


Abstract

Droplet-Based Technology for Studying the Phenotypic Effect of Microplastics on Antimicrobial Resistance [†]

Simona Bartkova, Fenella Lucia Sulp, Immanuel Sanka, Pille Pata and Ott Scheler * 

Laboratory of Microfluidics, Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, School of Science, Tallinn University of Technology, Akadeemia tee 15, 12618 Tallinn, Estonia; simona.bartkova@taltech.ee (S.B.); fenella.sulp@taltech.ee (F.L.S.); immanuel.sanka@taltech.ee (I.S.); pille.pata@taltech.ee (P.P.)

* Correspondence: ott.scheler@taltech.ee

[†] Presented at the International Conference EcoBalt 2023 “Chemicals & Environment”, Tallinn, Estonia, 9–11 October 2023.

Keywords: antimicrobial resistance; microplastic pollution; droplet microfluidics; droplet-based technology; biofilm formation; plastisphere; phenotypic analysis; single cell incubation; Fluorescence microscopy

Plastic pollution is a global emergency [1,2]. One key problem is that microplastics (MPs) (1 µm–5 mm) [3] and nanoplastics (NPs) (≤ 1 µm) [4] enhance the already severe threat of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) by providing a micro-environment termed the “plastisphere” for bacteria to form biofilms [5,6]. However, exact knowledge of the severity of the plastisphere and its impact on AMR is currently still scarce [7]. Here, we show how droplet-based technology can be used to study the potential phenotypic effect of MPs on AMR. For this we used (i) polydisperse water-in-oil droplets generated via vortexing, (ii) GFB-labelled *Escherichia coli* JEK 1036 as our study object, (iii) cefotaxime as the test antibiotic, and (iv) 10 µm carboxylated polystyrene microspheres (PS). In parallel, we encapsulated single cells of *E. coli* into droplets with different concentrations of cefotaxime and with or without PS. After overnight incubation at 37 °C, we imaged droplets as a monolayer via confocal microscopy and analyzed droplets via Software Ilastik [8], CellProfilerTM [9] and EasyFlow [10]. Our results show that *E. coli*'s minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) shifts slightly towards a higher cefotaxime concentration when PS is present in droplets. Image analysis of *E. coli* growth patterns in individual droplets illustrates that *E. coli* tends to clump together in droplets with PS, versus exhibiting an evenly distributed growth pattern in droplets without PS. In conclusion, we see that PS in droplets might enhance the MIC of *E. coli* resistance against cefotaxime. This possible enhanced resistance may be related to the observed tendency for clumping (indication of biofilm formation) of *E. coli* when PS is present. Droplet-based technology is thus a suitable tool for studying the phenotypic effect of MPs on AMR. Further experiments with different antibiotics and MP types and sizes will shed more light on the interesting and worrying tendency of MPs to potentially enhance AMR that was found in this study.



Citation: Bartkova, S.; Sulp, F.L.; Sanka, I.; Pata, P.; Scheler, O. Droplet-Based Technology for Studying the Phenotypic Effect of Microplastics on Antimicrobial Resistance. *Proceedings* **2023**, *92*, 41. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2023092041>

Academic Editors: Monika Mortimer, Anne Kahru, Ivo Leito, Riin Rebane and Villem Aruoja

Published: 24 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.B. and O.S.; methodology, S.B., F.L.S. and P.P.; software, S.B. and I.S.; validation, S.B. and F.L.S.; formal analysis, S.B., F.L.S. and O.S.; investigation, S.B. and O.S.; resources, O.S.; data curation, S.B. and I.S.; writing—original draft preparation, S.B.; writing—review and editing, S.B. and O.S.; visualization, S.B., F.L.S. and I.S.; supervision, S.B. and O.S.; project administration, O.S.; funding acquisition, S.B. and O.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The project was partially funded by Tallinn University of Technology Development Program 2016–2022, project no. 2014–2020.4.01.16.0032; Tallinn University of Technology, grant no. GFLKSB22; Estonian Research Council, grant no. PRG620. The conference participation was funded by COST Action: CA20101 (PRIORITY).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Margit Heinlaan, National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, for kindly providing us with carboxylated polystyrene microspheres used in this work. We would also like to thank Piotr Garstecki at the Institute of Physical Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland, for the kind provision of surfactant and microfluidic chip mold used in laboratory.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Veidis, E.M.; LaBeaud, A.D.; Phillips, A.A.; Barry, M. Tackling the Ubiquity of Plastic Waste for Human and Planetary Health. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2022**, *106*, 12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Brahney, J.; Mahowald, N.; Prank, M.; Cornwell, G.; Klimont, Z.; Matsui, H.; Prather, K.A. Constraining the atmospheric limb of the plastic cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *118*, e2020719118. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Frias, J.P.G.L.; Nash, R. Microplastics: Finding a consensus on the definition. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2019**, *138*, 145–147. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Gigault, J.; ter Halle, A.; Baudrimont, M.; Pascal, P.-Y.; Gauffre, F.; Phi, T.-L.; El Hadri, H.; Grassl, B.; Reynaud, S. Current opinion: What is a nanoplastic? *Environ. Pollut.* **2018**, *235*, 1030–1034. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Zhang, Y.; Lu, J.; Wu, J.; Wang, J.; Luo, Y. Potential risks of microplastics combined with superbugs: Enrichment of antibiotic resistant bacteria on the surface of microplastics in mariculture system. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2020**, *187*, 109852. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Imran, M.; Das, K.R.; Naik, M.M. Co-selection of multi-antibiotic resistance in bacterial pathogens in metal and microplastic contaminated environments: An emerging health threat. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *215*, 846–857. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Bartkova, S.; Kahru, A.; Heinlaan, M.; Scheler, O. Techniques Used for Analyzing Microplastics, Antimicrobial Resistance and Microbial Community Composition: A Mini-Review. *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, *12*, 413. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Berg, S.; Kutra, D.; Kroeger, T.; Straehle, C.N.; Kausler, B.X.; Haubold, C.; Schiegg, M.; Ales, J.; Beier, T.; Rudy, M.; et al. ilastik: Interactive machine learning for (bio)image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **2019**, *16*, 1226–1232. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Carpenter, A.E.; Jones, T.R.; Lamprecht, M.R.; Clarke, C.; Kang, I.H.; Friman, O.; Guertin, D.A.; Chang, J.H.; Lindquist, R.A.; Moffat, J.; et al. CellProfiler: Image analysis software for identifying and quantifying cell phenotypes. *Genome Biol.* **2006**, *7*, R100. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Sanka, I.; Bartkova, S.; Pata, P.; Ernits, M.; Meinberg, M.M.; Agu, N.; Aruoja, V.; Smolander, O.-P.; Scheler, O. User-friendly analysis of droplet array images. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2023**, *1272*, 341–397. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.