

Review **Distributed Manufacturing of Open Source Medical Hardware for Pandemics**

Joshua M. Pearce 1,2,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9802-3056)

- ¹ Department of Materials Science & Engineering and Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931, USA; pearce@mtu.edu
- ² Équipe de Recherche sur les Processus Innovatifs (ERPI), Université de Lorraine, 54000 Nancy, France
- ³ School of Electrical Engineering, Aalto University, 02150 Espoo, Finland

Received: 4 April 2020; Accepted: 18 May 2020; Published: 20 May 2020

Abstract: Distributed digital manufacturing offers a solution to medical supply and technology shortages during pandemics. To prepare for the next pandemic, this study reviews the state-of-the-art of open hardware designs needed in a COVID-19-like pandemic. It evaluates the readiness of the top twenty technologies requested by the Government of India. The results show that the majority of the actual medical products have some open source development, however, only 15% of the supporting technologies required to produce them are freely available. The results show there is still considerable research needed to provide open source paths for the development of all the medical hardware needed during pandemics. Five core areas of future research are discussed, which include (i) technical development of a wide-range of open source solutions for all medical supplies and devices, (ii) policies that protect the productivity of laboratories, makerspaces, and fabrication facilities during a pandemic, as well as (iii) streamlining the regulatory process, (iv) developing Good-Samaritan laws to protect makers and designers of open medical hardware, as well as to compel those with knowledge that will save lives to share it, and (v) requiring all citizen-funded research to be released with free and open source licenses.

Keywords: pandemic; influenza pandemic; open source; open hardware; COVID-19; COVID-19 pandemic; medical hardware; open source medicine

1. Introduction

Pandemics stress critical infrastructures, and hospitals can be particularly challenged [\[1](#page-13-0)[–5\]](#page-13-1). For example, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has overwhelmed our medical infrastructure at the regional level, increasing mortality rates in many regions [\[6,](#page-13-2)[7\]](#page-13-3). Developing nations are particularly vulnerable [\[8\]](#page-13-4). For example, in India, the government's ability to obtain needed supplies is challenged [\[9\]](#page-13-5). Even in the relatively wealthy U.S. [\[10\]](#page-13-6), some hospitals are overwhelmed as epicenters of the disease pass tipping points [\[11,](#page-13-7)[12\]](#page-13-8). This lack of critical infrastructure and supplies results in higher mortality primarily due to the volume of patients [\[13–](#page-14-0)[15\]](#page-14-1). The health care systems have the technology and staff to care for some patients, but not the volume of patients observed during surges brought about by a rapidly spreading virus. Traditionally, these challenges were met with two strategies: first, by pre-planning and stockpiling equipment, supplies, and medication [\[16](#page-14-2)[–22\]](#page-14-3), and second, by using policies equivalent to the American Defense Production Act, which allows the President to direct private companies to produce equipment needed for a national emergency [\[23](#page-14-4)[–25\]](#page-14-5). Unfortunately, as shown during the COVID-19 pandemic, the stockpiles are often insufficient [\[26–](#page-14-6)[28\]](#page-14-7), in part because of the high costs associated with maintaining the stockpiles [\[29](#page-14-8)[,30\]](#page-14-9). The second approach is complicated by intellectual monopolies [\[31–](#page-14-10)[33\]](#page-14-11) that entangle global value chains [\[34\]](#page-14-12) for critical medical supplies [\[35,](#page-14-13)[36\]](#page-15-0) that slow the ramp rate of production. In some cases, good-natured companies free their intellectual monopolies

during a pandemic and make technologies available for everyone to use [\[37\]](#page-15-1). Other companies even block critically-needed testing [\[38\]](#page-15-2), which directly prevents lives from being saved. Even if most of the primary equipment or supply companies act with good will, the access to the rights and supply chains of enabling technologies (e.g., the precursors to drugs or the microchips for medical devices) as well as the lack of full documentation, however, make it challenging, if not impossible, to implement a rapid scale-up of production in the face of a global pandemic [\[39](#page-15-3)[,40\]](#page-15-4). In addition, during a global pandemic, there is a conflict of interest between countries, which inhibits the global supply chains (e.g., export bans of medical equipment) [\[41–](#page-15-5)[44\]](#page-15-6)), as well as in-fighting for medical supplies within countries, states, and hospitals [\[45\]](#page-15-7).

With this context in mind, it is illuminating to consider the ongoing transition observed in the nature of manufacturing. There is growing evidence of a fundamental change in the nature of manufacturing from the traditional large-scale, centralized, long lead-time, forecast-driven production to a distributed manufacturing paradigm, where manufacturing is small-scale, decentralized, rapid, autonomous, and geographically-proxemic to the consumer [\[46\]](#page-15-8). Distributed manufacturing refers to an internet-enabled manufacturing system [\[47\]](#page-15-9) where designs are manufactured close to the consumer, normally by third-party companies [\[48\]](#page-15-10). Unlike conventional manufacturing that occurs in a centralized factory with products shipped to customers, distributed manufacturing refers to manufacturing closer to the consumer. In the most radical case, distributed manufacturing can occur in the consumers' own home. Centralized manufacturing and distributed manufacturing can produce products that are proprietary (e.g., patented) and open hardware (where the source for manufacturing a product is shared and distributed with a license that allows reproduction). With recent advances in technology, the combination of distributed manufacturing of open hardware is becoming a reality. Additive manufacturing, for example, is dominated by the open source release of the self-replicating rapid prototyper [\[49,](#page-15-11)[50\]](#page-15-12) class of 3-D printers and is currently deployed at the highest volumes throughout the globe [\[51\]](#page-15-13). This type of distributed manufacturing is already altering global value chains [\[52\]](#page-15-14) and supporting a domestication of supply chains [\[53\]](#page-15-15). Technological maturation of a number of enabling digital manufacturing technologies has allowed for a new, and even more radical, approach to mass-scaled distributed manufacturing, where consumers fabricate their own products [\[54](#page-15-16)[–60\]](#page-16-0), from toys [\[61–](#page-16-1)[63\]](#page-16-2) to high-end scientific tools [\[64](#page-16-3)[–74\]](#page-16-4). In this model, open source hardware designs, ideally made with free and open source software [\[75–](#page-16-5)[77\]](#page-16-6), are shared freely on the Internet, downloaded, and then manufactured on site, normally for substantial economic savings [\[78\]](#page-16-7). This concept can be applied to medical supplies [\[79](#page-16-8)[–82\]](#page-17-0) and there are already examples [\[83](#page-17-1)[–92\]](#page-17-2) and calls for open source development of medical devices [\[93–](#page-17-3)[96\]](#page-17-4). There is substantial evidence that funders, which invest in open source design for medical equipment can expect large rates of return as products can then be fabricated for little more than material costs [\[94,](#page-17-5)[97](#page-17-6)[–99\]](#page-17-7).

This approach of leveraging open source hardware to combat global pandemics appears promising [\[100\]](#page-17-8), but there are unanswered questions about the current viability and the maturation of the open source designs for manufacturing supplies and medically-required technologies. To determine the effort and trajectory needed to apply this new method of manufacturing medical supplies to fight a pandemic, this article evaluates the medical supplies to fight COVID-19 requested by the Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises for the Government of India [\[101\]](#page-17-9). Each technology is analyzed for availability of free and open source designs, as well as availability of open source manufacturing methods or equipment to fabricate the components of the primary requests. The results are discussed in the context of the technical research required to make this method viable, and the policies that would enable distributed manufacturing to provide a solution to the manufacturing of supplies and devices for future pandemics.

2. Materials and Methods

Thirty-nine medical supplies were listed as needed by the Government of India [\[101\]](#page-17-9) during the COVID-19 pandemic, and in this study, the most critical twenty are evaluated. First, for each

request, the existing Internet and gray literature is reviewed for open source projects and designs. For each request, it is determined if a design is available that is completely free and open source hardware (FOSH). A designation of FOSH indicates that the designs are licensed using an open source hardware license [\[102\]](#page-17-10), such as the CERN Open Hardware License (OHL) v1.2 [\[103\]](#page-17-11) and the TAPR Open Hardware License (OHL) [\[104\]](#page-18-0). Likewise, the technologies may be in the public domain. The documentation of the design can be under an open license as well, such as GNU General Public License (GPL) v.3 [\[105\]](#page-18-1) and the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 [\[106\]](#page-18-2), or again in the public domain. For projects that use software, these also must use free and open source software (FOSS) licenses [\[107\]](#page-18-3). In addition, to achieve full FOSH status, the practical details to enable replication need to be available for the medical supplies and devices. This includes possessing accessible designs that are freely accessible source files, such as bill of materials (BOM), computer aided design (CAD) files, as well as production files (e.g., STL files for 3-D printing) for mechanical designs as well as printed circuit board (PCB) layouts and other electronic design files, list of tools, and production machines required, wiring diagrams, firmware and software, as well as assembly, operation, and calibration instructions. If full FOSH documentation is not available but there are some basic designs, the request is denoted as POS for partially open source. Finally, for those requests for which there are no free and open source alternatives, the request is denoted 'Closed'. The final results are tabulated and color-coded for clarity, where dark green indicates FOSH completed, light green indicates FOSH with near full documentation, yellow means POS, orange indicates some open source tools are available, but primary plans are closed, and red means Closed technologies. It should be noted that the status of all the technologies is also labeled in text form to be accessed for color blind readers.

Similarly, the building-block technologies needed to fabricate each of the devices are evaluated. For simple technologies, these are evaluated completely. For complex devices, however, such as the glucometer, the complexity of developing each part (e.g., PCB components) far exceeds the scope of this paper and only general evaluations are presented. In all cases, the designs are evaluated to determine if they can be completely digitally manufactured, ideally from locally-sourced waste products. In some instances, using distributed recycling and additive manufacturing (DRAM) [\[108](#page-18-4)[–113\]](#page-18-5) is possible as the technologies (open source granulator [\[114\]](#page-18-6), pelletizer [\[115\]](#page-18-7), and recyclebot (an automated device to make filament for fused filament fabrication-based material extrusion 3-D printing) [\[116](#page-18-8)[–118\]](#page-18-9)) are already mature for pure polymers [\[108,](#page-18-4)[119–](#page-18-10)[124\]](#page-18-11) and complex plastic packaging, blends, and composites [\[125–](#page-18-12)[129\]](#page-19-0). In addition, direct material extrusion of waste is now possible for additive manufacturing [\[112,](#page-18-13)[130](#page-19-1)[–135\]](#page-19-2). Utilizing widely-dispersed waste material as building blocks would ensure wide accessibility of the approach for providing medical technologies.

3. Results

The documentation to obtain FOSH is substantial and most projects were not able to meet the rigorous requirements, as shown in the results below for each of the top 20 requested medical supplies requested by the Indian government during the COVD-19 pandemic. It should be noted that the patent literature was not included in the analysis because of lack of practical utility, although many patents are no longer in force and a database is available to find inactive patents [\[136\]](#page-19-3).

3.1. Ventilators

A recent review of open source ventilators was completed in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic [\[137\]](#page-19-4) which found that the peer-reviewed and vetted systems [\[138–](#page-19-5)[144\]](#page-19-6) lacked complete documentation and the "open source" ventilator systems that were documented [\[145\]](#page-19-7) were either at the very early stages of design (often without a prototype) and lacked testing, or only had basic testing. This is changing rapidly with AmboVent releasing all plans (Figure [1\)](#page-3-0) [\[146\]](#page-19-8) as well as a new medical study providing full plans for a \$75 non-invasive pressure support ventilator [\[147\]](#page-19-9), and there are several projects like the OxyGEN [\[148\]](#page-20-0), an automated manual ventilator, being tested that appear to be at or near the point of production for use in hospitals. Many of the designs, particularly in the open source community, relied on an Arduino [\[149](#page-20-1)-151], which is an open source microcontroller, but many of the core components have no open source equivalent, such as the valves and methods of producing pressure. Although it should be noted that for this specific technology, there is concern about aerosolizing the virus with these systems, and thus they are a potential threat to health-care workers [\[152\]](#page-20-3) without appropriate safety precautions. Thus, appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE), high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA), and negative pressure rooms can also be considered required associated equipment. There is discussion about CPAP (continuous positive airway pressure) being a better alternative for non-invasive treatment [\[153,](#page-20-4)[154\]](#page-20-5). This device is identified as POS/Closed, but, as of this writing, there is significant international development occurring in this space, so this may change rapidly because of the critical nature of ventilators in treating COVID-19 patients.

Figure 1. Ambovent, an open source ventilator system logo stressing the need for an open source **Figure 1.** Ambovent, an open source ventilator system logo stressing the need for an open source mentality.

3.2. Alcohol-Based Hand-Rub

For a hand sanitizer to be effective, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) $\frac{1}{2}$ for a hand sanitive same $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\frac{1}{2}$ for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Prevention (CDC) and Prevention (CDC) and Prevention (CDC) has provided a detailed guide for the local production of hand-rub formulations [\[156\]](#page-20-7), as does the Λ Propona Forganization has provided a detailed guide for the local production of hand-rub formulations $\frac{1}{2}$ as does the Appropedia Foundation [157]. Knowledge of alcohol production is widespread and hydrogen peroxide are both widely available, but detailed validated instructions and safety testing glycerol and hydrogen peroxide are both widely available, but detailed validated instructions and reports that it must contain at least 60% alcohol content (and<80%) [\[155\]](#page-20-6). TheWorld Health Organization Appropedia Foundation [\[157\]](#page-20-8). Knowledge of alcohol production is widespread and glycerol and would assist open source production.

3.3. Face Shield (Eye, Nose, and Mouth Protection)

3.3. Face Shield (Eye, Nose, and Mouth Protection) Several 3-D printable designs for face shields were created during the COVID-19 pandemic bands. Other designs can simply be cut from the plastic sheets themselves and were released on Creative Commons licenses [\[161\]](#page-20-11). Such PPE is widely used throughout the world [\[162,](#page-20-12)[163\]](#page-20-13). The entire device could be fabricated using open source tools and could meet its function from plastic waste using readily available RepRap-class 3-D printers and recyclebots. \overline{c} could meet its function from plastic \overline{c} and could meet its function from plastic waste using using using \overline{c} (e.g., Figure [2\)](#page-4-0) [\[158](#page-20-9)[–160\]](#page-20-10). They involve a printed component, a clear plastic sheet, and in some cases,

readily available RepRap-class 3-D printers and recyclebots. *3.4. N95 Masks*

Significant effort is underway globally to find alternatives to N95 masks due to the global shortage of N95 masks during the COVIND-19 pandemic. Several designs were made available that could be 3-D printed [\[164](#page-20-14)[–166\]](#page-20-15) and then would use cut up pieces of commercially manufactured filter materials

or alternative materials [\[167\]](#page-20-16). Southworth has also made available plans to fabricate an N95 substitute using HEPA filters [\[168\]](#page-20-17). More research is necessary to develop open source methods of manufacturing the active filter material making up the HEPA filters, as well as more reliable and deployable methods of testing. *J. Manuf. Mater. Process.* **2020**, *4*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 5 of 27

Figure 2. Michigan Tech student volunteer, Bharth Lavu, with 3-D printed open source face shields **Figure 2.** Michigan Tech student volunteer, Bharth Lavu, with 3-D printed open source face shields he fabricated on open source 3-D printers for use in local hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic.

3.4. N95 Masks 3.5. Latex Single-Use Gloves (Clinical)

Although the process for fabricating latex gloves is well established [\[169\]](#page-20-18), there does not appear to be an open source process or projects for fabricating latex gloves.

 $\overline{36}P$ printed $\overline{16}P$ and $\overline{16$ materials or alternative materials [167]. Southworth has also made available plans to fabricate an N95 *3.6. Reusable Vinyl*/*Rubber Gloves (Cleaning)*

Similar to latex gloves, there does not appear to be an open source project focusing on manufacturing reusable vinyl or rubber gloves. As these materials are thicker, it is possible to consider laser welding gloves from sheets of the material using plastic welders [\[170\]](#page-20-19) and then automatically cutting them out using any number of open source laser cutters [\[171](#page-20-20)[–174\]](#page-21-0).

\mathcal{A} , the process for fabricating latest is well established \mathcal{A} *3.7. Eye Protection (Visor*/*Goggles)*

Although a wide range of open source frames for glasses have been designed, including 3-D *3.6. Reusable Vinyl/Rubber Gloves (Cleaning)* and untested. There is, however, a substantial collection of open source face shields being designed, 3-D printed or manufactured/assembled, and used during the COVID-19 pandemic as discussed
in Gastian 2.2 $\frac{1}{2}$ reusable vinyl or rubber gloves. As the set materials are thicker, it is possible to is possible to the thicker, it is possible to it is po printable self-adjustable glasses [\[57\]](#page-16-9), those meant as safety glasses or goggles are only crudely modeled in Section [3.3.](#page-3-1)

consider laser welding gloves from sheets of the material using plastic welders [170] and then *3.8. Protective Gowns*/*Aprons*

automatically cutting them out using any number of open source laser cutters [171–174]. *3.7. Eye Protection (Visor/Goggles)* made a face mask pattern available for the COVID-19 pandemic [\[175\]](#page-21-1)) and open source pattern None, however, appear focused on medical needs, nor have the materials available to lay people been tested (as with mask materials) to see how they perform in medical environments. $m = \frac{1}{2}$ There is a robust open source sewing community that shares patterns (e.g., Free Sewing that design software like Seamly2D [\[176\]](#page-21-2). In addition, patterns for gowns and aprons are available.

3.9. Disposable Thermometers

Disposable thermometers are based on a chemical system by varying the ratio of two organic chemicals, which are completely soluble in each other while having different melting points. Thus, the melting point of the mixture can be adjusted anywhere between the two end points of the two chemicals. Research has been conducted in the open source appropriate technology community [\[177](#page-21-3)[,178\]](#page-21-4) on such active thermometers in the past to make water pasteurization indicators (WAPI) for solar water pasteurization [179-[182\]](#page-21-6). There does not appear to be any efforts to make open source disposable thermometers in the open source medical community, but research on 3-D printing microfluidics with a number of technologies appears to be a promising route [\[183](#page-21-7)[–191\]](#page-21-8).

3.10. UV Tube Light for Sterilization

UV radiation can be used to inactivate microorganisms by causing DNA damage and thus interfering with DNA replication [192,193]. It has been shown to be effective at disabling coronavirus [194,195]. There are already detailed open source designs for a programmable and low-cost ultraviolet room disinfection device (see Figure 3) [196] which could be adapted for use in a pandemic. There is a clear need and promise of using UV radiation to extend the life of PPE during a pandemic [197], and developing a distributed manufactured system to sterilize hand-held or -sized objects appears to be possible. There are currently no plans available, however, to manufacture the core components (either UV tube lights or UV LEDs), which are the critical components of such devices.

Figure 3. An open source programmable and low-cost ultraviolet room disinfection device (**left**), **Figure 3.** An open source programmable and low-cost ultraviolet room disinfection device (**left**), controls (**middle**), and results (**right**). controls (**middle**), and results (**right**).

3.11. Medical Masks (Surgical/Procedure) 3.11. Medical Masks (Surgical/*Procedure)*

The same limitations and opportunities as were discussed in Section 3.4 are present for both The same limitations and opportunities as were discussed in Section [3.4](#page-3-2) are present for both surgical and procedure-based medical masks. Although it should be noted that the requirements for surgical and procedure-based medical masks. Although it should be noted that the requirements for these masks are less stringent than for the N95 masks [\[198\]](#page-22-1), which would make meeting a specification more easily obtained with widely-available materials. Dato et al. have discussed the use of T-shirt material to act as a simple respiratory mask $[199]$ and there is evidence that homemade masks decrease viral exposure and reduce probability of infection [\[167,](#page-20-16)[200\]](#page-22-3). $\,$

3.12. Detergent/Disinfectant 3.12. Detergent/*Disinfectant*

Recipes for a 'homemade laundry detergent' that are as effective as commercial detergents are widespread and can be made for significantly lower costs (50% less or more) than proprietary formulations [\[201\]](#page-22-4). DIY recipes normally contain a mixture of borax (sodium borate), washing soda,

and bar soap along with non-active ingredients to add a pleasant odor; but can be made without borax [\[202\]](#page-22-5). Such a DIY laundry detergent would be expected to remove coronavirus, as it contains soap (just like washing hands). Thus, such recipes would be expected to be effective for disinfecting medical clothing, but a study is needed in this area. Similarly, there are numerous open source resources for fabricating the main ingredients: soap (e.g., [\[203\]](#page-22-6)) and washing soda (e.g., [\[204\]](#page-22-7)).

3.13. Single-Use Towels

Similar to Section [3.8,](#page-4-1) the know-how to make a disposable towel is widespread and no pattern is necessary due to its simplicity, but detailed guidelines of acceptable materials have not been developed. Unanswered questions include 'Can rags cut to shape and sterilized be sufficient?', 'Does the material need to be autoclavable?', and 'Can rags made of different materials be color-coded, representing utility for different functions in the hospital?'.

3.14. Biohazard Bags

Biohazard bags are generally fabricated from 1.5 mils (0.038 mm) to 2 mils (0.05 mm) thick polypropylene plastic, which can be sterilized with steam. California law is specific about the mechanical properties of a biohazard bag [\[205\]](#page-22-8), which must pass the tests for tear resistance of 480 g in both parallel and perpendicular planes with respect to the length of the bag, following the American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) D1922, "Standard Test Method for Propagation Tear Resistance of Plastic Film and Thin Sheeting by Pendulum Method" [\[206\]](#page-22-9), and for impact resistance of 165 g, following ASTM D1709, "Standard Test Methods for Impact Resistance of Plastic Film by the Free-Falling Dart Method" [\[207\]](#page-22-10). Biohazard bags often generally have bold visuals printed on them signifying decontamination use, and some jurisdictions specify a color. Similar to Section [3.6,](#page-4-2) open source laser welders/cutters could be used to manufacture bags from raw materials, but future research is needed to determine if there are other mass-manufactured bags (i.e., garbage bags) that could be repurposed during a pandemic.

3.15. Wheelchair

A well-established literature has developed around the need for low-cost open source wheelchairs made with PVC pipe, CNC laser cut wood, constructed around inexpensive outdoor lawn chairs, and cut plywood [\[208–](#page-22-11)[210\]](#page-22-12). The Hu-Go (Figure [4\)](#page-7-0) [\[211\]](#page-22-13), Kigali Chair [\[212\]](#page-22-14), and the TooWheels [\[213\]](#page-22-15) are all open source wheelchairs that could be fabricated in makerspaces and fab labs. There are also open source wheelchairs meant for off-road (e.g., more challenging) conditions like the SafariSeat [\[214\]](#page-22-16) that could be used for rural clinics, but are meant to be used by healthy people. In addition to the normal advantages of open hardware, including cost and customizability, ease of repair is a substantial benefit of open wheelchair designs [\[215\]](#page-22-17). As the materials are very diverse with which any of the many open source wheelchair designs can be made, the manufacture of the components is also deemed readily accessible. It should be pointed out, however, there is a clear opportunity for developing open source versions of patient chairs on casters for patient transport within hospitals using common maker techniques and tools.

3.16. Glucometer with Strips

Meehan has developed an open source universal glucometer that can use test strips from any supplier [\[216\]](#page-22-18), which includes all software, electronic design files, and mechanical designs for a 3-D printed case. Gluco is another open source glucometer based on an Arduino Uno and the e-Health Shield [\[217\]](#page-22-19). There have been some studies on printing low-cost glucose test strips on paper [\[218\]](#page-22-20), but more research is needed in this area, as well as perhaps the more promising development of open source, non-invasive tests.

Figure 4. An open source wheelchair called the HU-GO. **Figure 4.** An open source wheelchair called the HU-GO.

3.16. Glucometer with Strips 3.17. Medicine

This request is too broad to adequately analyze, but there are substantial efforts like Open Source Pharma, which hopes to be the "Linux of drugs" [\[219\]](#page-22-21) (in reference to the free and open source Linux operating system which dominates super computers, the cloud, smartphones, and embedded leaders and the e-Health an systems [\[220](#page-22-22)[–222\]](#page-23-0)). Open source methods have already been developed to specifically target neglected tropical diseases like malaria [\[223](#page-23-1)[–225\]](#page-23-2). Open source biotechnology and drug discovery are becoming the more promising development of open source biotechnology and drug discovery are becoming more established because of the ability of the open source collaboration to accelerate discovery [\[226–](#page-23-3)[230\]](#page-23-4). *3.17. Medicine* (iii) relevance of research, and (iv) encourage a wider participation by the scientific and patient all relevant diseases as well as the methods to manufacture them. An open source pharma roadmap has been established to improve (i) efficiency, (ii) quality of research, communities [\[231\]](#page-23-5). Far more research is needed, however, to develop a range of open source drugs for

operating system which dominates super computers, the cloud, smartphones, and embedded *3.18. IV Fluid-DNS*

DNS IV fluid is used to correct salt depletion and hypovolemia (decreased volume of blood in circulation) with a supply of energy. Each 100 mL of DNS IV fluid is made up of sterile water with 5.0 g of dextrose anhydrous and 0.90 g of sodium chloride [\[232\]](#page-23-6). The materials and formula are well known and accessible, but the manufacturing method is not. As only a few facilities make IV fluids, when Hurricane Maria struck Puerto Rico, home to a key manufacturer, it created a critical shortage throughout the whole world [\[233\]](#page-23-7). Some have contended that there is not a simple solution to this problem [\[234\]](#page-23-8), however, the development of clear distributed manufacturing/sterilization methodologies and the use of other types of fluid containers and digitally-manufactured quick connects ensure that the methods do not introduce further disease into patients that would use it. could provide a range of solutions to this and other IV fluid shortages. Extreme care must be taken to

5.0 g of dextrose anhydrous and 0.90 g of sodium chloride [232]. The materials and formula are well *3.19. IV Fluid-Dextrose*

Dextrose IV fluid is used as a basic fluid to provide some calories and can also be used to administer various drugs. It is also often used to prevent or treat dehydration. It is available in concentrations of 5%, 10%, and 25% where each 100 mL of sterile water contains 5.0 g, 10.0 g, and 25.0 g of dextrose, respectively [\[235\]](#page-23-9). Similar to the request in Section [3.18,](#page-7-1) the materials are known, but a method to mix the components, sterilize the solutions (e.g., open source autoclave), and contain them using low-cost, widely accessible manufacturing methods needs further development. be taken to ensure that the methods do not introduce further distance further distance function \mathcal{L}

3.20. Hard-Frozen Gel Packs

There are a plethora of low-cost methods to fabricate frozen gel packs, which do not demand special equipment, including filling a freezer or ziplock bag with (i) corn syrup, (ii) dish soap, (iii) combination of vodka and water, (iv) diaper with water and alcohol, (v) salt water, (vi) loose change, (vii) sponge with water, and (viii) rice grains [\[236\]](#page-23-10). The latter two methods can even utilize a cloth bag. Only modest effort would be needed to identify the lowest-cost and most accessible materials for a given location.

3.21. Summary of Results

Table [1](#page-8-0) summarizes the status of open source development of India's top twenty requested medical supplies and their method of manufacture of components during the COVID-19 pandemic. Most of these medical supplies are for general medical use with 1 and 4 very specific to an airborne-based virus. As can be seen from Table [1,](#page-8-0) whereas the majority (75%) of the actual medical products have had some inroads into open source development, the methods of manufacture and the base materials are 70% closed. For the core supplies or devices, forty percent are open source now and another thirty-five percent are within range of being developed with a concentrated effort. On the other hand, for the supporting technologies that make the open source device possible, only fifteen percent have support.

Table 1. Summary of open source development status of India's top 20 requested medical supplies and their method of manufacture of components during the COVID-19 pandemic. Dark green indicates FOSH completed, light green indicates FOSH with near full documentation, yellow means POS, orange indicates some open source tools are available, but primary plans are closed, and red indicates closed technologies.

4. Discussion

As the current pandemic has shown, our economy is fragile and dependent on a complex web of business relationships that can be severely disrupted [\[42](#page-15-17)[–45\]](#page-15-7). The industrial society can borrow the concept of resilience from ecology [\[237\]](#page-23-11) where a system persists in a state of equilibrium even when the system is stressed. Due in part to the complexity of industrial society involving unprecedented information and material exchanges, our supply chains and thus our entire society is

sensitive to disruptive events [\[238\]](#page-23-12). Thus, just as individual companies are building resilient supply chains [\[238–](#page-23-12)[242\]](#page-23-13), an industrial civilization needs to consider the benefits of resilience. Similarly, just as individual enterprises are undertaking preventative actions to be more resilient in the face of a disruptive event [\[243\]](#page-23-14), so can the entire industrial base become more prepared and resilient. Preparedness capacity in this context means being flexible and agile enough to anticipate the consequences of a pandemic such as COVID-19 and promote the open source paradigm before the next pandemic occurrence. Although disrupted manufacturing (closed commercial or open) would lead to a more resilient system for the supply of needed products during a pandemic or other global disaster, open source distributed manufacturing ensures the widest possible dispersion of fabrication knowledge and ability making for the most resilient society. Only with open hardware can the largest possible number of fabricators that could make the product be able to legally produce the product. In the same way, only if the designs are shared open source before a pandemic can the knowledge be ensured to be available (e.g., a large coronal mass ejection from a solar storm could greatly reduce global communication during the next pandemic [\[244\]](#page-23-15)). In addition, sharing designs ahead of a disaster can enable training of medical staff for a new device to allow for it to be deployed, as in the current pandemic, training on new equipment was also sometimes a barrier to deployment [\[245\]](#page-23-16). Thus, to ensure a resilient society, preventative actions can take the form of ensuring a robust public health system [\[246](#page-23-17)[,247\]](#page-24-0), ensuring adequate food for the population no matter the crisis by sharing information about alternative foods [\[248–](#page-24-1)[250\]](#page-24-2), and ensuring supply chains for critical products through four techniques: (i) by developing a wide range of diverse open source design solutions and sharing them, (ii) ensuring the society has the means to manufacture them locally by protecting laboratories, makerspaces, and fabrication facilities during shelter in place, (iii) streamlining regulatory processes so safe solutions can get to the public when they are needed, and (iv) develop Good-Samaritan laws to protect those designing and making open hardware.

4.1. Diversity of Solutions Needed

The list of products requested by the Indian government during the COVID-19 pandemic can be roughly regarded as in the order of perceived need. Therefore, open source designers could focus their attention on the top of the list first to be most effective, while ensuring it is in areas they have experience either in the specific supply, device, or the method of manufacture. This has certainly occurred organically with request 1: an open source ventilator. Despite the massive efforts underway, however, fully documented and tested systems that can be deployed and manufactured in a range of contexts remain elusive (why and how to overcome this in the future will be discussed in Sections [4.2–](#page-10-0)[4.4\)](#page-11-0). Open hardware designers should not stop their efforts as open designs are made available for the specific project they are focusing upon. This is because having many methods of solving the problem will ensure that these life-saving devices or supplies can be manufactured throughout the world.

A good example of this that has occurred in the past is with a technology further down on the list of Indian's priorities, number 35, which is the syringe pump. An open source syringe pump library was developed that can be customized for any syringe using a scriptable CAD (OpenSCAD), 3-D printed from waste plastic, and driven wirelessly with a Raspberry Pi [\[251\]](#page-24-3) and open source software [\[252\]](#page-24-4). These and their variants (e.g., using an Arduino [\[253\]](#page-24-5)) and other types of open source syringe pump controllers have been developed [\[254\]](#page-24-6) to allow for multi-syringe devices [\[255\]](#page-24-7) or different sizes [\[256\]](#page-24-8), and to help different applications in biotech [\[237\]](#page-23-11) and DNA labs [\[68\]](#page-16-10), and those with feedback that enable even more sophisticated control [\[257,](#page-24-9)[258\]](#page-24-10). Ideally, such technical diversity could be realized for all of the supplies and technologies needed to combat a pandemic.

Having a great diversity of solutions relying on different materials and approaches will ensure the greatest possible coverage, because if only one approach is validated, the core components could quickly become unavailable during a global pandemic, as all nations race to fabricate the devices for their own populations. Whenever possible, these approaches should share a set of open safety testing procedures to ensure that they can be used safely. In addition, as in the COVID-19 pandemic, shipping and global supply chains can become disrupted, and thus being able to fabricate medical hardware

from local supplies becomes important. Thus, whenever possible, open hardware designers of medical equipment for pandemics should consider multiple approaches and tools for the fabrication of their device, as well as alternate materials. This is particularly prescient with respect to materials. It should be pointed out, not all of the materials are as advanced in their technical state for DRAM as plastic, which was heavily favored as the solution for many of the requests shown in Table [1.](#page-8-0) Substantial effort should be made to move open source DRAM for metals, ceramics, and semiconductors.

4.2. Protecting Laboratories, MakerSpaces, and Fabrication Facilities During 'Shelter in Place'

Looking at the results in Table [1,](#page-8-0) the complexity of the requested device or supply does not appear to be an indicator of a fully open source design. Supplies like gel packs that have frequent home-based/consumer use have many solutions posted and tested by many all over the world. These are simple to construct and have many substitutable materials. Even complex medical devices, like a wheelchair, also have several designs, primarily because of the need in the developing world for low-cost substitutes. Some simple supplies, however, like latex gloves, do not have available open source solutions, nor complex devices like ventilators. These results can in part be explained by access to technologies at home to fabricate them. Therefore, for example, numerous types of open source face shields (Section [3.3\)](#page-3-1) are available because they can be fabricated from readily available clear plastic sheets and a simple print from a desktop 3-D printer. Open source fused-filament-based 3-D printers are now widespread and there is a general ethos of sharing in the community as witnessed by the exponentially growing number of free designs [\[78\]](#page-16-7) in repositories like YouMagine, MyMiniFactory, GrabCAD, Thingiverse, Cults, Pinshape, TurboSquid, Prusaprinters, CGTrader, 3DExport, Free3D, and the NIH 3D Print Exchange. As people had these fabrication facilities available at home and access to the plans on the Internet, it is now common for them to make face shields for their local hospitals.

Complex devices, however, which had not previously had substantial open hardware development and that require facilities beyond the confines of a typical maker's home, have been far more challenging to complete than under normal circumstances. During a pandemic, many universities are closed first as potential hosts of 'super spreaders', and after shelter in place orders are put in place, most makerspaces, fab labs, machine shops, manufacturers, and university labs are shut. This limits innovation velocities. Shelter in place that is necessary to slow the spread of the pandemic not only hampers development of new technology, but can also slow manufacturing as more open hardware is designed, tested, vetted, and approved for widespread deployment, and makers will need access to their equipment to make it. In some cases, makers can get requisite permissions to use their facilities again as long as strict social distancing rules are maintained [\[259\]](#page-24-11). To avoid this situation occurring in the future, however, policies should be instituted to have mixed-use makerspaces. This means that whenever possible, superusers or those that maintain the equipment in the various types of makerspaces should be 'in residence' or facilities adapted to make them as such during a pandemic. Instituting such protocols varies in the degree of challenge, depending on federal, state, and institutional rules and laws. As the risk to human life to not have full access to fabrication and development equipment is large, efforts should be made to adjust rules and laws to prepare for the next pandemic.

4.3. Streamline Regulatory Processes

Any medical equipment to be used on humans, even during a pandemic, must, to the greatest extent possible, be proven to do more good than harm (and ideally no harm). Studies to enable medical hardware to become approved by the medical authorities of most developed countries is complex. For example, any studies involving testing on humans needs institutional review board (IRB) approval, which alone could take months at the university level. For example, a recent study by Hall et al. found the initial IRB review took two to four months, with expedited and exempt reviews requiring less time with medians of 85 and 82 days, respectively [\[260\]](#page-24-12). The full board reviews had a median of 131 days, but some went up to 296 days! This obviously is a major impediment to rapid research

and development. During a pandemic, where, for example, there was an exponential rise of cases and preventable death due to lack of supplies in Italy [\[261\]](#page-24-13), any such lengthy delay is unacceptable.

If the device is to be used or manufactured in regulated areas, there are numerous challenges to making medical devices [\[262\]](#page-24-14). For example, studies in the U.S. needed to enable open hardware to be used clinically, would need an Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) to allow for a non-FDA approved device. This is only a temporary approval and the complete device would need actual FDA approval for legal deployment unless the laws are temporarily changed or suspended during a pandemic. In the case of the U.S., the FDA has, for example, altered enforcement policies during the pandemic [\[263\]](#page-24-15) and made emergency use authorization (EUA) for a number of technologies [\[264\]](#page-24-16), both made in the U.S. and imported [\[265\]](#page-24-17). Similar regulatory roadblocks are in place in other nations and would need to be removed/improved to have a clear method that allows for rapid response and deployment of needed medical supplies and technologies during a pandemic.

4.4. Development of a Good-Samaritan Law for Protection of Makers and Designers of Open Source Medical Hardware

During the current and potential future pandemics, there is a need to limit liability on the part of the designers, makers, and users (e.g., medical professionals) of open source medical hardware. Substantial future research is needed in this area, but one approach could be to expand Good-Samaritan laws. In general, Good-Samaritan laws legally protect those who give reasonable assistance to others whom they believe to be injured, ill, in peril, or otherwise incapacitated [\[266](#page-24-18)[,267\]](#page-24-19). This legal protection is intended to reduce barriers for one person to help another because of potential liability or prosecution for unintended negative consequences. These types of protections are critical to reduce barriers to companies and individuals to release all of their designs, which would allow others to replicate in other locations. These laws can be instituted in such a way so as to provide as much protections as possible for patients and their care givers from harm. This is challenging and will involve substantial thought and careful implementation.

Laws could also directly help the release of documentation on known life-saving technology. One way to do this is to use an expanded version of the Good-Samaritan laws. Often these laws require people to aid others who are exposed to grave physical harm if there is no risk for the rescuer. For example, in Finland, there is an explicit "general duty to act" and "engage in rescue activities according to [one's] abilities" [\[268\]](#page-24-20). Thus, the Finnish rules include a principle of proportionality, which requires more of professionals than of laypersons. The Finnish Criminal Code section 15 (578/1995) stipulates "A person who knows that another is in mortal danger or serious danger to his or her health, and does not give or procure such assistance that in view of his or her options and the nature of the situation can reasonably be expected, shall be sentenced for neglect of rescue to a fine or to imprisonment for at most six months." Other European countries have similar laws like Spain and France. When we apply this European logic to engineers and companies that design and make medical equipment, the results are interesting. Such parties have knowledge that, if shared, would save lives, and, if not shared (or not released with a usable open source license), there is reasonable assurance that people will die unnecessarily. Is that ethically or morally defensible? If not, it would appear that these designers and companies should be compelled to share the artificial construct of 'intellectual property (IP)' that would save others, and be held criminally liable for not doing so under such legal logic. When analyzed in this way, the current global shortage of a wide range of proprietary products call into question the entire IP system. It is intuitively obvious that if proprietary designs were shared for the articles in Table [1,](#page-8-0) shortages would be reduced and lives would be saved. Others might argue that without the IP protections in place, innovations may not have occurred. There is a long-simmering debate in the literature on the efficacy of IP laws to even drive innovation at all [\[31–](#page-14-10)[35,](#page-14-13)[269,](#page-24-21)[270\]](#page-24-22). Many studies have concluded that the IP law simply gets in the way of innovation in a wide range of fields and creates artificial (socially constructed) scarcity [\[31](#page-14-10)[–35,](#page-14-13)[177,](#page-21-3)[271,](#page-24-23)[272\]](#page-24-24). There are now well-established and successful business models based around open source technology

(both software and hardware) [\[99](#page-17-7)[,273–](#page-24-25)[276\]](#page-25-0), so the protests of intellectual property defenders grow progressively more feeble, and the case for weakening patent rights is strong [\[277\]](#page-25-1). The shortage of supplies and technologies analyzed in this study appear to support these claims. If widespread, completely open source products, along with their methods of production, are available, shortages are not. This hypothesis could be tested using the results of this study, as, for example, it would appear that face shields will become less critical than N95 masks, despite the initial ranking from India, because both the device and the method are open source and thus a wide array of makers can fabricate them and make them available to the hospitals.

4.5. Require All Citizen Funded Research Be Released Freely under Open Source Licenses

As this review has shown, there is still considerable research needed to provide open source paths for the development of all the medical hardware needed during a pandemic. It is clear that such designs should be made open source so that everyone in the world can benefit from them. Including both the core products and their components, nearly half of the list of evaluated needs for India are closed and proprietary. Yet, much of the development of these technologies were funded by various governments. This is a problem, because the IP system is centered on laws that exclude others from using an invention. Is it tolerable for citizens to be excluded from using technologies they funded?

There are many examples of this particular problem, but perhaps the most egregious currently-relevant example is the number 1 request of ventilators on the list from India for the COVID-19 pandemic. In the U.S., federal research money was invested in private companies to specifically develop this life-saving technology to prepare for just such a pandemic as is occurring now [\[278\]](#page-25-2). Public funds were given to a company, Newport, to create a low-cost ventilator, yet the project stalled as Newport was acquired by Covidien, and no ventilators were ever delivered. Both "government officials and executives at rival ventilator companies said they suspected that Covidien had acquired Newport to prevent it from building a cheaper product that would undermine Covidien's profits from its existing ventilator business." [\[278\]](#page-25-2). Then, Covidien was in turn purchased by Medtronic. Interestingly, Medtronic just obtained a substantial amount of positive press for providing a temporary permissive license for a ventilator (only during the pandemic) and providing design files [\[279\]](#page-25-3). This temporary license may reduce deployment as decision makers weigh investing in devices they will not be able to use after the crisis vs. investing in those they are legally able to use later. After inspection of the initial release, although many files were included, both software and CAD files were not apparent. This obviously limits the ability of anyone to replicate the device without Medtronic's direct assistance. Fortunately, Medtronic has now released all files and hopefully will help as many fabrication facilities as possible to build their design until all of the global demand for ventilators is met. Or, they may not, as they have already done more than all of the other ventilator vendors have to date. All of the other commercial ventilator companies have this same choice. To prevent the lives of the world's citizens from being held ransom for the profits of such companies for any life-saving device or supply in the future, all government-funded research could have a requirement for free and open source licensing. This could be done similarly to how there are a growing number of science funders that demand open access for the research they fund [\[280\]](#page-25-4).

5. Conclusions

To prepare for the next pandemic and assist in solving critical shortages for the current COVID-19 pandemic, this study reviewed the readiness of the top twenty technologies requested by the Government of India. The results show that the majority of the actual medical products have had some open source development; however, only a tiny fraction of the supporting technologies were freely available that make the open source device possible. The results show there is still considerable research needed to provide open source paths for the development of all the medical hardware needed during pandemics. The results of this investigation also show there are five core areas of future research needed to provide the global community with an 'open source' insurance policy of a collection of vetted and

tested freely available designs and methods of manufacture for needed medical technology. To enable this potential source of increased security and resilience for the world, the technical development of a wide range of open source solutions for all medical supplies and devices is needed. Policies are needed to protect the productivity of laboratories, makerspaces, and fabrication facilities during a pandemic to enable such products to be fabricated when the need arises. These products need to be safe, but there is also a need for streamlining the regulatory process. Lawyers can help as well as technologists by developing Good-Samaritan laws to protect makers, designers, and users of open medical hardware, as well as to compel those with knowledge that will save lives to share it. Finally, it is 2020 and all of the needed technologies are relatively well-known by scientists and engineers, yet unavailable in the quantities needed. Requiring all citizen-funded research to be released with free and open source licenses in the future will prevent such artificial scarcity from needlessly allowing people to die.

Funding: This research was funded by the Witte Endowment.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank all the researchers, makers, and hackers currently developing free and open source solutions to medical equipment for this and future pandemics.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Itzwerth, R.L.; MacIntyre, C.R.; Shah, S.; Plant, A.J. Pandemic influenza and critical infrastructure dependencies: Possible impact on hospitals. *Med. J. Aust.* **2006**, *185*, S70–S72. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2006.tb00712.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17115957)
- 2. Fair, J.M.; LeClaire, R.J.; Wilson, M.L.; Turk, A.L.; DeLand, S.M.; Powell, D.R.; Klare, P.C.; Ewers, M.; Dauelsberg, L.; Izraelevitz, D. An Integrated Simulation of Pandemic Influenza Evolution, Mitigation and Infrastructure Response. In Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE Conference on Technologies for Homeland Security, Woburn, MA, USA, 16–17 May 2007; pp. 240–245.
- 3. Williams, V.J. Fluconomics: Preserving our hospital infrastructure during and after a pandemic. *Yale J. Health Policy Law Ethics* **2007**, *7*, 99.
- 4. Adini, B.; Goldberg, A.; Cohen, R.; Bar-Dayan, Y. Relationship between equipment and infrastructure for pandemic influenza and performance in an avian flu drill. *Emerg. Med. J.* **2009**, *26*, 786–790. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/emj.2008.066746) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19850801)
- 5. Homeland Security Council. *National Strategy for Pandemic Influenza*; Homeland Security Council: Washington, DC, USA, 2005.
- 6. World Health Organization Critical Preparedness, Readiness and Response Actions for COVID-19. Available online: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/[novel-coronavirus-2019](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/critical-preparedness-readiness-and-response-actions-for-covid-19)/technical-guidance/ [critical-preparedness-readiness-and-response-actions-for-covid-19](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/critical-preparedness-readiness-and-response-actions-for-covid-19) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 7. Lancet, T. COVID-19: Too little, too late? *Lancet* **2020**, *395*, 755. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30522-5)
- 8. Gilbert, M.; Pullano, G.; Pinotti, F.; Valdano, E.; Poletto, C.; Boëlle, P.-Y.; D'Ortenzio, E.; Yazdanpanah, Y.; Eholie, S.P.; Altmann, M.; et al. Preparedness and vulnerability of African countries against importations of COVID-19: A modelling study. *Lancet* **2020**, *395*, 871–877. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30411-6)
- 9. Chakraborty, P.S. Shamika Ravi, and Sikim COVID-19 | Is India's Health Infrastructure Equipped to Handle an Epidemic? Brookings 2020. Available online: https://[www.brookings.edu](https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2020/03/24/is-indias-health-infrastructure-equipped-to-handle-an-epidemic/)/blog/up-front/2020/03/24/is[indias-health-infrastructure-equipped-to-handle-an-epidemic](https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2020/03/24/is-indias-health-infrastructure-equipped-to-handle-an-epidemic/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 10. CDC Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Summary. Available online: https://[www.cdc.gov](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/summary.html)/ coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/[summary.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/summary.html) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 11. Ramsey, L. Hospitals Could be Overwhelmed with Patients and Run out of Beds and Ventilators as the Coronavirus Pushes the US Healthcare System to Its Limits. Available online: https://[www.](https://www.businessinsider.com/coronavirus-intensive-care-unit-shortages-of-ventilators-staff-space-2020-3) businessinsider.com/[coronavirus-intensive-care-unit-shortages-of-ventilators-sta](https://www.businessinsider.com/coronavirus-intensive-care-unit-shortages-of-ventilators-staff-space-2020-3)ff-space-2020-3 (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 12. Armour, M.E. Hospital Capacity Crosses Tipping Point in U.S. Coronavirus Hot Spots. *Wall Str. J.* **2020**. Available online: https://www.wsj.com/articles/[hospital-capacity-crosses-tipping-point-in-u-s-coronavirus](https://www.wsj.com/articles/hospital-capacity-crosses-tipping-point-in-u-s-coronavirus-hot-spots-11585215006)[hot-spots-11585215006](https://www.wsj.com/articles/hospital-capacity-crosses-tipping-point-in-u-s-coronavirus-hot-spots-11585215006) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 13. Choe, S.; Hinnant, L.; Press, T.S.A. Comments, 6:40 pm Email to a Friend Share on Facebook Share on TwitterPrint This Article View Italian Hospitals Overwhelmed by Deaths Amid Coronavirus Outbreak—The Boston Globe. Available online: https://[www.bostonglobe.com](https://www.bostonglobe.com/2020/03/12/nation/italian-hospitals-overwhelmed-by-deaths-amid-coronavirus-outbreak/)/2020/03/12/nation/italian[hospitals-overwhelmed-by-deaths-amid-coronavirus-outbreak](https://www.bostonglobe.com/2020/03/12/nation/italian-hospitals-overwhelmed-by-deaths-amid-coronavirus-outbreak/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 14. Tondo, L. Italian Hospitals Short of Beds as Coronavirus Death Toll Jumps. The Guardian 2020. Available online: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/09/[italian-hospitals-short-beds-coronavirus-death](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/09/italian-hospitals-short-beds-coronavirus-death-toll-jumps)[toll-jumps](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/09/italian-hospitals-short-beds-coronavirus-death-toll-jumps) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 15. Van Beusekom, M. 2020 Doctors: COVID-19 Pushing Italian ICUs Toward Collapse. Available online: http://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2020/03/[doctors-covid-19-pushing-italian-icus](http://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2020/03/doctors-covid-19-pushing-italian-icus-toward-collapse)[toward-collapse](http://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2020/03/doctors-covid-19-pushing-italian-icus-toward-collapse) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 16. Jennings, L.C.; Monto, A.S.; Chan, P.K.-S.; Szucs, T.D.; Nicholson, K.G. Stockpiling prepandemic influenza vaccines: A new cornerstone of pandemic preparedness plans. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2008**, *8*, 650–658. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(08)70232-9)
- 17. Hashikura, M.; Kizu, J. Stockpile of personal protective equipment in hospital settings: Preparedness for influenza pandemics. *Am. J. Infect. Control.* **2009**, *37*, 703–707. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2009.05.002)
- 18. Radonovich, L.J.; Magalian, P.D.; Hollingsworth, M.K.; Baracco, G. Stockpiling Supplies for the Next Influenza Pandemic. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2009**, *15*, e1. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1506.081196)
- 19. Dimitrov, N.B.; Goll, S.; Hupert, N.; Pourbohloul, B.; Meyers, L.A. Optimizing Tactics for Use of the U.S. Antiviral Strategic National Stockpile for Pandemic Influenza. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/annotation/cf0572ee-8088-4bcc-8680-e8ebb4f05ce3)
- 20. Einav, S.; Hick, J.L.; Hanfling, D.; Erstad, B.L.; Toner, E.S.; Branson, R.D.; Kanter, R.K.; Kissoon, N.; Dichter, J.R.; Devereaux, A.V.; et al. Surge Capacity Logistics. *Chest* **2014**, *146*, e17–e43. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.14-0734) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25144407)
- 21. Abramovich, M.N.; Hershey, J.C.; Callies, B.; Adalja, A.A.; Tosh, P.K.; Toner, E.S. Hospital influenza pandemic stockpiling needs: A computer simulation. *Am. J. Infect. Control.* **2017**, *45*, 272–277. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2016.10.019) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27916341)
- 22. Huang, H.-C.; Araz, O.M.; Morton, D.P.; Johnson, G.P.; Damien, P.; Clements, B.; Meyers, L.A. Stockpiling Ventilators for Influenza Pandemics. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2017**, *23*, 914–921. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2306.161417) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28518041)
- 23. Else, D.H. *Defense Production Act: Purpose and Scope*; Library of Congress Washington DC Congressional Reaearch Service: Washington, DC, USA, 2009.
- 24. Scanlan, A.L. The Defense Production Act of 1950. *Rutgers Law Rev.* **1950**, *5*, 518.
- 25. Ranney, M.L.; Griffeth, V.; Jha, A.K. Critical Supply Shortages—The Need for Ventilators and Personal Protective Equipment during the Covid-19 Pandemic. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *382*, e41. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2006141)
- 26. Freyer-Biggs, Z. The Government's Secret Ventilator Stockpile Is Nowhere Near Enough to Fight the Coronavirus. Available online: https://publicintegrity.org/health/[coronavirus-and-inequality](https://publicintegrity.org/health/coronavirus-and-inequality/the-governments-secret-ventilator-stockpile-is-nowhere-near-enough-to-fight-the-coronavirus/)/the[governments-secret-ventilator-stockpile-is-nowhere-near-enough-to-fight-the-coronavirus](https://publicintegrity.org/health/coronavirus-and-inequality/the-governments-secret-ventilator-stockpile-is-nowhere-near-enough-to-fight-the-coronavirus/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 27. Scher, I. The US Government Has a Stockpile of 16,000 Extra Ventilators in Case of an Emergency Like COVID-19. It Isn't Enough. Available online: https://www.businessinsider.com/[us-government-ventilator](https://www.businessinsider.com/us-government-ventilator-stockpile-too-small-coronavirus-2020-3)[stockpile-too-small-coronavirus-2020-3](https://www.businessinsider.com/us-government-ventilator-stockpile-too-small-coronavirus-2020-3) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 28. Greenfiled Boyce, N. Why Even a Huge Medical Stockpile Will Be of Limited Use Against COVID-19. Available online: https://[www.npr.org](https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2020/03/14/814121891/why-even-a-huge-medical-stockpile-will-be-of-limited-use-against-covid-19)/sections/health-shots/2020/03/14/814121891/why-even-a[huge-medical-stockpile-will-be-of-limited-use-against-covid-19](https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2020/03/14/814121891/why-even-a-huge-medical-stockpile-will-be-of-limited-use-against-covid-19) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 29. Siddiqui, M.R.; Edmunds, W.J. Cost-effectiveness of Antiviral Stockpiling and Near-Patient Testing for Potential Influenza Pandemic. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2008**, *14*, 267–274. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1402.070478)
- 30. Balicer, R.D.; Huerta, M.; Davidovitch, N.; Grotto, I. Cost-Benefit of Stockpiling Drugs for Influenza Pandemic. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2005**, *11*, 1280–1282. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1108.041156)
- 31. Boldrin, M.; Levine, D. AER (92,2) p. 209-The Case Against Intellectual Property. *Am. Econ. Rev.* **2002**, *92*, 209–212. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/000282802320189267)
- 32. Boldrin, M.; Levine, D.K. 2003 Lawrence R. Klein Lecture the Case Against Intellectual Monopoly*. *Int. Econ. Rev.* **2004**, *45*, 327–350. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2354.2004.00128.x)
- 33. Pagano, U. The crisis of intellectual monopoly capitalism. *Camb. J. Econ.* **2014**, *38*, 1409–1429. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cje/beu025)
- 34. Durand, C.; Milberg, W. Intellectual monopoly in global value chains. *Rev. Int. Polit. Econ.* **2019**, *27*, 404–429. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2019.1660703)
- 35. Martin, G.; Sorenson, C.; Faunce, T. Balancing intellectual monopoly privileges and the need for essential medicines. *Glob. Health* **2007**, *3*, 4. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1744-8603-3-4)
- 36. Stiglitz, J.E.; Jayadev, A. Medicine for tomorrow: Some alternative proposals to promote socially beneficial research and development in pharmaceuticals. *J. Generic Med. Bus. J. Generic Med. Sect.* **2010**, *7*, 217–226. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/jgm.2010.21)
- 37. 3D printed face shields for medics and professionals. *Prusa3D-3D Print. Josef Průša*. Available online: https://[www.prusa3d.com](https://www.prusa3d.com/covid19/)/covid19/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 38. Masnick, M. SoftBank Owned Patent Troll, Using Monkey Selfie Law Firm, Sues to Block Covid-19 Testing, Using Theranos Patents. Available online: https://[www.techdirt.com](https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20200316/14584244111/softbank-owned-patent-troll-using-monkey-selfie-law-firm-sues-to-block-covid-19-testing-using-theranos-patents.shtml)/articles/20200316/14584244111/softbank[owned-patent-troll-using-monkey-selfie-law-firm-sues-to-block-covid-19-testing-using-theranos](https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20200316/14584244111/softbank-owned-patent-troll-using-monkey-selfie-law-firm-sues-to-block-covid-19-testing-using-theranos-patents.shtml)[patents.shtml](https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20200316/14584244111/softbank-owned-patent-troll-using-monkey-selfie-law-firm-sues-to-block-covid-19-testing-using-theranos-patents.shtml) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 39. Morrison, S. Trump Isn't Making America's Ventilator Shortage Any Easier. Available online: [https:](https://www.vox.com/recode/2020/3/20/21186749/ventilators-coronavirus-covid-19-elon-musk) //www.vox.com/recode/2020/3/20/21186749/[ventilators-coronavirus-covid-19-elon-musk](https://www.vox.com/recode/2020/3/20/21186749/ventilators-coronavirus-covid-19-elon-musk) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 40. Temple, J. We Need More Ventilators. Here's What It Will Take to Get Them. Available online: https://[www.](https://www.technologyreview.com/s/615380/we-need-more-ventilators-heres-what-it-will-take-to-get-them/) technologyreview.com/s/615380/[we-need-more-ventilators-heres-what-it-will-take-to-get-them](https://www.technologyreview.com/s/615380/we-need-more-ventilators-heres-what-it-will-take-to-get-them/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 41. World Trade Organization COVID-19 and World Trade. Available online: https://[www.wto.org](https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/covid19_e/covid19_e.htm)/english/ tratop_e/covid19_e/[covid19_e.htm](https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/covid19_e/covid19_e.htm) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 42. Tong, S. Countries Race to Limit or Ban Mask and Ventilator Exports. Marketplace 2020. Available online: https://www.marketplace.org/2020/03/30/[countries-race-to-limit-ban-exports-of-masks-ventilators](https://www.marketplace.org/2020/03/30/countries-race-to-limit-ban-exports-of-masks-ventilators-other-gear/)[other-gear](https://www.marketplace.org/2020/03/30/countries-race-to-limit-ban-exports-of-masks-ventilators-other-gear/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 43. Farrell, H.; Newman, A.L. Harvard Business Review; 2020. Available online: https://[hbr.org](https://hbr.org/2020/03/will-governments-restrict-foreign-access-to-pandemic-supplies)/2020/03/will[governments-restrict-foreign-access-to-pandemic-supplies](https://hbr.org/2020/03/will-governments-restrict-foreign-access-to-pandemic-supplies) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 44. Evenett, S. Sickening thy neighbour: Export Restraints on Medical Supplies during a Pandemic. 2020. Available online: https://voxeu.org/article/[export-restraints-medical-supplies-during-pandemic](https://voxeu.org/article/export-restraints-medical-supplies-during-pandemic) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 45. Wagtendonk, A. The Government is Distributing Emergency Covid-19 Supplies. But Some States Are Losing Out. Available online: https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2020/3/29/21198704/[emergency-covid-19](https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2020/3/29/21198704/emergency-covid-19-supplies-fema-states-federal-government) [supplies-fema-states-federal-government](https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2020/3/29/21198704/emergency-covid-19-supplies-fema-states-federal-government) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 46. Srai, J.S.; Kumar, M.; Graham, G.; Phillips, W.; Tooze, J.; Ford, S.; Beecher, P.; Raj, B.; Gregory, M.; Tiwari, M.K.; et al. Distributed manufacturing: Scope, challenges and opportunities. *Int. J. Prod. Res.* **2016**, *54*, 1–19. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2016.1192302)
- 47. Helo, P.; Suorsa, M.; Hao, Y.; Anussornnitisarn, P. Toward a cloud-based manufacturing execution system for distributed manufacturing. *Comput. Ind.* **2014**, *65*, 646–656. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2014.01.015)
- 48. Laplume, A.; Anzalone, G.C.; Pearce, J.M. Open-source, self-replicating 3-D printer factory for small-business manufacturing. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **2015**, *85*, 633–642. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-7970-9)
- 49. Sells, E.; Bailard, S.; Smith, Z.; Bowyer, A.; Olliver, V.; Piller, F.T.; Tseng, M.M. RepRap: The Replicating Rapid Prototyper: Maximizing Customizability by Breeding the Means of Production. In *Handbook of Research in Mass Customization and Personalization*; World Scientific Pub Co Pte Lt: Singapore, 2009; pp. 568–580.
- 50. Jones, R.; Haufe, P.; Sells, E.; Iravani, P.; Olliver, V.; Palmer, C.; Bowyer, A. RepRap—The replicating rapid prototyper. *Robotica* **2011**, *29*, 177–191. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S026357471000069X)
- 51. Campbell, I.; Diegel, O.; Kowen, J.; Wohlers, T. *Wohlers Report 2018: 3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing State of the Industry: Annual Worldwide Progress Report*; Wohlers Associates: Fort Collins, CO, USA, 2018; ISBN 978-0-9913332-4-0.
- 52. O Laplume, A.; Petersen, B.; Pearce, J.M. Global value chains from a 3D printing perspective. *J. Int. Bus. Stud.* **2016**, *47*, 595–609. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2015.47)
- 53. Böhme, T.; Birtchnell, T. 3DP and the Domestication of Supply Chains in the Future. In *Managing 3D Printing*; Springer Science and Business Media LLC: Berlin, Germany, 2020; pp. 179–190.
- 54. Pearce, J.M.; Blair, C.M.; Laciak, K.J.; Andrews, R.; Nosrat, A.; Zelenika-Zovko, I. 3-D Printing of Open Source Appropriate Technologies for Self-Directed Sustainable Development. *J. Sustain. Dev.* **2010**, *3*, 17. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jsd.v3n4p17)
- 55. Devor, R.E.; Kapoor, S.G.; Cao, J.; Ehmann, K. Transforming the Landscape of Manufacturing: Distributed Manufacturing Based on Desktop Manufacturing (DM)2. *J. Manuf. Sci. Eng.* **2012**, *134*, 041004. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.4006095)
- 56. King, D.L.; Babasola, A.; Rozario, J.; Pearce, J.M. Mobile Open-Source Solar-Powered 3-D Printers for Distributed Manufacturing in Off-Grid Communities. *Chall. Sustain.* **2014**, *2*, 18–27. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.12924/cis2014.02010018)
- 57. Gwamuri, J.; Wittbrodt, B.T.; Anzalone, N.C.; Pearce, J.M. Reversing the Trend of Large Scale and Centralization in Manufacturing: The Case of Distributed Manufacturing of Customizable 3-D-Printable Self-Adjustable Glasses. *Chall. Sustain.* **2014**, *2*, 30–40. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.12924/cis2014.02010030)
- 58. Wittbrodt, B.; Laureto, J.; Tymrak, B.; Pearce, J.M. Distributed manufacturing with 3-D printing: A case study of recreational vehicle solar photovoltaic mounting systems. *J. Frugal Innov.* **2015**, *1*, 1. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40669-014-0001-z)
- 59. Petersen, E.E.; Pearce, J.M. Emergence of Home Manufacturing in the Developed World: Return on Investment for Open-Source 3-D Printers. *Technology* **2017**, *5*, 7. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/technologies5010007)
- 60. Woern, A.L.; Pearce, J.M. Distributed Manufacturing of Flexible Products: Technical Feasibility and Economic Viability. *Technology* **2017**, *5*, 71. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/technologies5040071)
- 61. Zhao, H.; Hong, C.; Lin, J.; Jin, X.; Xu,W. Make it swing: Fabricating personalized roly-poly toys. *Comput. Aided Geom. Des.* **2016**, *43*, 226–236. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cagd.2016.02.001)
- 62. Petersen, E.E.; Kidd, R.W.; Pearce, J.M. Impact of DIY Home Manufacturing with 3D Printing on the Toy and Game Market. *Technology* **2017**, *5*, 45. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/technologies5030045)
- 63. Song, P.; Wang, X.; Tang, X.; Fu, C.-W.; Xu, H.; Liu, L.; Mitra, N.J. Computational design of wind-up toys. *ACM Trans. Graph.* **2017**, *36*, 1–13. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3130800.3130808)
- 64. Pearce, J.M. Building Research Equipment with Free, Open-Source Hardware. *Science* **2012**, *337*, 1303–1304. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1228183)
- 65. Daniel, K.F.; Peter, J.G. Open-Source Hardware Is a Low-Cost Alternative for Scientific Instrumentation and Research. *Mod. Instrum.* **2012**, *2012*. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/mi.2012.12002)
- 66. Pearce, J.M. *Open-Source Lab: How to Build Your Own Hardware and Reduce Research Costs*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2013; ISBN 978-0-12-410486-0.
- 67. Pearce, J.M. Cut costs with open-source hardware. *Nature* **2014**, *505*, 618. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/505618d)
- 68. Damase, T.R.; Stephens, D.; Spencer, A.; Allen, P.B. Open source and DIY hardware for DNA nanotechnology labs. *J. Boil. Methods* **2015**, *2*, e24. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.14440/jbm.2015.72)
- 69. Zhang, C.; Wijnen, B.; Pearce, J.M. Open-Source 3-D Platform for Low-Cost Scientific Instrument Ecosystem. *J. Lab. Autom.* **2016**, *21*, 517–525. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2211068215624406)
- 70. Coakley, M.; Hurt, D. 3D Printing in the Laboratory. *J. Lab. Autom.* **2016**, *21*, 489–495. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2211068216649578)
- 71. Dryden, M.D.M.; Fobel, R.; Fobel, C.; Wheeler, A.R. Upon the Shoulders of Giants: Open-Source Hardware and Software in Analytical Chemistry. *Anal. Chem.* **2017**, *89*, 4330–4338. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00485)
- 72. Gwamuri, J.; Gwamuri, J.; Pearce, J.M.; Pearce, J.M. Open Source 3-D Printers: An Appropriate Technology for Building Low Cost Optics Labs for the Developing Communities. In Proceedings of the ETOP 2017 Proceedings (2017), Hangzhou, China, 29–31 May 2017; p. 104522S.
- 73. Kera, D. Science Artisans and Open Science Hardware. *Bull. Sci. Technol. Soc.* **2017**, *37*, 97–111. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0270467618774978)
- 74. Chagas, A.M. Haves and have nots must find a better way: The case for open scientific hardware. *PLoS Boil.* **2018**, *16*, e3000014. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000014)
- 75. Gibb, A.; Abadie, S. *Building Open Source Hardware: DIY Manufacturing for Hackers and Makers*; Pearson Education: London, UK, 2014; ISBN 978-0-321-90604-5.
- 76. Nilsiam, Y.; Pearce, J.M. Free and Open Source 3-D Model Customizer for Websites to Democratize Design with OpenSCAD. *Designs* **2017**, *1*, 5. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/designs1010005)
- 77. Oberloier, S.; Pearce, J.M. General Design Procedure for Free and Open-Source Hardware for Scientific Equipment. *Designs* **2017**, *2*, 2. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/designs2010002)
- 78. Wittbrodt, B.; Glover, A.; Laureto, J.; Anzalone, G.; Oppliger, D.; Irwin, J.; Pearce, J.M. Life-cycle economic analysis of distributed manufacturing with open-source 3-D printers. *Mechatronics* **2013**, *23*, 713–726. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mechatronics.2013.06.002)
- 79. Tatham, P.; Loy, J.; Peretti, U. Three dimensional printing—A key tool for the humanitarian logistician? *J. Humanit. Logist. Supply Chain Manag.* **2015**, *5*, 188–208. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-01-2014-0006)
- 80. Saripalle, S.; Maker, H.; Bush, A.; Lundman, N. 3D printing for disaster preparedness: Making life-saving supplies on-site, on-demand, on-time. In Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conference (GHTC), Seattle, WA, USA, 29 October–1 November 2016; pp. 205–208.
- 81. Savonen, B.L.; Mahan, T.; Curtis, M.W.; Schreier, J.W.; Gershenson, J.K.; Pearce, J.M. Development of a Resilient 3-D Printer for Humanitarian Crisis Response. *Technology* **2018**, *6*, 30. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/technologies6010030)
- 82. Kats, D.; Spicher, L.; Savonen, B.; Gershenson, J. Paper 3D Printing to Supplement Rural Healthcare Supplies—What Do Healthcare Facilities Want? In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conference (GHTC), Seattle, WA, USA, 17–20 October 2018; pp. 1–8.
- 83. DelCore, A.; Herrera, A. Sustainable solar headlamp: An open source consumer medical device. In Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics (ICCE), Berlin, Germany, 6–9 September 2015; pp. 395–397.
- 84. Purdon, P.L.; Millan, H.; Fuller, P.L.; Bonmassar, G. An open-source hardware and software system for acquisition and real-time processing of electrophysiology during high field MRI. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **2008**, *175*, 165–186. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2008.07.017) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18761038)
- 85. Ferretti, J.; Di Pietro, L.; De Maria, C. Open-source automated external defibrillator. *HardwareX* **2017**, *2*, 61–70. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2017.09.001)
- 86. Bravo-Martinez, J. Open source automated western blot processor. *HardwareX* **2019**, *6*, e00088. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2019.e00088)
- 87. Rotermund, D.; Ernst, U.A.; Pawelzik, K.R. Open Hardware for neuro-prosthesis research: A study about a closed-loop multi-channel system for electrical surface stimulations and measurements. *HardwareX* **2019**, *6*, e00078. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2019.e00078)
- 88. Lund, J.; Paris, A.; Brock, J. Mouthguard-based wireless high-bandwidth helmet-mounted inertial measurement system. *HardwareX* **2018**, *4*, e00041. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2018.e00041)
- 89. E Michaels, R.; Pearce, J.M. 3-D printing open-source click-MUAC bands for identification of malnutrition. *Public Health Nutr.* **2017**, *20*, 2063–2066. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017000726)
- 90. Newman, J.P.; Zeller-Townson, R.; Fong, M.-F.; Desai, S.A.; Gross, R.E.; Potter, S.M. Closed-Loop, Multichannel Experimentation Using the Open-Source NeuroRighter Electrophysiology Platform. *Front. Neural Circuits* **2013**, *6*, 6. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2012.00098)
- 91. Blomqvist, K.H.; Sepponen, R.E.; Lundbom, N.; Lundbom, J. An open-source hardware for electrical bioimpedance measurement. In Proceedings of the 2012 13th Biennial Baltic Electronics Conference, Tallinn, Estonia, 3–5 October 2012; pp. 199–202.
- 92. Rolston, J.D.; Gross, R.E.; Potter, S.M. Closed-Loop, Open-Source Electrophysiology. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* **2010**, *4*, 4. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2010.00031)
- 93. Niezen, G.; Eslambolchilar, P.; Thimbleby, H. Open-source hardware for medical devices. *BMJ Innov.* **2016**, *2*, 78–83. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjinnov-2015-000080) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27158528)
- 94. Pearce, J. Maximizing returns for public funding of medical research with open-source hardware. *Health Policy Technol.* **2017**, *6*, 381–382. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlpt.2017.09.001)
- 95. Chavez, A.; Kovarik, C. Open Source Technology for Medical Practice in Developing Countries. Available online: www.igi-global.com/chapter/[open-source-technology-for-medical-practice-in-developing-countries](www.igi-global.com/chapter/open-source-technology-for-medical-practice-in-developing-countries/209161)/ [209161](www.igi-global.com/chapter/open-source-technology-for-medical-practice-in-developing-countries/209161) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 96. Winter, L.; Pellicer-Guridi, R.; Broche, L.; Winkler, S.A.; Reimann, H.M.; Han, H.; Arndt, F.; Hodge, R.; Günyar, S.; Moritz, M.; et al. Open Source Medical Devices for Innovation, Education and Global Health: Case Study of Open Source Magnetic Resonance Imaging. In *New Horizons in Positive Leadership and Change*; Springer Science and Business Media LLC: Berlin, Germany, 2018; pp. 147–163.
- 97. Pearce, J.M. Maximizar la rentabilidad de la inversión para la salud pública con hardware médico de código abierto. *Gac. Sanit.* **2015**, *29*, 319. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2015.03.010) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26021402)
- 98. Pearce, J.M. Quantifying the Value of Open Source Hard-ware Development. *Mod. Econ.* **2015**, *6*, 1–11. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/me.2015.61001)
- 99. Pearce, J.M. Emerging Business Models for Open Source Hardware. *J. Open Hardw.* **2017**, *1*, 84. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/joh.4)
- 100. Chagas, A.M.; Molloy, J.C.; Prieto-Godino, L.L.; Baden, T. Leveraging open hardware to alleviate the burden of COVID-19 on global health systems. *PLoS Boil.* **2020**, *18*, e3000730. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000730)
- 101. Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, Government of India. Manufacturing or Supply of Following Items Related to Use in COVID-19. Available online: http://[jan-sampark.nic.in](http://jan-sampark.nic.in/campaigns/2020/26-Mar/MSME/index.html)/campaigns/2020/ 26-Mar/MSME/[index.html](http://jan-sampark.nic.in/campaigns/2020/26-Mar/MSME/index.html) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 102. OSHWA Definition (English). Available online: https://[www.oshwa.org](https://www.oshwa.org/definition/)/definition/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 103. CERN Home Wiki Projects/CERN Open Hardware Licence. Available online: https://[ohwr.org](https://ohwr.org/project/cernohl/wikis/home)/project/ [cernohl](https://ohwr.org/project/cernohl/wikis/home)/wikis/home (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 104. TAPR The TAPR Open Hardware License—TAPR. Available online: https://tapr.org/[the-tapr-open-hardware](https://tapr.org/the-tapr-open-hardware-license/)[license](https://tapr.org/the-tapr-open-hardware-license/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 105. Free Software Foundation GNU General Public License. Available online: https://[www.gnu.org](https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.en.html)/licenses/gpl-[3.0.en.html](https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.en.html) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 106. Creative Commons Creative Commons—Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 United States—CC BY-SA 3.0 US. Available online: https://[creativecommons.org](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/us/)/licenses/by-sa/3.0/us/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 107. OSI Licenses & Standards|Open Source Initiative. Available online: https://[opensource.org](https://opensource.org/licenses)/licenses (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 108. Sanchez, F.A.C.; Lanza, S.; Boudaoud, H.; Hoppe, S.; Camargo, M. Polymer Recycling and Additive Manufacturing in an Open Source Context: Optimization of Processes and Methods. In Proceedings of the Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, Austin, TX, USA, 10–12 August 2015; p. 1591.
- 109. Cruz Sanchez, F.A.; Boudaoud, H.; Hoppe, S.; Camargo, M. The green Fablab concept: A local and distributed recycling approach for open source additive manufacturing. In Proceedings of the 2nd Journée de l'innovation Abbé Grégoire (JAG), Paris, France, 28 March 2017.
- 110. Zhong, S.; Pearce, J.M. Tightening the loop on the circular economy: Coupled distributed recycling and manufacturing with recyclebot and RepRap 3-D printing. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2018**, *128*, 48–58. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.023)
- 111. Pavlo, S.; Fabio, C.; Hakim, B.; Mauricio, C. 3D-Printing Based Distributed Plastic Recycling: A Conceptual Model for Closed-Loop Supply Chain Design. In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Engineering, Technology and Innovation (ICE/ITMC), Stuttgart, Germany,, 17–20 June 2018; pp. 1–8.
- 112. Byard, D.J.; Woern, A.L.; Oakley, R.B.; Fiedler, M.J.; Snabes, S.L.; Pearce, J.M. Green fab lab applications of large-area waste polymer-based additive manufacturing. *Addit. Manuf.* **2019**, *27*, 515–525. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.03.006)
- 113. Dertinger, S.C.; Gallup, N.; Tanikella, N.G.; Grasso, M.; Vahid, S.; Foot, P.J.S.; Pearce, J.M. Technical pathways for distributed recycling of polymer composites for distributed manufacturing: Windshield wiper blades. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2020**, *157*, 104810. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104810)
- 114. Ravindran, A.; Scsavnicki, S.; Nelson, W.; Gorecki, P.; Franz, J.; Oberloier, S.; Meyer, T.; Barnard, A.; Pearce, J.M. Open Source Waste Plastic Granulator. *Technology* **2019**, *7*, 74. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/technologies7040074)
- 115. Woern, A.L.; Pearce, J.M. 3-D Printable Polymer Pelletizer Chopper for Fused Granular Fabrication-Based Additive Manufacturing. *Inventions* **2018**, *3*, 78. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/inventions3040078)
- 116. Baechler, C.; DeVuono, M.; Pearce, J.M. Distributed recycling of waste polymer into RepRap feedstock. *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **2013**, *19*, 118–125. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13552541311302978)
- 117. Zhong, S.; Rakhe, P.; Pearce, J.M. Energy Payback Time of a Solar Photovoltaic Powered Waste Plastic Recyclebot System. *Recycling* **2017**, *2*, 10. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/recycling2020010)
- 118. Woern, A.L.; McCaslin, J.R.; Pringle, A.M.; Pearce, J.M. RepRapable Recyclebot: Open source 3-D printable extruder for converting plastic to 3-D printing filament. *HardwareX* **2018**, *4*. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2018.e00026)
- 119. Hunt, E.J.; Zhang, C.; Anzalone, N.; Pearce, J.M. Polymer recycling codes for distributed manufacturing with 3-D printers. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2015**, *97*, 24–30. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.02.004)
- 120. Zander, N.E.; Gillan, M.; Lambeth, R.H. Recycled polyethylene terephthalate as a new FFF feedstock material. *Addit. Manuf.* **2018**, *21*, 174–182. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.03.007)
- 121. Zander, N.E. Recycled Polymer Feedstocks for Material Extrusion Additive Manufacturing. In *Polymer-Based Additive Manufacturing: Recent Developments*; ACS Symposium Series; American Chemical Society: Washongton, DC, USA, 2019; Volume 1315, pp. 37–51. ISBN 978-0-8412-3426-0.
- 122. Mohammed, M.I.; Wilson, D.; Gomez-Kervin, E.; Vidler, C.; Rosson, L.; Long, J. The Recycling of E-Waste ABS Plastics by Melt Extrusion and 3D Printing Using Solar Powered Devices as a Transformative Tool for Humanitarian Aid. 13. Available online: https://[pdfs.semanticscholar.org](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/bb18/94b5b601719eafb85ce314a90c805819b3a7.pdf)/bb18/ [94b5b601719eafb85ce314a90c805819b3a7.pdf](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/bb18/94b5b601719eafb85ce314a90c805819b3a7.pdf) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 123. Singh, A.K.; Patil, B.; Hoffmann, N.; Saltonstall, B.; Doddamani, M.; Gupta, N. Additive Manufacturing of Syntactic Foams: Part 1: Development, Properties, and Recycling Potential of Filaments. *JOM* **2018**, *70*, 303–309. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11837-017-2734-7)
- 124. Chong, S.; Pan, G.-T.; Yang, T.C.-K.; Hung, S.-T.; Huang, C.-M. Physical Characterization and Pre-assessment of Recycled High-Density Polyethylene as 3D Printing Material. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2016**, *25*, 136–145. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10924-016-0793-4)
- 125. Pringle, A.M.; Rudnicki, M.; Pearce, J. Wood Furniture Waste-Based Recycled 3-D Printing Filament. *For. Prod. J.* **2017**, *68*, 86–95. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.13073/FPJ-D-17-00042)
- 126. Hart, K.R.; Frketic, J.B.; Brown, J.R. Recycling meal-ready-to-eat (MRE) pouches into polymer filament for material extrusion additive manufacturing. *Addit. Manuf.* **2018**, *21*, 536–543. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.04.011)
- 127. Zander, N.E.; Gillan, M.; Burckhard, Z.; Gardea, F. Recycled polypropylene blends as novel 3D printing materials. *Addit. Manuf.* **2019**, *25*, 122–130. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.11.009)
- 128. Pacheco, V.M. Manufacture of Furniture under Demand with Low Environmental Impact Through 3D Printing of Recycled Plastic. 6. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/[335608900_Recycled_3d_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335608900_Recycled_3d_printing_applied_to_low_environmental_impact_furniture_manufacturing) [printing_applied_to_low_environmental_impact_furniture_manufacturing](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335608900_Recycled_3d_printing_applied_to_low_environmental_impact_furniture_manufacturing) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 129. Löschke, S.K.; Mai, J.; Proust, G.; Brambilla, A. Microtimber: The Development of a 3D Printed Composite Panel Made from Waste Wood and Recycled Plastics. In *Digital Wood Design: Innovative Techniques of Representation in Architectural Design*; Bianconi, F., Filippucci, M., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 827–848. ISBN 978-3-030-03676-8.
- 130. Volpato, N.; Kretschek, D.; Foggiatto, J.A.; Cruz, C.M.G.D.S. Experimental analysis of an extrusion system for additive manufacturing based on polymer pellets. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **2015**, *81*, 1519–1531. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-7300-2)
- 131. Liu, X.; Chi, B.; Jiao, Z.; Tan, J.; Liu, F.; Yang, W. A large-scale double-stage-screw 3D printer for fused deposition of plastic pellets. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2017**, *134*, 45147. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.45147)
- 132. Woern, A.L.; Byard, D.J.; Oakley, R.B.; Fiedler, M.J.; Snabes, S.L.; Pearce, J.M. Fused Particle Fabrication 3-D Printing: Recycled Materials' Optimization and Mechanical Properties. *Material* **2018**, *11*, 1413. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma11081413)
- 133. Kumar, N.; Jain, P.K.; Tandon, P.; Pandey, P.M. Additive manufacturing of flexible electrically conductive polymer composites via CNC-assisted fused layer modeling process. *J. Braz. Soc. Mech. Sci. Eng.* **2018**, *40*, 175. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40430-018-1116-6)
- 134. Whyman, S.; Arif, K.M.; Potgieter, J. Design and development of an extrusion system for 3D printing biopolymer pellets. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **2018**, *96*, 3417–3428. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00170-018-1843-y)
- 135. Reich, M.J.; Woern, A.L.; Tanikella, N.G.; Pearce, J.M. Mechanical Properties and Applications of Recycled Polycarbonate Particle Material Extrusion-Based Additive Manufacturing. *Material* **2019**, *12*, 1642. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma12101642)
- 136. Nilsiam, Y.; Pearce, J.M. Open Source Database and Website to Provide Free and Open Access to Inactive U.S. Patents in the Public Domain. *Inventions* **2016**, *1*, 24. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/inventions1040024)
- 137. Pearce, J.M. A review of open source ventilators for COVID-19 and future pandemics. *F1000Research* **2020**, *9*, 218. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22942.1)
- 138. Ii, C.W.K.; Cutchis, P.N.; Vincent, J.A.; Smith, D.G.; Wenstrand, D.S. Development of Field Portable Ventilator Systems for Domestic and Military Emergency Medical Response. *Tech. Dig.* **2004**, *25*, 9.
- 139. Powelson, S.K. Design and prototyping of a low-cost portable mechanical ventilator. Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2010.
- 140. Al Husseini, A.M.; Lee, H.J.; Negrete, J.; Powelson, S.; Servi, A.T.; Slocum, A.H.; Saukkonen, J. Design and Prototyping of a Low-Cost Portable Mechanical Ventilator. *J. Med Devices* **2010**, *4*, 027514. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.3442790)
- 141. Fogarty, M.; Orr, J.; Westenskow, D.; Brewer, L.; Sakata, D. Electric Blower Based Portable Emergency Ventilator; Utah Space Grant Consort: 2013. Available online: https://[digitalcommons.usu.edu](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/spacegrant/2013/Session4/2)/spacegrant/ 2013/[Session4](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/spacegrant/2013/Session4/2)/2 (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 142. Fuchs, P.; Obermeier, J.; Kamysek, S.; Degner, M.; Nierath, H.; Jürß, H.; Ewald, H.; Schwarz, J.; Becker, M.; Schubert, J. Safety and applicability of a pre-stage public access ventilator for trained laypersons: A proof of principle study. *BMC Emerg. Med.* **2017**, *17*, 37. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12873-017-0150-5) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29202698)
- 143. Jürß, H.; Degner, M.; Ewald, H. A new compact and low-cost respirator concept for one way usage. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* **2018**, *51*, 367–372. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.11.612)
- 144. Shahid, M. Prototyping of Artificial Respiration Machine Using AMBU Bag Compression. In Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Electronics, Information, and Communication (ICEIC), Auckland, The Netherland, 22–25 January 2019; pp. 1–6.
- 145. *PubInv*/*Covid19-Vent-List*. Public Invention: 2020. Available online: https://github.com/PubInv/[covid19-vent](https://github.com/PubInv/covid19-vent-list)[list](https://github.com/PubInv/covid19-vent-list) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 146. AmboVent. *AmboVent*/*AmboVent*. 2020. Available online: https://[github.com](https://github.com/AmboVent/AmboVent)/AmboVent/AmboVent (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 147. Garmendia, O.; Rodríguez-Lazaro, M.A.; Otero, J.; Phan, P.; Stoyanova, A.; Dinh-Xuan, A.T.; Gozal, D.; Navajas, D.; Montserrat, J.M.; Farré, R. Low-cost, easy-to-build non-invasive pressure support ventilator for under-resourced regions: Open source hardware description, performance and feasibility testing. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2020**, 2000846. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00846-2020) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32312862)
- 148. OxyGEN. Available online: https://www.oxygen.protofy.xyz (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 149. Margolis, M. *Arduino Cookbook: Recipes to Begin, Expand, and Enhance Your Projects*; O'Reilly Media, Inc.: Newton, MA, USA, 2011; ISBN 978-1-4493-2120-8.
- 150. D'Ausilio, A. Arduino: A low-cost multipurpose lab equipment. *Behav. Res. Methods* **2011**, *44*, 305–313. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0163-z) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22037977)
- 151. Banzi, M.; Shiloh, M. *Getting Started with Arduino: The Open Source Electronics Prototyping Platform*; Maker Media, Inc.: Sevastopol, CA, USA, 2014; ISBN 978-1-4493-6329-1.
- 152. Tran, K.; Cimon, K.; Severn, M.; Pessoa-Silva, C.L.; Conly, J. Aerosol Generating Procedures and Risk of Transmission of Acute Respiratory Infections to Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e35797. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035797)
- 153. Hui, D.S.; Chow, B.K.; Lo, T.; Tsang, O.T.; Ko, F.W.; Ng, S.S.; Gin, T.; Chan, M.T. Exhaled air dispersion during high-flow nasal cannula therapy versus CPAP via different masks. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2019**, *53*, 1802339. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02339-2018)
- 154. Pulmonary, S.M.F. Is the Creator of P. org H. is an Associate Professor of; Vermont, C.C.M. at the U. of PulmCrit Wee- Could the Best Mode of Noninvasive Support for COVID-19 be CPAP? *EMCrit Proj.* **2020**. Available online: https://emcrit.org/pulmcrit/[cpap-covid](https://emcrit.org/pulmcrit/cpap-covid/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 155. CDC Show Me the Science—When & How to Use Hand Sanitizer in Community Settings|Handwashing|CDC. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/handwashing/[show-me-the-science-hand-sanitizer.html](https://www.cdc.gov/handwashing/show-me-the-science-hand-sanitizer.html) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 156. World Health Organization WHO|WHO-Recommended Handrub Formulations. Available online: [https:](https://www.who.int/gpsc/information_centre/handrub-formulations/en/) //www.who.int/gpsc/information_centre/[handrub-formulations](https://www.who.int/gpsc/information_centre/handrub-formulations/en/)/en/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 157. Appropedia Ethanol-Based Hand Sanitizer-Appropedia: The Sustainability Wiki. Available online: [https:](https://www.appropedia.org/Ethanol-based_hand_sanitizer) //www.appropedia.org/[Ethanol-based_hand_sanitizer](https://www.appropedia.org/Ethanol-based_hand_sanitizer) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 158. Bruijn, E. YouMagine—COVID19 Collection by Erik de Bruijn. Available online: https://[www.youmagine.](https://www.youmagine.com/ultierik/collections/covid19) com/ultierik/[collections](https://www.youmagine.com/ultierik/collections/covid19)/covid19 (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 159. Prusa Prusa Protective Face Shield-RC3. Available online: https://[www.prusaprinters.org](https://www.prusaprinters.org/prints/25857-prusa-protective-face-shield-rc3)/prints/25857 [prusa-protective-face-shield-rc3](https://www.prusaprinters.org/prints/25857-prusa-protective-face-shield-rc3) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 160. 3DVerkstan 3D-Printed Protective Visor-3DVerkstan-Quick to Print, Easy to Assemble. Available online: https://3dverkstan.se/[protective-visor](https://3dverkstan.se/protective-visor/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 161. Simple Face Shield|Overview. Available online: https://wikifactory.com/[@adammiklosidesign](https://wikifactory.com/@adammiklosidesign/simple-face-shield)/simple-face[shield](https://wikifactory.com/@adammiklosidesign/simple-face-shield) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 162. Meisenzahl, M. A 3D Printer Company is Creating Medical Face Shields for Doctors and Nurses Fighting Coronavirus—Here's How It Works. Available online: https://[www.businessinsider.com](https://www.businessinsider.com/coronavirus-3d-printed-face-mask-shield-healthcare-workers-2020-3)/coronavirus-3d[printed-face-mask-shield-healthcare-workers-2020-3](https://www.businessinsider.com/coronavirus-3d-printed-face-mask-shield-healthcare-workers-2020-3) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 163. UCLA Engineers Using 3-D Printing in Race to Get Coronavirus Face Shields to Hospitals. *Dly. News* **2020**. Available online: https://www.dailynews.com/[ucla-engineers-using-3d-printing-laser-cutting-in-race-to](https://www.dailynews.com/ucla-engineers-using-3d-printing-laser-cutting-in-race-to-get-coronavirus-fighting-face-shields-to-depleted-hospitals)[get-coronavirus-fighting-face-shields-to-depleted-hospitals](https://www.dailynews.com/ucla-engineers-using-3d-printing-laser-cutting-in-race-to-get-coronavirus-fighting-face-shields-to-depleted-hospitals) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 164. Hack the Pandemic–Copper 3D|Antibacterial 3D Printing. Available online: https://[copper3d.com](https://copper3d.com/hackthepandemic/)/ [hackthepandemic](https://copper3d.com/hackthepandemic/)/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 165. COVID-19 MASK (Easy-to-Print, no Support, Filter Required). Available online: https://[cults3d.com](https://cults3d.com/en/3d-model/tool/covid-19-mask-easy-to-print-no-support-filter-required)/en/3dmodel/tool/[covid-19-mask-easy-to-print-no-support-filter-required](https://cults3d.com/en/3d-model/tool/covid-19-mask-easy-to-print-no-support-filter-required) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 166. Billings Help with Personal Protective Equipment for Staff and Patients. Available online: https://[www.](https://www.billingsclinic.com/foundation/) [billingsclinic.com](https://www.billingsclinic.com/foundation/)/foundation/ (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 167. Davies, A.; Thompson, K.-A.; Giri, K.; Kafatos, G.; Walker, J.; Bennett, A. Testing the Efficacy of Homemade Masks: Would They Protect in an Influenza Pandemic? *Disaster Med. Public Health Prep.* **2013**, *7*, 413–418. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2013.43)
- 168. A Doctor Explains How to Make the Safest Face Mask. Available online: https://[www.youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W6d3twpHwis&feature=youtu.be)/watch? v=[W6d3twpHwis&feature](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W6d3twpHwis&feature=youtu.be)=youtu.be (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 169. Yip, E.; Cacioli, P. The manufacture of gloves from natural rubber latex. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2002**, *110*, S3–S14. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mai.2002.124499)
- 170. Laureto, J.J.; Dessiatoun, S.V.; Ohadi, M.; Pearce, J.M. Open Source Laser Polymer Welding System: Design and Characterization of Linear Low-Density Polyethylene Multilayer Welds. *Machines* **2016**, *4*, 14. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/machines4030014)
- 171. Lasersaur Manual. Available online: https://www.lasersaur.com/ (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 172. axRap. Available online: http://axrap.blogspot.com/ (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 173. LaserWeb/LaserWeb4-Binaries; LaserWeb: 2020. Available online: https://github.com/LaserWeb/[LaserWeb4-](https://github.com/LaserWeb/LaserWeb4-Binaries) [Binaries](https://github.com/LaserWeb/LaserWeb4-Binaries) (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 174. LaserDuo. Available online: http://laserduo.com/ (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 175. Calling All Makers: Here's a 1-Page PDF Facemask Pattern; Now Go Make Some and Help Beat This Thing. Available online: https://freesewing.org/blog/[facemask-frenzy](https://freesewing.org/blog/facemask-frenzy) (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 176. Seamly2D. Available online: https://seamly.net/ (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 177. Pearce, J.M. The case for open source appropriate technology. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.* **2012**, *14*, 425–431. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10668-012-9337-9)
- 178. Kang, G.; Roy, S.; Balraj, V. Appropriate technology for rural India – solar decontamination of water for emergency settings and small communities. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2006**, *100*, 863–866. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trstmh.2005.09.004)
- 179. Kumagai, A.; Liu, T.I.; Khan, M.; Yu, S.; Wargala, B.; Little, A.; Johnson, R.; Bear, J. *Manufacturing Methods for Producing Water Pasteurization Indicators (WAPI)*; ASME International: New York City, NY, USA, 2006; pp. 485–489.
- 180. Rodriguez, V. Water Pasteurization Indicator (WAPI) Maker Redesign. 2012. Available online: http://[dspace.](http://dspace.calstate.edu/handle/10211.9/1543) [calstate.edu](http://dspace.calstate.edu/handle/10211.9/1543)/handle/10211.9/1543 (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 181. Linde, A. Technology Encounters Tradition: Evaluating the Water Pasteurization Indicator in China. 2013. Available online: https://[scholarsbank.uoregon.edu](https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/12863)/xmlui/handle/1794/12863 (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- 182. Denkenberger, D.C.; Pearce, J.M. Design Optimization of Polymer Heat Exchanger for Automated Household-Scale Solar Water Pasteurizer. *Designs* **2018**, *2*, 11. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/designs2020011)
- 183. Gong, H.; Beauchamp, M.; Perry, S.; Woolley, A.T.; Nordin, G.P. Optical Approach to Resin Formulation for 3D Printed Microfluidics. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 106621–106632. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5RA23855B) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26744624)
- 184. Attalla, R.; Ling, C.; Selvaganapathy, P.R. Fabrication and characterization of gels with integrated channels using 3D printing with microfluidic nozzle for tissue engineering applications. *Biomed. Microdevices* **2016**, *18*, 17. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10544-016-0042-6) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26842949)
- 185. Bhattacharjee, N.; Urrios, A.; Kang, S.; Folch, A. The upcoming 3D-printing revolution in microfluidics. *Lab Chip* **2016**, *16*, 1720–1742. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6LC00163G)
- 186. Pearce, J.M.; Anzalone, N.C.; Heldt, C.L. Open-Source Wax RepRap 3-D Printer for Rapid Prototyping Paper-Based Microfluidics. *J. Lab. Autom.* **2016**, *21*, 510–516. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2211068215624408)
- 187. He, Y.; Wu, Y.; Fu, J.-Z.; Gao, Q.; Qiu, J.-J. Developments of 3D Printing Microfluidics and Applications in Chemistry and Biology: A Review. *Electroanalysis* **2016**, *28*, 1658–1678. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elan.201600043)
- 188. Tothill, A.M.; Partridge, M.; James, S.W.; Tatam, R.P. Fabrication and optimisation of a fused filament 3D-printed microfluidic platform. *J. Micromech. Microeng.* **2017**, *27*, 035018. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6439/aa5ae3)
- 189. Li, Z.; Yang, J.; Li, K.; Zhu, L.; Tang, W. Fabrication of PDMS microfluidic devices with 3D wax jetting. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 3313–3320. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6RA24884E)
- 190. Gaal, G.; Mendes, M.; De Almeida, T.P.; Piazzetta, M.H.; Gobbi, Â.L.; Riul, A.; Rodrigues, V. Simplified fabrication of integrated microfluidic devices using fused deposition modeling 3D printing. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *242*, 35–40. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.10.110)
- 191. Kong, D.S.; A Thorsen, T.; Babb, J.; Wick, S.; Gam, J.J.; Weiss, R.; A Carr, P. Open-source, community-driven microfluidics with Metafluidics. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *35*, 523–529. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3873)
- 192. A Conner-Kerr, T.; Sullivan, P.K.; Gaillard, J.; E Franklin, M.; Jones, R.M. The effects of ultraviolet radiation on antibiotic-resistant bacteria in vitro. *Ostomy Wound Manag.* **1998**, *44*, 50–56.
- 193. Chang, J.C.; Ossoff, S.F.; Lobe, D.C.; Dorfman, M.H.; Dumais, C.M.; Qualls, R.G.; Johnson, J.D. UV inactivation of pathogenic and indicator microorganisms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1985**, *49*, 1361–1365. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.49.6.1361-1365.1985)
- 194. Wang, X.; Li, J.-S.; Jin, M.; Zhen, B.; Kong, Q.-X.; Song, N.; Xiao, W.; Yin, J.; Wei, W.; Wang, G.-J.; et al. Study on the resistance of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus. *J. Virol. Methods* **2005**, *126*, 171–177. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2005.02.005) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15847934)
- 195. Duan, S.-M.; Zhao, X.-S.; Wen, R.-F.; Huang, J.-J.; Pi, G.-H.; Zhang, S.-X.; Han, J.; Bi, S.-L.; Ruan, L.; Dong, X.-P. Stability of SARS coronavirus in human specimens and environment and its sensitivity to heating and UV irradiation. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* **2003**, *16*, 246–255.
- 196. Bentancor, M.; Vidal, S. Programmable and low-cost ultraviolet room disinfection device. *HardwareX* **2018**, *4*, e00046. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2018.e00046)
- 197. Card, K.J.; Crozier, D.; Dhawan, A.; Dinh, M.; Dolson, E.; Farrokhian, N.; Gopalakrishnan, V.; Ho, E.; King, E.S.; Krishnan, N.; et al. UV Sterilization of Personal Protective Equipment with Idle Laboratory Biosafety Cabinets During the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Occup. Environ. Health* **2020**. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.25.20043489)
- 198. Health, C. for D. and R. *N95 Respirators and Surgical Masks (Face Masks)*; FDA: Washington, DC, USA, 2020.
- 199. Gordon, I.; Hahn, K.; Fischer, S. Infection. *Atlas Bone Scintigr. Pathol. Paediatr. Skelet.* **1996**, *12*, 7–59. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61060-8_2)
- 200. Van Der Sande, M.A.B.; Teunis, P.; Sabel, R. Professional and Home-Made Face Masks Reduce Exposure to Respiratory Infections among the General Population. *PLoS ONE* **2008**, *3*, e2618. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002618) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18612429)
- 201. JoJoBoBo Fight The Tide! DIY Laundry Detergent. Corp. Monkey CPA 2017. Available online: [https:](https://www.corporatemonkeycpa.com/2017/01/11/diy-laundry-detergent/) //[www.corporatemonkeycpa.com](https://www.corporatemonkeycpa.com/2017/01/11/diy-laundry-detergent/)/2017/01/11/diy-laundry-detergent/ (accessed on 2 April 2020).
- 202. Marr, K. (No Borax) Homemade Powder Laundry Soap with Natural Fabric Softener. Live Simply 2018. Available online: https://livesimply.me/[no-borax-homemade-powder-laundry-soap-with-natural-fabric](https://livesimply.me/no-borax-homemade-powder-laundry-soap-with-natural-fabric-softener/)[softener](https://livesimply.me/no-borax-homemade-powder-laundry-soap-with-natural-fabric-softener/)/ (accessed on 2 April 2020).
- 203. Caudill, M. How To Make Homemade Soap From Scratch In 6 Easy Steps. Available online: [https:](https://www.goodhousekeeping.com/home/cleaning/a20705805/how-to-make-homemade-soap/) //www.goodhousekeeping.com/home/cleaning/a20705805/[how-to-make-homemade-soap](https://www.goodhousekeeping.com/home/cleaning/a20705805/how-to-make-homemade-soap/)/ (accessed on 2 April 2020).
- 204. How to Make Washing Soda from Baking Soda|Wellness Mama. Wellness Mama 2016. Available online: https://[wellnessmama.com](https://wellnessmama.com/76866/washing-soda/)/76866/washing-soda/ (accessed on 2 April 2020).
- 205. California Legislature Today's Law As Amended. Available online: https://[leginfo.legislature.ca.gov](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billCompareClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB225)/faces/ [billCompareClient.xhtml?bill_id](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billCompareClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB225)=201520160SB225 (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 206. D20 Committee. *Test Method for Propagation Tear Resistance of Plastic Film and Thin Sheeting by Pendulum Method*; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2015.
- 207. D20 Committee. *Test Methods for Impact Resistance of Plastic Film by the Free-Falling Dart Method*; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2016.
- 208. Criado, T.S.; Rodríguez-Giralt, I.; Mencaroni, A. Care in the (critical) making. Open prototyping, or the radicalisation of independent-living politics. *Alter* **2016**, *10*, 24–39. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.alter.2015.07.002)
- 209. Oyelude, A. Assistive technologies in libraries and the classroom. *Libr. Tech. News* **2017**, *34*, 22–23. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-10-2017-0072)
- 210. Rossa-Sierra, A.; Cortes-Chavez, F.; Vidana-Zavala, D.; Munno, M.G.T. Design of a Wheelchair for Low-Income Countries, the Second Stage of a Project. In Proceedings of the Advances in Design for Inclusion; Di Bucchianico, G., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Seitzerland, 2020; pp. 301–309.
- 211. Thingiverse.com Wheelchair for People in Third World Countries "HU-GO" by Hugoarchicad. Available online: https://[www.thingiverse.com](https://www.thingiverse.com/thing:1081797)/thing:1081797 (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 212. Kigali Chair Project-Clara Romaní Anthony. Available online: https://[cargocollective.com](https://cargocollective.com/clararomani/Kigali-Chair-Project-1)/clararomani/ [Kigali-Chair-Project-1](https://cargocollective.com/clararomani/Kigali-Chair-Project-1) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 213. TooWheels-the Opensource Wheelchair. Available online: https://hackaday.io/project/[25757-toowheels-the](https://hackaday.io/project/25757-toowheels-the-opensource-wheelchair)[opensource-wheelchair](https://hackaday.io/project/25757-toowheels-the-opensource-wheelchair) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 214. SafariSeat. Available online: http://www.safariseat.org/ (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 215. Cangiano, S.; Romano, Z. Ease of Repair as a Design Ideal: A Reflection on How Open Source Models Can Support Longer Lasting Ownership of, and Care for, Technology|Ephemera. Available online: http://www.ephemerajournal.org/contribution/[ease-repair-design-ideal-reflection-how-open-source](http://www.ephemerajournal.org/contribution/ease-repair-design-ideal-reflection-how-open-source-models-can-support-longer-lasting)[models-can-support-longer-lasting](http://www.ephemerajournal.org/contribution/ease-repair-design-ideal-reflection-how-open-source-models-can-support-longer-lasting) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 216. Meehan, T. Universal Glucometer. Available online: https://hackaday.io/project/[10865-universal-glucometer](https://hackaday.io/project/10865-universal-glucometer) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 217. GitHub-Nebulabio/Gluco: An Open Source Glucometer. Available online: https://[github.com](https://github.com/nebulabio/gluco)/nebulabio/ [gluco](https://github.com/nebulabio/gluco) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 218. Printing Low-Cost Glucose Test Strips on Paper. Available online: https://[www.healthline.com](https://www.healthline.com/diabetesmine/printing-low-cost-glucose-test-strips-on-paper-or-from-silk)/diabetesmine/ [printing-low-cost-glucose-test-strips-on-paper-or-from-silk](https://www.healthline.com/diabetesmine/printing-low-cost-glucose-test-strips-on-paper-or-from-silk) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 219. Open Source Pharma. Available online: https://www.opensourcepharma.net/ (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 220. Vaughan-Nichols, S.J. Supercomputers: All Linux, all the Time. Available online: https://[www.zdnet.com](https://www.zdnet.com/article/supercomputers-all-linux-all-the-time/)/ article/[supercomputers-all-linux-all-the-time](https://www.zdnet.com/article/supercomputers-all-linux-all-the-time/)/ (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 221. Kerner, S. Linux Foundation on Track for Best Year Ever as Open Source Dominates. Available online: https://www.serverwatch.com/server-news/[linux-foundation-on-track-for-best-year-ever-as-open](https://www.serverwatch.com/server-news/linux-foundation-on-track-for-best-year-ever-as-open-source-dominates.html)[source-dominates.html](https://www.serverwatch.com/server-news/linux-foundation-on-track-for-best-year-ever-as-open-source-dominates.html) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 222. Hall, C. Survey Shows Linux the Top Operating System for Internet of Things Devices. Available online: [https:](https://www.itprotoday.com/iot/survey-shows-linux-top-operating-system-internet-things-devices) //www.itprotoday.com/iot/[survey-shows-linux-top-operating-system-internet-things-devices](https://www.itprotoday.com/iot/survey-shows-linux-top-operating-system-internet-things-devices) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 223. Maurer, S.M.; Rai, A.; Sali, A. Finding Cures for Tropical Diseases: Is Open Source an Answer? *PLoS Med.* **2004**, *1*, e56. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0010056)
- 224. Allarakhia, M.; Ajuwon, L. Understanding and creating value from open source drug discovery for neglected tropical diseases. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* **2012**, *7*, 643–657. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/17460441.2012.690390)
- 225. Williamson, A.E.; Ylioja, P.M.; Robertson, M.N.; Antonova-Koch, Y.; Avery, V.; Baell, J.B.; Batchu, H.; Batra, S.; Burrows, J.N.; Bhattacharyya, S.; et al. Open Source Drug Discovery: Highly Potent Antimalarial Compounds Derived from the Tres Cantos Arylpyrroles. *ACS Central Sci.* **2016**, *2*, 687–701. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.6b00086)
- 226. Ertl, P.; Jelfs, S. Designing drugs on the internet? Free web tools and services supporting medicinal chemistry. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *7*, 1491–1501. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/156802607782194707)
- 227. Hope, J. *Biobazaar: The Open Source Revolution and Biotechnology*; Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2009; ISBN 978-0-674-03360-3.
- 228. Woelfle, M.; Olliaro, P.; Todd, M.H. Open science is a research accelerator. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 745–748. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1149)
- 229. Årdal, C.; Røttingen, J.-A. Open Source Drug Discovery in Practice: A Case Study. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2012**, *6*, e1827. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001827)
- 230. Robertson, M.; Ylioja, P.M.; Williamson, A.E.; Woelfle, M.; Robins, M.; Badiola, K.A.; Willis, P.; Olliaro, P.; Wells, T.; Todd, M.H. Open source drug discovery—A limited tutorial. *Parasitology* **2013**, *141*, 148–157. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0031182013001121) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23985301)
- 231. Balasegaram, M.; Kolb, P.; McKew, J.; Menon, J.; Olliaro, P.; Sablinski, T.; Thomas, Z.; Todd, M.H.; Torreele, E.; Wilbanks, J. An open source pharma roadmap. *PLoS Med.* **2017**, *14*, e1002276. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002276) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28419094)
- 232. DNS-Fresenius Kabi India. Available online: https://[www.fresenius-kabi.com](https://www.fresenius-kabi.com/in/products/dns)/in/products/dns (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 233. Commissioner, O. FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D., Updates on Some Ongoing Shortages Related to IV Fluids. Available online: https://www.fda.gov/news-events/[press-announcements](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-commissioner-scott-gottlieb-md-updates-some-ongoing-shortages-related-iv-fluids)/fda-commissioner[scott-gottlieb-md-updates-some-ongoing-shortages-related-iv-fluids](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-commissioner-scott-gottlieb-md-updates-some-ongoing-shortages-related-iv-fluids) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 234. Mazer-Amirshahi, M.; Fox, E.R. Saline Shortages—Many Causes, No Simple Solution. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2018**, *378*, 1472–1474. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1800347) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29561694)
- 235. Dextrose 5%-Fresenius Kabi India. Available online: https://[www.fresenius-kabi.com](https://www.fresenius-kabi.com/in/products/dextrose-5)/in/products/dextrose-5 (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 236. Fishel, H. 7 Ways to Make Your Own Cold Packs at Home. Available online: https://[macgyverisms.](https://macgyverisms.wonderhowto.com/how-to/7-ways-make-your-own-cold-packs-home-0166182/) wonderhowto.com/how-to/[7-ways-make-your-own-cold-packs-home-0166182](https://macgyverisms.wonderhowto.com/how-to/7-ways-make-your-own-cold-packs-home-0166182/)/ (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 237. Holling, C.S. Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **1973**, *4*, 1–23. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000245)
- 238. Christopher, M.; Peck, H. Building the Resilient Supply Chain. *Int. J. Logist. Manag.* **2004**, *15*, 1–14. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09574090410700275)
- 239. Sheffi, Y. Preparing for the big one [supply chain management]. *Manuf. Eng.* **2005**, *84*, 12–15. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/me:20050503)
- 240. Ponomarov, S.Y.; Holcomb, M.C. Understanding the concept of supply chain resilience. *Int. J. Logist. Manag.* **2009**, *20*, 124–143. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09574090910954873)
- 241. Jüttner, U.; Maklan, S. Supply chain resilience in the global financial crisis: An empirical study. *Supply Chain Manag. Int. J.* **2011**, *16*, 246–259. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13598541111139062)
- 242. Lee, S.M.; Rha, J.S. Ambidextrous supply chain as a dynamic capability: Building a resilient supply chain. *Manag. Decis.* **2016**, *54*, 2–23. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MD-12-2014-0674)
- 243. Sanchis, R.; Canetta, L.; Poler, R. A Conceptual Reference Framework for Enterprise Resilience Enhancement. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 1464. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su12041464)
- 244. Marusek, J.A. Solar Storm Threat Analysis. 2007. Volume 29. Available online: https://[pdfs.semanticscholar.](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/9fa3/16d0b9bb073d9826d9d4295b36e0f282d3eb.pdf) org/9fa3/[16d0b9bb073d9826d9d4295b36e0f282d3eb.pdf](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/9fa3/16d0b9bb073d9826d9d4295b36e0f282d3eb.pdf) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 245. Weintraub, K. Not Just Ventilators: Staff Trained to Run Them Are in Short Supply. Available online: https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/not-just-ventilators-staff[-trained-to-run-them-are-in](https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/not-just-ventilators-staff-trained-to-run-them-are-in-short-supply/)[short-supply](https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/not-just-ventilators-staff-trained-to-run-them-are-in-short-supply/)/ (accessed on 4 May 2020).
- 246. Gaffney, A. America's Extreme Neoliberal Healthcare System is Putting the Country at Risk|Adam Gaffney. The Guardian, 2020. Available online: https://[www.theguardian.com](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/mar/21/medicare-for-all-coronavirus-covid-19-single-payer)/commentisfree/2020/mar/21/medicare[for-all-coronavirus-covid-19-single-payer](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/mar/21/medicare-for-all-coronavirus-covid-19-single-payer) (accessed on 4 May 2020).
- 247. Abrams, A. America's Health System Will Likely Make the Coronavirus Outbreak Worse. Available online: https://time.com/5794672/[health-insurance-deductibles-coronavirus](https://time.com/5794672/health-insurance-deductibles-coronavirus/)/ (accessed on 4 May 2020).
- 248. Denkenberger, D.; Pearce, J.M. *Feeding Everyone No Matter What: Managing Food Security After Global Catastrophe*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2014; ISBN 978-0-12-802358-7.
- 249. Denkenberger, D.C.; Pearce, J.M. Feeding everyone: Solving the food crisis in event of global catastrophes that kill crops or obscure the sun. *Futures* **2015**, *72*, 57–68. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2014.11.008)
- 250. Baum, S.D.; Denkenberger, D.C.; Pearce, J.M.; Robock, A.; Winkler, R. Resilience to global food supply catastrophes. *Environ. Syst. Decis.* **2015**, *35*, 301–313. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10669-015-9549-2)
- 251. Wijnen, B.; Hunt, E.J.; Anzalone, G.C.; Pearce, J.M. Open-Source Syringe Pump Library. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e107216. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107216) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25229451)
- 252. Wijnen, B.; Anzalone, G.C.; Haselhuhn, A.S.; Sanders, P.G.; Pearce, J.M. Free and Open-source Control Software for 3-D Motion and Processing. *J. Open Res. Softw.* **2016**, *4*, 177. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/jors.78)
- 253. Lynch Open Source Syringe Pump Modifications-Appropedia: The Sustainability Wiki. Available online: https://www.appropedia.org/[Lynch_open_source_syringe_pump_modifications](https://www.appropedia.org/Lynch_open_source_syringe_pump_modifications) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 254. Amarante, L.; Newport, J.; Mitchell, M.; Wilson, J.; Laubach, M. An Open Source Syringe Pump Controller for Fluid Delivery of Multiple Volumes. *eNeuro* **2019**, *6*, 6. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0240-19.2019)
- 255. Cubberley, M.S.; Hess, W.A. An Inexpensive Programmable Dual-Syringe Pump for the Chemistry Laboratory. *J. Chem. Educ.* **2016**, *94*, 72–74. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00598)
- 256. Pusch, K.; Hinton, T.J.; Feinberg, A.W.W. Large volume syringe pump extruder for desktop 3D printers. *HardwareX* **2018**, *3*, 49–61. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2018.02.001)
- 257. Lake, J.R.; Heyde, K.C.; Ruder, W.C. Low-cost feedback-controlled syringe pressure pumps for microfluidics applications. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0175089. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175089)
- 258. Klar, V.; Pearce, J.M.; Kärki, P.; Kuosmanen, P. Ystruder: Open source multifunction extruder with sensing and monitoring capabilities. *HardwareX* **2019**, *6*, e00080. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2019.e00080)
- 259. MOST Lab COVID19 Medical Production-Appropedia: The Sustainability Wiki. Available online: [https:](https://www.appropedia.org/MOST_Lab_COVID19_Medical_Production) //www.appropedia.org/[MOST_Lab_COVID19_Medical_Production](https://www.appropedia.org/MOST_Lab_COVID19_Medical_Production) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 260. Hall, D.E.; Hanusa, B.H.; Stone, R.A.; Ling, B.S.; Arnold, R.M. Time required for institutional review board review at one Veterans Affairs medical center. *JAMA Surg.* **2015**, *150*, 103–109. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2014.956)
- 261. Remuzzi, A.; Remuzzi, G. COVID-19 and Italy: What next? *Lancet* **2020**, *395*, 1225–1228. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30627-9)
- 262. Health, C. for D. and R. Medical Devices. Available online: https://www.fda.gov/[medical-devices](https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 263. Enforcement Policy for Ventilators and Accessories and Other Respiratory Devices During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Public Health Emergency-Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff. 14. Available online: https://[www.fda.gov](https://www.fda.gov/media/136318/download)/media/136318/download (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 264. Commissioner, O. *The Emergency Use Authorization*; FDA: Washongton, DC, USA, 2020.
- 265. CSMS #42124872 -Information for Filing Personal Protective Equipment and Medical Devices During COVID-19. Available online: https://[content.govdelivery.com](https://content.govdelivery.com/accounts/USDHSCBP/bulletins/282c648)/accounts/USDHSCBP/bulletins/282c648 (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 266. Pardun, J.T. Good Samaritan Laws: A Global Perspective. Loyola Los Angel. *Int. Comp. Law J.* **1997**, *20*, 591.
- 267. A Comparative Study of the Acts of Good Samaritan of Various Countries–<Hebei Law Science>. Available online: http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/[CJFDTotal-HBFX200607001.htm](http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTotal-HBFX200607001.htm) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 268. FINLEX ®-Translations of Finnish Acts and Decrees: 39/1889 English. Available online: https://[finlex.fi](https://finlex.fi/en/laki/kaannokset/1889/en18890039)/en/ laki/kaannokset/1889/[en18890039](https://finlex.fi/en/laki/kaannokset/1889/en18890039) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 269. Heller, M.A. Can Patents Deter Innovation? The Anticommons in Biomedical Research. *Science* **1998**, *280*, 698–701. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.280.5364.698) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9563938)
- 270. Mowery, D.C.; Nelson, R.R.; Sampat, B.N.; Ziedonis, A. The growth of patenting and licensing by U.S. universities: An assessment of the effects of the Bayh–Dole act of 1980. *Res. Policy* **2001**, *30*, 99–119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(99)00100-6)
- 271. Pearce, J.M. Make nanotechnology research open-source. *Nature* **2012**, *491*, 519–521. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/491519a) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23172198)
- 272. Pearce, J.M. Open-source nanotechnology: Solutions to a modern intellectual property tragedy. *Nano Today* **2013**, *8*, 339–341. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nantod.2013.04.001)
- 273. Chesbrough, H. Open Business Models: How To Thrive. In *The New Innovation Landscape*; Harvard Business Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2006; ISBN 978-1-4221-4807-5.
- 274. Watson, R.; Boudreau, M.-C.; York, P.T.; Greiner, M.E.; Wynn, D. The business of open source. *Commun. ACM* **2008**, *51*, 41–46. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1330311.1330321)
- 275. Wesselius, J. The Bazaar inside the Cathedral: Business Models for Internal Markets. *IEEE Softw.* **2008**, *25*, 60–66. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MS.2008.79)
- 276. An Analysis of Open Source Business Models.—Openforum Academy. Available online: http://[openforumacademy.](http://openforumacademy.org/library/third-party-research/third-party-research/an-analysis-of-open-source-business-models-1) org/library/third-party-research/third-party-research/[an-analysis-of-open-source-business-models-1](http://openforumacademy.org/library/third-party-research/third-party-research/an-analysis-of-open-source-business-models-1) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 277. Osborn, L.S.; Pearce, J.M.; Haselhuhn, A. A Case for Weakening Patent Rights. *Law Rev.* **2015**, *89*, 1185.
- 278. Kulish, N.; Kliff, S.; Silver-Greenberg, J. The U.S. Tried to Build a New Fleet of Ventilators. The Mission Failed. New York Times, 2020. Available online: https://[www.nytimes.com](https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/29/business/coronavirus-us-ventilator-shortage.html)/2020/03/29/business/coronavirus[us-ventilator-shortage.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/29/business/coronavirus-us-ventilator-shortage.html) (accessed on 3 April 2020).
- 279. Medtronic Register to Download Ventilator Files. Available online: https://[www.medtronic.com](https://www.medtronic.com/us-en/e/open-files.html)/us-en/e/ [open-files.html](https://www.medtronic.com/us-en/e/open-files.html) (accessed on 31 March 2020).
- 280. Else, H. Radical open-access plan could spell end to journal subscriptions. *Nature* **2018**, *561*, 17–18. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-06178-7)

© 2020 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.)/licenses/by/4.0/).