

MDPI

Abstract

Circulating NMR Metabolites in White and British Indian Vegetarians and Non-Vegetarians in the UK Biobank [†]

Tammy Y. N. Tong 1,* , Julie A. Schmidt 2, Timothy J. Key 1 and Ruth C. Travis 1

- Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK; tim.key@ndph.ox.ac.uk (T.J.K.); ruth.travis@ndph.ox.ac.uk (R.C.T.)
- Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University and Aarhus University Hospital, 8200 Aarhus, Denmark; js@clin.au.dk
- * Correspondence: tammy.tong@ndph.ox.ac.uk
- † Presented at the 14th European Nutrition Conference FENS 2023, Belgrade, Serbia, 14–17 November 2023.

Abstract: Background and objectives: Metabolomics is influenced by diet and may inform underlying mechanisms for diseases. We aimed to assess differences in circulating metabolites between people of different habitual dietary groups. Methods: The UK Biobank recruited 500,000 adults aged 40 to 69 years throughout the UK between 2006 and 2010. Plasma samples were collected from almost all participants at recruitment, and metabolomics assays (249 metabolites, 168 directly measured and 81 ratios) were performed using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) metabolic profiling in a randomly selected subset of 120,000 participants. Participants were asked to report their ethnicity and consumption of red and processed meat, poultry, fish, dairy and eggs. Based on this information, we defined six diet groups among the White British participants (42,963 regular meat eaters, 44,170 low meat eaters, 1051 poultry eaters, 2290 fish eaters, 1521 vegetarians and 102 vegans) and two diet groups among the British Indians (725 meat eaters and 250 vegetarians). We compared adjusted geometric mean levels of the metabolites by diet group. Results: Significant differences in the levels of many plasma metabolites were observed by diet group, with the biggest differences overall for fatty acids. Compared with regular meat eaters, low meat, poultry and fish eaters all had higher omega-3 and docosahexaenoic acid concentrations, while vegetarians and vegans had substantially lower concentrations of these fatty acids and their ratios to total fatty acids. Vegetarians and vegans had significantly higher ratios of omega-6 to both omega-3 and total fatty acids, as well as higher percentages of monounsaturated fatty acids and linoleic acid to total fatty acids. Of the amino acids, vegetarians and vegans had notably higher concentrations of glycine, but lower concentrations of total and individual branched-chain amino acids compared with regular meat eaters. Higher concentrations of citrate but lower concentrations of creatinine in vegetarians and vegans, higher acetate in vegans, as well as differences in many lipid fractions by diet group were also observed. The observed differences were similar for the White British and the British Indian participants. Discussion: The markedly different plasma metabolic profiles between people of different diet groups may impact on their long-term health.

Keywords: vegetarians; vegans; metabolomics



Citation: Tong, T.Y.N.; Schmidt, J.A.; Key, T.J.; Travis, R.C. Circulating NMR Metabolites in White and British Indian Vegetarians and Non-Vegetarians in the UK Biobank. *Proceedings* 2023, 91, 112. https://doi.org/10.3390/ proceedings2023091112

Academic Editors: Sladjana Sobajic and Philip Calder

Published: 8 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.Y.N.T., T.J.K. and R.C.T.; methodology, T.Y.N.T., J.A.S., T.J.K. and R.C.T.; formal analysis, T.Y.N.T.; investigation, T.Y.N.T.; data curation, T.Y.N.T.; writing—original draft preparation, T.Y.N.T.; writing—review and editing, T.Y.N.T., J.A.S., T.J.K. and R.C.T.; funding acquisition, T.Y.N.T., T.J.K. and R.C.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work is supported by the UK Medical Research Council (MR/M012190/1), Wellcome Trust Our Planet Our Health (Livestock, Environment and People, LEAP 205212/Z/16/Z) and Cancer

Proceedings **2023**, 91, 112

Research UK (C8221/A29017 and C8221/A29186). TYNT is support by a Nuffield Departmental of Population Health Fellowship.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Permission for access to patient records for recruitment was approved by the Patient Information Advisory Group (now the National Information Governance Board for Health and Social Care) in England and Wales and the Community Health Index Advisory Group in Scotland.

Informed Consent Statement: All participants gave informed consent to participate using a signature capture device at the baseline visit.

Data Availability Statement: This research has been conducted using UK Biobank Resource under application 67506. Bona fide researchers can apply to use the UK Biobank data set by registering and applying at http://ukbiobank.ac.uk/register-apply/.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.