



## Abstract **Public Procurement of Food Products in Educational Institutions in Slovenia**<sup>+</sup>

Neža Fras<sup>1</sup>, Evgen Benedik<sup>2,3,\*</sup> and Matej Gregorič<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Center for Analysis and Development of Health, National Institute of Public Health, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; neza.fras@nijz.si (N.F.); matej.gregoric@nijz.si (M.G.)
- <sup>2</sup> Clinical Department for Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Division of Paediatrics, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Food Science and Technology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- \* Correspondence: evgen.benedik@bf.uni-lj.si
- <sup>+</sup> Presented at the 14th European Nutrition Conference FENS 2023, Belgrade, Serbia, 14–17 November 2023.

Abstract: Background and objectives: Kindergartens and schools are an important consumer of food in Slovenia nationwide, and they are obliged to the public procurement of food. The aim of this study was therefore to investigate the consideration of nutritional criteria in public food procurement (PFP) and to identify differences in PFP according to the type, size, and region of the educational institution (EI). Methods: In 2021, a total of 535 Slovenian EIs completed a self-administered questionnaire on nutrition-related practices. The use of nutrition criteria and various practices in the PFP was also assessed. To assess statistically significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ), we performed non-parametric Fisher's exact and chi-square tests. Results: In the requirements of the last public tender of food, EIs mainly considered the conditions related to food quality schemes (92.5%), organic production (83.6%), and adequacy of nutritional value (72.2%). When implementing school meals, they were least likely to fully comply with restrictions on the allowable inclusion of foods with low nutritional value (38.5%) and most likely to comply with recommendations on the frequency of the inclusion of recommended foods (47.0%). Most of the EI respondents (74.0%) confirmed that the criteria based on nutrient profiling would be useful for more healthy food products procurement. More requirements on the inclusion of organic production and nutritional adequacy were found among kindergartens than schools. Statistically significant differences in nutritional quality were found between smaller and larger EIs. More requirements on the inclusion of organic production, quality classes, and nutritional adequacy were found among larger compared to smaller Els. Differences were also found between Els from three different regions for the inclusion of organic production requirements. Discussion: Healthy PFP policies can improve access to nutritious and healthy food in EI and promote healthy eating habits. The implementation of the healthy PFP was achieved differently at distinct levels. The presented evaluation suggests that different success in implementation might be attributed to different characteristics of individual EI. System changes might also be needed to support and improve the implementation of healthy PFP policies.

**Keywords:** public food procurement; educational institutions; school nutrition; nutrient profiling; criteria for nutritionally more suitable food products



and Philip Calder

check for updates

Citation: Fras, N.; Benedik, E.;

Food Products in Educational

2023, 91, 369. https://doi.org/

10.3390/proceedings2023091369

Published: 26 February 2024

Gregorič, M. Public Procurement of

Institutions in Slovenia. Proceedings

Academic Editors: Sladjana Sobajic

**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, E.B. and M.G.; methodology, E.B. and M.G.; validation, E.B. and M.G.; investigation, N.F.; writing—original draft preparation, N.F.; writing—review and editing, E.B. and M.G.; visualization, N.F.; supervision, E.B. and M.G.; project administration, M.G.; funding acquisition, M.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work was supported by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency (P3-0395).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the M.G.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.