

Abstract

Vitamin D and Cardiovascular Disease Risk: Using Outcomes to Guide Future Nutrition Science [†]

Diego Moretti ^{1,*}, Lorraine Brennan ² , Mairead Kiely ³  and Jayne Woodside ⁴ ¹ Departement of Health, Swiss Distance University of Applied Sciences (FFHS),

University of Applied Sciences of South Switzerland (SUPSI), 8005 Zürich, Switzerland

² School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin, D04 V1W8 Dublin, Ireland; lorraine.brennan@ucd.ie³ School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, T12 WE28 Cork, Ireland; m.kiely@ucc.ie⁴ School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT9 5DL, UK; j.woodside@qub.ac.uk

* Correspondence: diego.moretti@ffhs.ch

[†] Presented at the 14th European Nutrition Conference FENS 2023, Belgrade, Serbia, 14–17 November 2023.

Abstract: Despite positive associations between micronutrient intake, status, and health outcomes, many randomized controlled trials (RCT) of vitamins are null. Standards to establish causality in biological systems include the Bradford Hill criteria, the experimental component of which relies on and promotes RCT-centred approaches. Such criteria may need adaptations to the specificities of nutrition science. Our objective, as part of a broader FENS initiative to improve the science of nutrition, was to conduct a case study to assess the Bradford Hill criteria (BHC) applied to clinical studies of vitamin D and cardiovascular disease endpoints and evaluate strengths and pitfalls for this approach. We conducted a systematic review of the recent literature on CVD and vitamin D supplementation, including both RCT, cohort studies (CT), or systematic reviews within Medline, Web of Science, and Cochrane libraries. Studies had to be conducted in adults, including hard CVD-relevant endpoints with a minimum sample size of $n = 500$ for RCT and $n = 10,000$ for CT. CT had to utilize quality-assured, analytical methods for serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D assessment and include verified clinical outcomes. We also evaluated and proposed plausible biochemical and physiological mechanisms for vitamin D and CVD. We graded the evidence according to BHC for the establishment of causality in biological systems and the identification of strengths and pitfalls of this approach. The search yielded 4170 papers, and 31 met the predefined criteria. The criteria “strength of association”, “consistency”, “temporality”, “biological gradient”, “plausibility”, “experiment”, “specificity”, “analogy”, and “coherence” were analyzed and appraised. While the logical framework of the BHC is perceived as useful, its direct applicability to the nutritional context is partly open to interpretation and could be further specified. The Bradford Hill criteria for establishing causality need adaptation for the nutritional context and to the advances in biological and social sciences in the last decades. Insights gained and methodological paradigms identified may have broad application to nutrition science.

Keywords: Nutritional Science; Vitamin D; Cardiovascular Disease; Bradford Hill criteria

Citation: Moretti, D.; Brennan, L.; Kiely, M.; Woodside, J. Vitamin D and Cardiovascular Disease Risk: Using Outcomes to Guide Future Nutrition Science. *Proceedings* **2023**, *91*, 137. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2023091137>

Academic Editors: Sladjana Sobajic and Philip Calder

Published: 30 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.M., L.B., M.K. and J.W.; methodology, D.M., L.B., M.K. and J.W.; formal analysis, D.M., L.B., M.K. and J.W.; data curation, J.W.; writing—original draft preparation, D.M.; writing—review and editing, L.B., M.K. and J.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.