

Abstract

Investigation to Isolate the Acute Metabolic Effects of Carbohydrate Restriction on Postprandial Substrate Metabolism with or without Energy Restriction [†]

Hayriye Biyikoglu ^{*}, Adam Collins and Denise Robertson

Department of Nutritional Sciences, School of Bioscience and Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Surrey GU2 7XH, UK

^{*} Correspondence: h.biyikoglu@surrey.ac.uk[†] Presented at the 14th European Nutrition Conference FENS 2023, Belgrade, Serbia, 14–17 November 2023.

Abstract: Intermittent energy-restricted diets have shown improved metabolic health and alterations in postprandial glucose and lipid responses. Given these diets' inherent low carbohydrate content due to fasting and carbohydrates' crucial role in postprandial metabolism, it is essential to determine if the observed benefits are primarily due to decreased carbohydrate consumption. This study aims to evaluate the acute metabolic effects of carbohydrate restriction on postprandial substrate metabolism, both in the presence and absence of energy restriction, compared to an iso-caloric balanced diet. Twelve (six male) healthy adults (27.33 ± 1.82 ; 26.64 ± 1.64 kg/m²) participated in this acute, three-arm crossover study. Participants consumed three pre-prepared intervention diets for one day (36 h), each separated by a 5-day washout period: a normal-carbohydrate (55% of energy) energy-balanced diet (nEB), a low-carbohydrate (50 g/day) energy-balanced (100% energy) diet (LCEB), and a low-carbohydrate (50 g/day) energy-restricted (25% energy) diet (LC25). Following each 36 h diet phase, blood metabolites were measured in the morning fasted state and serially across 360 min postprandially. Concurrently, substrate utilization (RQ) and energy expenditure were evaluated using indirect calorimetry. Data were analysed using repeated-measures ANOVA and Wilcoxon signed-ranks, with results displayed as mean \pm SEM. Resting energy expenditure and postprandial thermogenesis showed no significant difference across the three study arms ($p > 0.05$) although RQ was markedly decreased in both LC arms ($p < 0.001$). Elevated hepatic 3- β -hydroxybutyrate production was observed in both low-carb groups compared to control ($p < 0.01$). Following 36 h of both low-carbohydrate diet improved postprandial TAG levels ($p < 0.001$). However, glucose tolerance was impaired in both low-carb diets ($p = 0.04$), while insulin responses showed no statistical difference between all diets ($p > 0.05$). Fasted and postprandial NEFA levels increased in both low-carb diets ($p = 0.02$, $p < 0.01$, respectively). Similarly, fasting GLP-1 levels rose in low-carb arms ($p < 0.5$), declining postprandially ($p < 0.05$). No significant difference was found between them in all analysed parameters ($p < 0.05$). This data suggest that limiting carbohydrates without concurrent energy restriction can mimic the short-term metabolic effects of fasting. Further research is needed to evaluate the long-term impacts of intermittent low-carb diets and their viability as alternatives to traditional energy-restricted plans, factoring in tolerance, sustainability, and lasting physiological effects.



Citation: Biyikoglu, H.; Collins, A.; Robertson, D. Investigation to Isolate the Acute Metabolic Effects of Carbohydrate Restriction on Postprandial Substrate Metabolism with or without Energy Restriction. *Proceedings* **2023**, *91*, 396. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2023091396>

Academic Editors: Sladjana Sobajic and Philip Calder

Published: 5 March 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: carbohydrate restriction; intermittent energy restriction

Author Contributions: H.B. participated in the concept, design, execution of the study, laboratory work, statistical analysis and preparation of the manuscript; D.R. helped conceptualize, design, and prepare the manuscript of the study; A.C. was involved in the study concept, design, statistical analysis, and preparation of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study obtained a favourable ethical opinion from the University of Surrey ethics committee (UEC 2019 008 FHMS) and was carried out in compliance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data is unavailable due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.