\theta_0) - f(\theta) \geq 0, \quad \theta \in [T, T_1]. \tag{10}$$

Obviously,

- (1) $h(\theta) \in C^1_{\epsilon,T}([T, T_1])$, $h(\theta) \geq 0, \theta \in [T, T_1]$;
- (2) $h(\theta_0) = h'(\theta_0) = 0$;
- (3) $\left({}^C D_T^{\beta(\vartheta,\theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} h \right)(\theta) = - \left({}^C D_T^{\beta(\vartheta,\theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f \right)(\theta)$.

By calculation, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left({}^C \beta_T^{\vartheta, \theta} D_{\theta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} h \right) (\theta_0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta(\vartheta, \theta_0))} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} - (\zeta - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} \right)^{-\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0)} h(\zeta) \Big|_T^{\theta_0} \\
 & - \frac{\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta(\vartheta, \theta_0))} \int_T^{\theta_0} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} - (\zeta - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} \right)^{-\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0) - 1} \\
 & (\zeta - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0) - 1} h(\zeta) d\zeta. \\
 &= - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta(\vartheta, \theta_0))} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} \right)^{-\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0)} h(T) \\
 & - \frac{\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta(\vartheta, \theta_0))} \int_T^{\theta_0} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} - (\zeta - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} \right)^{-\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0) - 1} \\
 & (\zeta - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0) - 1} h(\zeta) d\zeta \\
 &\leq - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta(\vartheta, \theta_0))} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} \right)^{-\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0)} h(T) \\
 &\leq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We obtain

$$\left({}^C \beta_T^{\vartheta, \theta} D_{\theta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} f \right) (\theta_0) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta(\vartheta, \theta_0))} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta_0)} \right)^{-\beta(\vartheta, \theta_0)} (f(\theta_0) - f(T)) \geq 0.$$

□

Remark 2. If $\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)$ and $\beta(\vartheta, \theta)$ reduce to constants $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, Guan and Wang [15] obtained a similar result as

$$\left({}^C \beta_T^{\epsilon} D_{\theta}^{\epsilon} f \right) (\theta_0) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \right)^{-\beta} (f(\theta_0) - f(T)) \geq 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$h(\vartheta) = f(\vartheta_0) - f(\vartheta) \geq 0, \quad \vartheta \in [c, d]. \tag{12}$$

Obviously,

- (1) $h(\vartheta) \in C_{\epsilon, c}^2([c, d])$, $h(\vartheta) \geq 0$, $\vartheta \in [c, d]$;
- (2) $h(\vartheta_0) = h'(\vartheta_0) = 0$;
- (3) $\left({}^C \sigma_c^{\vartheta, \theta} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} h \right) (\vartheta) = - \left({}^C \sigma_c^{\vartheta, \theta} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} f \right) (\vartheta)$,
 $\left({}^C \sigma_{d, \vartheta}^{\vartheta, \theta} D_{d, \vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} h \right) (\vartheta) = - \left({}^C \sigma_{d, \vartheta}^{\vartheta, \theta} D_{d, \vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} f \right) (\vartheta)$.

By calculation, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left({}^C C_{c^{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)}} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h \right) (\vartheta_0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} - (\zeta - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{1 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} (\zeta - c)^{1 - \epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h'(\zeta) \Big|_c^{\vartheta_0} \quad (13) \\
 &+ \frac{1 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \int_c^{\vartheta_0} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} - (\zeta - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h'(\zeta) d\zeta. \\
 &= \frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h(c) \\
 &+ \frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)(\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1)}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \int_c^{\vartheta_0} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} - (\zeta - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1} \\
 &(\zeta - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1} h(\zeta) d\zeta \\
 &\geq \frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h(c) \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\left({}^C C_{c^{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)}} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} f \right) (\vartheta_0) \leq - \frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(c)) \leq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left({}^C C_{d^{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)}} D_{d, \vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h \right) (\vartheta_0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} - (d - \zeta)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{1 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} (d - \zeta)^{1 - \epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h'(\zeta) \Big|_{\vartheta_0}^d \quad (14) \\
 &- \frac{1 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \int_{\vartheta_0}^d \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} - (d - \zeta)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h'(\zeta) d\zeta. \\
 &= \frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h(d) \\
 &+ \frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)(\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1)}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \int_{\vartheta_0}^d \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} - (d - \zeta)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1} \\
 &(d - \zeta)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1} h(\zeta) d\zeta \\
 &\geq \frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta))} \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0, \theta)} \right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0, \theta)} h(d) \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\left({}^{C\sigma}D_{d,\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f\right)(\vartheta_0) \leq -\frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta))} \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0,\theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0,\theta)}\right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta)} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(d)) \leq 0.$$

□

Remark 3. If $\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)$ and $\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)$ reduce to constants $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $1 < \sigma < 2$, Guan and Wang [16] obtained a similar result as

$$\left({}^C D_{\vartheta}^{\sigma} f\right)(\vartheta_0) \leq -\frac{\sigma - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma)} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)^{-\sigma} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(c)) \leq 0$$

and

$$\left({}^C D_{d,\vartheta}^{\sigma} f\right)(\vartheta_0) \leq -\frac{\sigma - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma)} \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)^{-\sigma} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(d)) \leq 0.$$

Lemma 3. Let $0 < \epsilon(\vartheta, \theta) < 1$, $0 < \beta(\vartheta, \theta) < 1$, $\forall \vartheta \in [T, T_1]$. If $f \in C_{\epsilon,T}^1([T, T_1])$, $\theta_0 \in (T, T_1]$ is its minimum, then the inequality

$$\left({}^{C\beta}D_{T,\theta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f\right)(\theta_0) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta(\vartheta, \theta))} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0,\theta_0)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0,\theta_0)}\right)^{-\beta(\vartheta_0,\theta_0)} (f(\theta_0) - f(T)) \leq 0 \quad (15)$$

holds.

Lemma 4. Let $0 < \epsilon(\vartheta, \theta) < 1$, $1 < \sigma(\vartheta, \theta) < 2$, $\forall \vartheta \in [c, d]$. If f attains its minimum value at $\vartheta_0 \in (T, T_1]$, then

(1) if $f \in C_{\epsilon,c}^2([c, d])$

$$\left({}^{C\sigma}D_{c,\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f\right)(\vartheta_0) \geq -\frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta))} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0,\theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0,\theta)}\right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta)} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(c)) \geq 0 \quad (16)$$

(2) if $f \in C_{\epsilon,d}^2([c, d])$

$$\left({}^{C\sigma}D_{d,\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} f\right)(\vartheta_0) \geq -\frac{\sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta) - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta))} \left(\frac{(d - \vartheta_0)^{\epsilon(\vartheta_0,\theta)}}{\epsilon(\vartheta_0,\theta)}\right)^{-\sigma(\vartheta_0,\theta)} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(d)) \geq 0 \quad (17)$$

hold.

Remark 4. If $\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)$ and $\beta(\vartheta, \theta)$ in Lemma 3 reduce to constants $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, Guan and Wang [15] obtained a similar result as

$$\left({}^C D_{T,\theta}^{\beta} f\right)(\theta_0) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)} \left(\frac{(\theta_0 - T)^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)^{-\beta} (f(\theta_0) - f(T)) \leq 0.$$

If $\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)$ and $\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)$ in Lemma 4 reduce to constants $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $1 < \sigma < 2$, Guan, Wang and Xu [16] obtained a similar result as

$$\left({}^C D_{\vartheta}^{\sigma} f\right)(\vartheta_0) \geq -\frac{\sigma - 1}{\Gamma(2 - \sigma)} \left(\frac{(\vartheta_0 - c)^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)^{-\sigma} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(c)) \geq 0$$

and

$$\left({}^{C\sigma}D_{d,\vartheta}^\epsilon f \right) (\vartheta_0) \geq -\frac{\sigma-1}{\Gamma(2-\sigma)} \left(\frac{(d-\vartheta_0)^\epsilon}{\epsilon} \right)^{-\sigma} (f(\vartheta_0) - f(d)) \geq 0.$$

Now, we will discuss the following space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator on the initial-boundary-value condition

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left({}^C\beta_T^{\beta(\vartheta,\theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} \right) w(\vartheta,\theta) - \left[\left({}^C\sigma_c^{\sigma(\vartheta,\theta)} D_\vartheta^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} \right) w(\vartheta,\theta) + \left({}^{C\sigma}D_{d,\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} \right) w(\vartheta,\theta) \right] \\ & + (-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w(\vartheta,\theta) - e(\vartheta,\theta)w(\vartheta,\theta) = F(\vartheta,\theta,w), \quad (\vartheta,\theta) \in U \\ & w(\vartheta,T) = \phi(\vartheta), \quad \vartheta \in (c,d), \\ & w(c,\theta) = g_1(\theta), \quad \theta \in [T,T_1], \\ & w(d,\theta) = g_2(\theta), \quad \theta \in [T,T_1]. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{18}$$

where $e(\vartheta,\theta)$ is bounded on $[c,d] \times [T,T_1]$, $U = (c,d) \times (T,T_1]$, $\bar{U} = [c,d] \times [T,T_1]$ and $S = ([c,d] \times \{T\} \cup \{c\} \times [T,T_1] \cup \{d\} \times [T,T_1])$.

Next, we will prove the maximum and minimum principle of Equation (18). Denote

$$H(\bar{U}) = \{w(\vartheta,\theta) \mid w(\vartheta,\theta) \in C^{2,1}(U), w(\vartheta,\theta) \in C(\bar{U})\}. \tag{19}$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Maximum principle). Arguing by contradiction, if (5) is false, then $w(\vartheta,\theta)$ attains its maximum at point $(\bar{\vartheta}, \bar{\theta}) \in U$ and

$$w(\bar{\vartheta}, \bar{\theta}) > \max \left\{ \max_{\vartheta \in [c,d]} \phi(\vartheta), \max_{\theta \in [T,T_1]} g_1(\theta), \max_{\theta \in [T,T_1]} g_2(\theta), 0 \right\} = N > 0. \tag{20}$$

Define the auxiliary function

$$\zeta(\vartheta,\theta) = w(\vartheta,\theta) + \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{T_1 - (\theta - T)}{T_1}, \quad (\vartheta,\theta) \in \bar{U}.$$

where $\delta = w(\bar{\vartheta}, \bar{\theta}) - N > 0$.

From the definition of $\zeta(\vartheta,\theta)$, we obtain

$$\bar{\zeta}(\vartheta,\theta) \leq w(\vartheta,\theta) + \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad (\vartheta,\theta) \in \bar{U},$$

and

$$\zeta(\bar{\vartheta}, \bar{\theta}) > w(\bar{\vartheta}, \bar{\theta}) = N + \delta \geq \delta + w(\vartheta,\theta) > \bar{\zeta}(\vartheta,\theta) + \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall (\vartheta,\theta) \in S.$$

According to the last inequality, $\zeta(\vartheta,\theta)$ cannot be attain a maximum on S . Let $\zeta(\vartheta_1,\theta_1) = \max_{(\vartheta,\theta) \in \bar{U}} \zeta(\vartheta,\theta)$, then

$$\zeta(\vartheta_1,\theta_1) \geq \zeta(\bar{\vartheta}, \bar{\theta}) \geq \delta + N > \delta.$$

$$(-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \zeta(\vartheta,\theta) \Big|_{(\vartheta_1,\theta_1)} = C_{n,\alpha} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\zeta(\vartheta_1,\theta_1) - \zeta(\vartheta,\theta_1)}{e^{\lambda f(|\vartheta_1-\vartheta|)} |\vartheta_1 - \vartheta|^{1+\alpha}} dy \geq 0. \tag{21}$$

From the results of Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left({}^C\beta_T^{\beta(\vartheta,\theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} \right) \zeta(\vartheta,\theta) \Big|_{(\vartheta_1,\theta_1)} \geq 0, \\ & \left({}^C\sigma_c^{\sigma(\vartheta,\theta)} D_\vartheta^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} \right) \zeta(\vartheta,\theta) \Big|_{(\vartheta_1,\theta_1)} \leq 0, \\ & \left({}^{C\sigma}D_{d,\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta,\theta)} \right) \zeta(\vartheta,\theta) \Big|_{(\vartheta_1,\theta_1)} \leq 0. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{22}$$

By calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}^C D_T^{\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \frac{T_1 - (\theta - T)}{T_1} \right) \\ &= -\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)^{\beta(\vartheta, \theta)-1} (\theta - T)^{1-\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} \frac{\delta}{2T_1} \frac{\Gamma(2 - \epsilon(\vartheta, \theta))}{\Gamma(3 - \epsilon(\vartheta, \theta) - \beta(\vartheta, \theta))}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Applying (21)–(23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}^C D_T^{\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) \Big|_{(\vartheta_1, \theta_1)} - \left[\left({}^C D_c^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\vartheta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) + \left({}^C D_{d, \vartheta}^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) \right] \Big|_{(\vartheta_1, \theta_1)} \\ &+ (-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w(\vartheta, \theta) - e(\vartheta, \theta)w(\vartheta, \theta) - F(\vartheta, \theta, w) \\ &\geq \epsilon(\vartheta_1, \theta_1)^{\beta(\vartheta_1, \theta_1)-1} (\theta_1 - T)^{1-\epsilon(\vartheta_1, \theta_1)\beta(\vartheta_1, \theta_1)} \frac{\delta}{2T_1} \frac{\Gamma(2 - \epsilon(\vartheta_1, \theta_1))}{\Gamma(3 - \epsilon(\vartheta_1, \theta_1) - \beta(\vartheta_1, \theta_1))} \\ &- e(\vartheta_1, \theta_1)\delta \left(1 - \frac{T_1 - (\theta_1 - T)}{2T_1} \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

which is not in accordance with (18). Theorem 1 holds. □

Analogously, the following minimum principle holds.

Theorem 2. Assume $F(\vartheta, \theta, w) \geq 0$ and $e(\vartheta, \theta) \geq 0, \forall(\vartheta, \theta) \in U$. If $w \in H(\bar{U})$ satisfies the space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator (18), then

$$w(\vartheta, \theta) \geq \min\{ \min_{\vartheta \in [c, d]} \phi(\vartheta), \min_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} g_1(\theta), \min_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} g_2(\theta), 0 \}, \quad \forall(\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}$$

holds.

4. Application of Maximum Principle

In this part, some results of space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator will be obtained by the maximum principle.

Theorem 3. Let $\phi(\vartheta) \leq 0, \vartheta \in (c, d)$ and $g_1(\theta) \leq 0, g_2(\theta) \leq 0, \theta \in [T, T_1]$. If $F(\vartheta, \theta, w) \leq 0, e(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0, \forall(\vartheta, \theta) \in U$ and $w(\vartheta, \theta) \in H(\bar{U})$ is a solution of (18), then

$$w(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0, (\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}.$$

Theorem 4. Let $\phi(\vartheta) \geq 0, \vartheta \in (c, d)$ and $g_1(\theta) \geq 0, g_2(\theta) \geq 0, \theta \in [T, T_1]$. If $F(\vartheta, \theta, w) \geq 0, e(\vartheta, \theta) \geq 0, \forall(\vartheta, \theta) \in U$ and $w(\vartheta, \theta) \in H(\bar{U})$ is a solution of (18), then

$$w(\vartheta, \theta) \geq 0, (\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}.$$

According to Theorem 3 and 4, the following Remark holds.

Remark 5. Let $\phi(\vartheta) = 0, \vartheta \in [a, b]$ and $g_1(\theta) = g_2(\theta) = 0, \theta \in [T, T_1]$. If $F(\vartheta, \theta, w) = 0, e(\vartheta, \theta) = 0, \forall(\vartheta, \theta) \in U$ and $w(\vartheta, \theta) \in H(\bar{U})$ is a solution of (18), then

$$w(\vartheta, \theta) = 0, (\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}.$$

Theorem 5. Let $\frac{\partial F}{\partial w} + e(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0, \forall(\vartheta, \theta) \in U$. Then, (18) has at most one solution $w(x, \theta) \in H(\bar{U})$.

Proof. Let $w_1, w_2 \in H(\bar{U})$ be two solutions of (18) and

$$w(\vartheta, \theta) = w_1(\vartheta, \theta) - w_2(\vartheta, \theta), \quad (\vartheta, \theta) \in U.$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} \left(C_T^{\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{\theta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) - \left[\left(C_c^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{\vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) + \left(C_{d, \vartheta}^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{d, \vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) \right] \\ + (-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w(\vartheta, \theta) - e(\vartheta, \theta) w(\vartheta, \theta) = F(\vartheta, \theta, w_1) - F(\vartheta, \theta, w_2), \quad (\vartheta, \theta) \in U, \\ w(\vartheta, T) = 0, \quad \vartheta \in (c, d), \\ w(c, \theta) = 0, \quad \theta \in [T, T_1], \\ w(d, \theta) = 0, \quad \theta \in [T, T_1]. \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

By the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$F(\vartheta, \theta, w_1) - F(\vartheta, \theta, w_2) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(\tilde{w})(w_1 - w_2) \tag{26}$$

where $\tilde{w} = \rho w_1 + (1 - \rho)w_2, 0 \leq \rho \leq 1$.

Since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(\tilde{w}) + e(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0$ and Theorem 3, combining (25) and (26), we have

$$w(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0, \quad (\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}. \tag{27}$$

Analogously, employing Theorem 3 to $-w(\vartheta, \theta)$, then

$$-w(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0, \quad (\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}. \tag{28}$$

Therefore,

$$w(\vartheta, \theta) = 0, \quad (\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U},$$

holds. \square

Theorem 6. Let $\frac{\partial F}{\partial w} + e(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0, \forall (\vartheta, \theta) \in U$. If $w_1(\vartheta, \theta)$ and $w_2(\vartheta, \theta)$ are two solutions of (18) and $F(\vartheta, \theta, w_1) \leq F(\vartheta, \theta, w_2)$, then $w_1(\vartheta, \theta) \leq w_2(\vartheta, \theta)$.

Theorem 7. Let $w_1(\vartheta, \theta)$ and $w_2(\vartheta, \theta)$ be two solutions of (18) on the initial-boundary-value conditions

$$\begin{cases} w_1(\vartheta, T) = \phi(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in (c, d), \\ w_1(c, \theta) = g_1(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1], \\ w_1(d, \theta) = g_2(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1], \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} w_2(\vartheta, T) = \phi^*(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in (c, d), \\ w_2(c, \theta) = g_1^*(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1], \\ w_2(d, \theta) = g_2^*(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1], \end{cases}$$

respectively, and $e(\vartheta, \theta) \leq 0$. Then,

$$\max_{(\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}} |w_1(\vartheta, \theta) - w_2(\vartheta, \theta)| \leq \left\{ \max_{\vartheta \in [c, d]} |\phi(\vartheta) - \phi^*(\vartheta)|, \max_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} |g_1(\theta) - g_1^*(\theta)|, \max_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} |g_2(\theta) - g_2^*(\theta)| \right\}$$

holds.

Proof. Let $w(\vartheta, \theta) = w_1(\vartheta, \theta) - w_2(\vartheta, \theta)$, then

$$\begin{cases} \left({}^C D_T^{\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) - \left[\left({}^C D_c^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) + \left({}^C D_{d, \vartheta}^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w(\vartheta, \theta) \right] \\ + (-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w(\vartheta, \theta) - e(\vartheta, \theta) w(\vartheta, \theta) = 0, & (\vartheta, \theta) \in U, \\ w(\vartheta, T) = \phi(\vartheta) - \phi^*(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in (c, d), \\ w(c, \theta) = g_1(\theta) - g_1^*(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1], \\ w(d, \theta) = g_2(\theta) - g_2^*(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1]. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

By Theorems 1 and 2, then

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}} w(\vartheta, \theta) &\leq \max \left\{ \max_{\vartheta \in (c, d)} \phi(\vartheta) - \phi^*(\vartheta), \max_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} g_1(\theta) - g_1^*(\theta), \max_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} g_2(\theta) - g_2^*(\theta), 0 \right\}, \\ \min_{(\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}} w(\vartheta, \theta) &\geq \min \left\{ \min_{\vartheta \in (c, d)} \phi(\vartheta) - \phi^*(z), \min_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} g_1(\theta) - g_1^*(\theta), \min_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} g_2(\theta) - g_2^*(\theta), 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

From the two above inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}} |w_1(\vartheta, \theta) - w_2(\vartheta, \theta)| &= \max_{(\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U}} |w(\vartheta, \theta)| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \max_{\vartheta \in (c, d)} |\phi(\vartheta) - \phi^*(\vartheta)|, \max_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} |g_1(\theta) - g_1^*(\theta)|, \max_{\theta \in [T, T_1]} |g_2(\theta) - g_2^*(\theta)| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 8 (Comparison Theorem). Let $w(\vartheta, \theta)$ be a solution of Equation (18), suppose $p_2 w + q_2(x) \leq F(\vartheta, \theta, w) \leq p_1 w + q_1(x)$, $p_1, p_2 > 0$. Let $w_1(\vartheta, \theta), w_2(\vartheta, \theta) \in H(\bar{U})$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \left({}^C D_T^{\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w_1(\vartheta, \theta) - \left[\left({}^C D_c^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w_1(\vartheta, \theta) + \left({}^C D_{d, \vartheta}^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w_1(\vartheta, \theta) \right] \\ + (-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w_1(\vartheta, \theta) - e(\vartheta, \theta) w_1(\vartheta, \theta) = p_1 w_1(\vartheta, \theta) + q_1(x), & (\vartheta, \theta) \in U, \\ w_1(\vartheta, T) = \phi_{w_1}(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in (c, d), \\ w_1(c, \theta) = g_{1_{w_1}}(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1], \\ w_1(d, \theta) = g_{2_{w_1}}(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1], \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \left({}^C D_T^{\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w_2(\vartheta, \theta) - \left[\left({}^C D_c^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w_2(\vartheta, \theta) + \left({}^C D_{d, \vartheta}^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) w_2(\vartheta, \theta) \right] \\ + (-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w_2(\vartheta, \theta) - e(\vartheta, \theta) w_2(\vartheta, \theta) = p_2 w_2(\vartheta, \theta) + q_2(x). & (\vartheta, \theta) \in U, \\ w_2(\vartheta, T) = \phi_{w_2}(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in (c, d), \\ w_2(c, \theta) = g_{1_{w_2}}(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1], \\ w_2(d, \theta) = g_{2_{w_2}}(\theta), & \theta \in [T, T_1]. \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

If $\phi_{w_1}(\vartheta) \geq \phi(\vartheta) \geq \phi_{w_2}(\vartheta)$, $g_{1_{w_1}}(\theta) \geq g_1(\theta) \geq g_{1_{w_2}}(\theta)$ and $g_{2_{w_1}}(\theta) \geq g_2(\theta) \geq g_{2_{w_2}}(\theta)$, then

$$w_2(\vartheta, \theta) \leq w(\vartheta, \theta) \leq w_1(\vartheta, \theta), (\vartheta, \theta) \in \bar{U},$$

hold.

Proof. We shall prove that $w(\vartheta, \theta) \leq w_1(\vartheta, \theta)$ and by applying analogous steps one can show that $w_2(\vartheta, \theta) \leq w(\vartheta, \theta)$.

Let $\tilde{w}(\vartheta, \theta) = w_1(\vartheta, \theta) - w(\vartheta, \theta)$. By (30) minus (18), we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left({}^C D_T^{\beta(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\theta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) \tilde{w}(\vartheta, \theta) - \left[\left({}^C D_c^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_\vartheta^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) \tilde{w}(\vartheta, \theta) + \left({}^C D_d^{\sigma(\vartheta, \theta)} D_{d, \vartheta}^{\epsilon(\vartheta, \theta)} \right) \tilde{w}(\vartheta, \theta) \right] \\ + (-\Delta - \lambda_f)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{w}(\vartheta, \theta) - e(\vartheta, \theta) \tilde{w}(\vartheta, \theta) = p_1 w_1(\vartheta, \theta) + q_1(x) - F(\vartheta, \theta, w) \geq p_1 \tilde{w}(\vartheta, \theta), \\ \tilde{w}(\vartheta, T) = \phi_{w_1}(\vartheta) - \phi(\vartheta) \geq 0, \quad \vartheta \in (c, d), \\ \tilde{w}(c, \theta) = g_{1_{w_1}}(\theta) - g_1(\theta) \geq 0, \quad \theta \in [T, T_1], \\ \tilde{w}(d, \theta) = g_{2_{w_1}}(\theta) - g_2(\theta) \geq 0, \quad \theta \in [T, T_1]. \end{array} \right. \quad (32)$$

Since $p_1 \geq 0$, by Theorem 3, $\tilde{w} \geq 0$, the proof is complete. \square

5. Conclusions

The space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator is considered in this paper. We have given the definition of LFVCCD and RFVCCD and some extreme principles. By these extreme principles, a new maximum principle of space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator is derived. Based on the maximum principles, the comparison principle, the uniqueness and continuous dependence of the solution of space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equation with a generalized tempered fractional Laplace operator are proved. Abdulazeez and Modanli [35] used the modified double Laplace transform method to study the Pseudo-Hyperbolic Telegraph partial differential equation. This is an interesting analysis method that is completely different from our method. In the future, we will attempt to apply this method to study space-time fractional variable-order conformable nonlinear differential equations.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, T.G.; validation, writing—review and editing, T.G. and L.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Graduate Education and Teaching Innovation Project of Shanxi, China (No.2022YJJG124) and Higher Education Science and Technology Innovation Project of Shanxi, China (No.2023L156).

Data Availability Statement: No data was used to support this study.

Acknowledgments: We would like to express our gratitude to the editor for taking time to handle the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

IBVP	Initial-boundary-value problem
FPDE	Fractional partial differential equations
LFVCCD	Left fractional variable-order conformable Caputo derivative
RFVCCD	Right fractional variable-order conformable Caputo derivative

References

1. Sun, H.G.; Chen, W. Variable-order fractional differential operators in anomalous diffusion modeling. *Phys. A* **2009**, *388*, 4586–4592.
2. Srivastava, H.M.; Dubey, V.P.; Kumar, R.; Singh, J.; Kumar, D.; Baleanu, D. An efficient computational approach for fractional-order biological population model with carrying capacity. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2020**, *138*, 109880. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Copper, C.R.J.; Cowan, D.R. Filtering using variable order vertical derivatives. *Comput. Geosci.* **2004**, *30*, 455–459. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Korbel, J.; Luchko, Y. Modeling of financial processes with a space-time fractional diffusion equation of varying order. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2016**, *19*, 1414–1433. [[CrossRef](#)]

5. Luchko, Y. Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2009**, *351*, 218–223. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Liu, Z.; Zeng, S.; Bai, Y. Maximum principles for multi-term space-time variable-order fractional diffusion equations and their applications. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2016**, *19*, 188–211. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Zeng, S.; Migórski, S.; Nguyen, V.; Bai, Y. Maximum principles for a class of generalized time-fractional diffusion equations. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2020**, *23*, 822–836. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Bonnet, B.; Cipriani, C.; Fornasier, M.; Huang, H. A measure theoretical approach to the mean-field maximum principle for training NeurODEs. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2023**, *227*, 113161. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Weinkove, B. The insulated conductivity problem, effective gradient estimates and the maximum principle. *Math. Ann.* **2023**, *385*, 1–16. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Bonalli, R.; Bonnet, B. First-Order Pontryagin Maximum Principle for Risk-Averse Stochastic Optimal Control Problems. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **2023**, *61*, 1881–1909. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Hamaguchi, Y. On the Maximum Principle for Optimal Control Problems of Stochastic Volterra Integral Equations with Delay. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **2023**, *87*, 42. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Acosta-Soba, D.; Guillén-González, F.; Rodríguez-Galván, J.R. An upwind DG scheme preserving the maximum principle for the convective Cahn-Hilliard model. *Numer. Algor.* **2023**, *92*, 1589–1619. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Andrés, F.; Castaño, D.; Muñoz, J. Minimization of the Compliance under a Nonlocal p -Laplacian Constraint. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 1679. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Giacomoni, J.; Kumar, D.; Sreenadh, K. Interior and boundary regularity results for strongly nonhomogeneous p, q -fractional problems. *Adv. Calc. Var.* **2023**, *16*, 467–501. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Guan, T.; Wang, G. Maximum principles for the space-time fractional conformable differential system involving the fractional Laplace operator. *J. Math.* **2020**, *2020*, 7213146. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Guan, T.; Wang, G.; Xu, H. Initial boundary value problems for space-time fractional conformable differential equation. *AIMS Math.* **2021**, *6*, 5275–5291. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Deng, W.; Li, B.; Tian, W.; Zhang, P. Boundary problems for the fractional and tempered fractional operators. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **2018**, *16*, 125–149. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Sun, J.; Nie, D.; Deng, D. Algorithm implementation and numerical analysis for the two-dimensional tempered fractional Laplacian. *arXiv* **2018**, arXiv:1802.02349. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Zhang, L.; Hou, W.; Ahmad, B.; Wang, G. Radial symmetry for logarithmic Choquard equation involving a generalized tempered fractional p -Laplacian. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **2021**, *14*, 3851–3863. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Zhang, Z.; Deng, W.; Fan, H. Finite difference schemes for the tempered fractional Laplacian. *Numer. Math. Theor. Meth. Appl.* **2019**, *12*, 492–516.
21. Wang, G.; Liu, Y.; Nieto, J.J.; Zhang, L. Asymptotic Radial Solution of Parabolic Tempered Fractional Laplacian Problem. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **2023**, *46*, 1. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Zhang, Z.; Deng, W.; Karniadakis, G.E. A Riesz Basis Galerkin Method for the Tempered Fractional Laplacian. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **2018**, *56*, 3010–3039. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Kwaśnicki, M. Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplace operator. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2017**, *20*, 7–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. El-Nabulsi, R.A.; Anukool, W. The paradigm of quantum cosmology through Dunkl fractional Laplacian operators and fractal dimensions. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2023**, *167*, 113097. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Feng, L.; Turner, I.; Moroney, T.; Fawang, L. Fractional potential: A new perspective on the fractional Laplacian problem on bounded domains. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **2023**, *125*, 107368. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Klimsiak, T. Asymptotics for logistic-type equations with Dirichlet fractional Laplace operator. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2023**, *28*, 169–216. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. El-Nabulsi, R.A.; Anukool, W. Casimir effect associated with fractional Laplacian and fractal dimensions. *Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct.* **2023**, *146*, 115552. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Melkemi, O.; Abdo, M.S.; Aiyashi, A.; Albalwi, M.D. On the Global Well-Posedness for a Hyperbolic Model Arising from Chemotaxis Model with Fractional Laplacian Operator. *J. Math.* **2023**, *2023*, 1140032. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Revathy, J.M.; Chandhini, G. Solution of space-time fractional diffusion equation involving fractional Laplacian with a local radial basis function approximation. *Int. J. Dynam. Control* **2023**. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Mohebalizadeh, H.; Adibi, H.; Dehghan, M. On the fractional Laplacian of some positive definite kernels with applications in numerically solving the surface quasi-geostrophic equation as a prominent fractional calculus model. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **2023**, *188*, 75–87. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Ansari, A.; Derakhshan, M.H. On spectral polar fractional Laplacian. *Math. Comput. Simul.* **2023**, *206*, 636–663. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Jarad, F.; Ugurlu, E.; Abdeljawad, T.; Baleanu, D. On a new class of fractional operators. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2017**, *2017*, 247. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Tseng, C.C. Design of variable and adaptive fractional order FIR differentiatour. *Signal Process.* **2006**, *86*, 2554–2566. [[CrossRef](#)]

34. Abdeljawad, T. On conformable fractional calculus. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2015**, *279*, 57–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Abdulazeez, S.T.; Modanli, M. Analytic solution of fractional order Pseudo-Hyperbolic Telegraph equation using modified double Laplace transform method. *Int. J. Math. Comput. Eng.* **2023**, *1*, 15–24. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.