




Abstract

Quadrotor UAV Altitude Control Using FOPID, PID and Integral State Feedback Controller [†]

Abubakar Umar ^{*}, Muhammed Bashir Mu'azu , Yusuf Sha'aban Abubakar, Zaharuddeen Haruna ,
Ime Jarlath Umoh and Shamsuddeen Yusuf

Department of Computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria 810107, Nigeria; mbmuazu@abu.edu.ng (M.B.M.); shaaban@uhb.edu.sa (Y.S.A.); hzaharuddeen@abu.edu.ng (Z.H.); ime.umoh@gmail.com (I.J.U.); sywaliyyi@gmail.com (S.Y.)

^{*} Correspondence: abubakaru061010@gmail.com

[†] Presented at the 3rd International Electronic Conference on Processes—Green and Sustainable Process Engineering and Process Systems Engineering (ECP 2024), 29–31 May 2024; Available online: <https://sciforum.net/event/ECP2024>.

Keywords: quadrotor; UAV; altitude control; FOPID; PID; ISF

This research presents the development of an altitude control for a quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) using a fractional-order proportional-integral-derivative (FOPID) controller, a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller, and an integral state feedback (ISF) controller. The quadrotor UAV, which is a nonlinear, multiple-input, multiple-output, and underactuated system, was modelled using the Newton-Euler modelling system, in which the UAV's translational and angular velocity was derived mathematically. The quadrotor UAV was then linearized for easy analysis and modelled in MATLAB/Simulink (9.13.0.2049777: 2022b). The simulation was carried out in the MATLAB/Simulink 2022b environment. Two cases were considered: the open-loop and closed-loop responses. The two cases were simulated, and the system performed satisfactorily in both open-loop and closed-loop scenarios. Also, FOPID, PID, and ISF controllers were applied on the closed-loop scenario for the altitude control of the UAV. From the simulation results, it can be deduced that FOPID outperformed PID and ISF controllers in terms of settling time and rise time, with values of 0.008 s and 0.0236 s, respectively. Also, the ISF controller had a better overshoot of 0%, while the PID controller had a better steady-state error (SSE) of 7.1524×10^{-10} , which were all obtained from the simulations. The contribution of this work is the application of FOPID with respect to the altitude control of quadrotor UAV. This shows the advantages of the FOPID controller regarding the altitude control of the quadrotor UAV in terms of robustness and reliability.



Citation: Umar, A.; Mu'azu, M.B.; Abubakar, Y.S.; Haruna, Z.; Umoh, I.J.; Yusuf, S. Quadrotor UAV Altitude Control Using FOPID, PID and Integral State Feedback Controller. *Proceedings* **2024**, *105*, 38. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2024105038>

Academic Editor: Michael C. Georgiadis

Published: 28 May 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.U. and Z.H.; methodology, A.U., Y.S.A. and I.J.U.; software, A.U. and S.Y.; supervision, M.B.M., Y.S.A., I.J.U. and Z.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.