

# Photosynthesis-Inhibiting Activity of Fluorinated 2-Hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxanilides <sup>†</sup>

Tomas Gonec <sup>1,\*</sup> , Michal Oravec <sup>2</sup>  and Josef Jampilek <sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Drugs, Faculty of Pharmacy, Masaryk University, Palackeho Tr. 1946/1, 612 00 Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup> Global Change Research Institute CAS, Belidla 986/4a, 603 00 Brno, Czech Republic; oravec.m@czechglobe.cz

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemical Biology, Faculty of Science, Palacky University Olomouc, Slechtitelu 27, 783 71 Olomouc, Czech Republic; josef.jampilek@gmail.com

\* Correspondence: t.gonec@seznam.cz

<sup>†</sup> Presented at the 25th International Electronic Conference on Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 15–30 November 2021; Available online: <https://ecsoc-25.sciforum.net/>.

**Abstract:** 2-Hydroxy-*N*-phenylnaphthalene-1-carboxamide, three fluoro monosubstituted and five fluoro disubstituted 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxanilides were prepared by microwave-assisted synthesis and characterized. All the compounds were evaluated for their ability to inhibit photosynthetic electron transport (PET) in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) chloroplasts. The PET inhibitory activity of the discussed compounds proved to be in a wide range, from inactive *N*-(2,6-difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxamide with an IC<sub>50</sub> = 904 μM to *N*-(2,5-difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxamide with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 44.2 μM, which was the most potent isomer of the series of evaluated compounds. Based on previous studies, it can be assumed that the mechanism of PET inhibition of these compounds is the inhibition of photosystem II in the thylakoid membrane.

**Keywords:** Hydroxynaphthalene-carboxamides; PET inhibition; Spinach chloroplasts



**Citation:** Gonec, T.; Oravec, M.; Jampilek, J. Photosynthesis-Inhibiting Activity of Fluorinated 2-Hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxanilides. *Chem. Proc.* **2022**, *8*, 40. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ecsoc-25-11652>

Academic Editor: Julio A. Seijas

Published: 13 November 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Hydroxynaphthalene-carboxanilides are known for a wide range of biological activities. In addition to antibacterial and antituberculous effects, it is also antiparasitic activity and has also been found to be able to inhibit photosynthesis by reversibly binding to photosystem II (PS II) in the thylakoid membrane [1–18]. The amide bond is important for this biological activity. The effect is enhanced by the presence of a hydroxyl group [1,4,19,20] and modified by substitution of the anilide part of the molecule [1,4–7,15]. The amide bridge connecting the two parts of the molecule simulates a peptide bond (–CONH–) and is crucial for this interaction inhibiting photosynthetic electron transfer (PET). There are over 50% of herbicides acting on the market [21–24].


The previously published monofluorinated anilides of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxylic acid were supplemented with a series of difluorinated derivatives, and these new compounds were investigated for their ability to inhibit PET in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) chloroplasts.

## 2. Results and Discussion

The studied compounds were prepared by the method described by Gonec et al. [1]. Briefly: 1-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid and the appropriate aniline were dissolved in dry chlorobenzene and provided the target 2-hydroxy-*N*-arylnaphthalene-1-carboxanilides in the presence of phosphorus trichloride in a microwave reactor; see Scheme 1 and Table 1.


The lipophilicity of all compounds was determined by reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) and expressed as the logarithm of the capacity factor *k*; see Table 1. The lowest lipophilicity value (log *k* = –0.2192) was determined for

compound **7** (R = 2,6-F), while the highest lipophilicity was determined for compounds **6** (R = 2,5-F,  $\log k = 0.1954$ ) and **9** (R = 3,5-F,  $\log k = 0.2002$ ). The  $\log k$  value of unsubstituted derivative **1** was  $-0.0525$ . The  $\log k$  values of the remaining compounds ranged from  $-0.0155$  to  $0.1299$ . Electronic  $\sigma_{(\text{Ar})}$  parameters of individual substituted anilide rings (Table 1) were predicted using the ACD/Percepta ver. 2012 program (Advanced Chemistry Development, Toronto, ON, Canada). Electronic  $\sigma$  parameters ranged from 0 (**1**, R = H) to 1.44 (**7**, R = 2,6-F).



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of 2-hydroxy-*N*-arylnaphthalene-1-carboxanilides **1–9**. Reagents and conditions: (a)  $\text{PCl}_3$ , chlorobenzene, MW, 45 min.

**Table 1.** Structure of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxanilides **1–9**, experimentally determined values of lipophilicity  $\log k$ , calculated electronic  $\sigma$  parameters of anilide (Ar) substituents and  $\text{IC}_{50}$  [ $\mu\text{M}$ ] values related to PET inhibition in spinach chloroplasts of tested compounds in comparison with 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU) standard.




Comp.	R	$\log k$	$\sigma_{(\text{Ar})}^b$	PET Inhibition $\text{IC}_{50}$ [ $\mu\text{M}$ ]
<b>1</b> <sup>a</sup>	H	$-0.0525$	0	28.9
<b>2</b> <sup>a</sup>	2-F	0.0521	0.06	243
<b>3</b> <sup>a</sup>	3-F	0.0353	0.34	213
<b>4</b> <sup>a</sup>	4-F	$-0.0155$	0.06	313
<b>5</b>	2,4-F	0.0775	1.04	215
<b>6</b>	2,5-F	0.1954	1.24	44.2
<b>7</b>	2,6-F	$-0.2192$	1.44	904
<b>8</b>	3,4-F	0.1299	1.22	243
<b>9</b>	3,5-F	0.2002	1.12	69.7
DCMU	–	–	–	2.1

<sup>a</sup> described in [1], <sup>b</sup> calculated using ACD/Percepta ver. 2012 (Advanced Chemistry Development, Toronto, ON, Canada).

The PET inhibition in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) chloroplasts was expressed as a negative logarithm of  $\text{IC}_{50}$  value (compound concentration in  $\mu\text{M}$  causing 50% inhibition of PET). The ring-substituted 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxanilides showed rather moderate or low PET-inhibiting activity in the range of  $\text{IC}_{50}$  from 44.2 to 906  $\mu\text{M}$ ; see Table 1. Unsubstituted derivative **1**, which is included for comparison, had an activity of  $\text{IC}_{50}$  28.9  $\mu\text{M}$ . *N*-(2,5-Difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxamide (**6**) demonstrated the highest PET inhibition  $\text{IC}_{50} = 44.2 \mu\text{M}$ .

Although the set of evaluated compounds is limited in number and activity, some structure–activity relationships can be observed. The dependence of the PET-inhibiting activity expressed as  $\log(1/\text{IC}_{50} [\text{M}])$  of the compounds in spinach chloroplasts on lipophilicity ( $\log k$ ) is illustrated in Figure 1A, while the dependence of PET inhibition on electronic  $\sigma_{(\text{Ar})}$  properties of the whole anilide substituents is plotted in Figure 1B. It is clear from Figure 1A that the activity within the series of fluorinated derivatives increases with the

increasing lipophilicity (correlation coefficient  $r = 0.9137$ ,  $n = 8$ ) of the compounds. It also seems that PET inhibition is influenced by the electron-withdrawing properties of combinations of fluorine substituents; monosubstitution shows rather weak/weaker, and, conversely,  $C_{(2,6)}$  disubstitution (compound 7) shows strong electron-withdrawing properties. The trend between PET inhibition and electronic properties seems to be bilinear, with an optimum for a  $\sigma_{(Ar)}$  value of approx. 1.24 ( $R = 2,5\text{-F}$ ).



**Figure 1.** Graph of dependence of PET-inhibiting activity  $\log(1/IC_{50})$  [M] of compounds 1–7 in spinach chloroplasts on lipophilicity expressed as  $\log k$  (A) and electronic  $\sigma_{(Ar)}$  parameters of anilide substituents (B).

Thus, the mutual position of the fluorine substituents on the anilide ring plays a key role in the size of PET inhibition, although even the most potent derivative showed lower activity than unsubstituted compound 1. On the other hand, it is clear that significant intra/intermolecular interactions can be observed in the individual combinations of substituents, which are manifested by different sizes of lipophilicity and electron-withdrawing properties of the individual derivatives. It can be stated that the difluorination of the 2,5 and 3,5 positions of the anilide ring seems to be the most advantageous, fully corresponds to the observation described in Kos et al. [16], and partially meets the results described in Goncec et al. [5].

The close structural similarity (isomerism) of these derivatives with other recently described hydroxynaphthalene-carboxanilides and salicylanilides suggests that the site of inhibitory effect is located on the acceptor side of PS II, in the region between P680 (primary PS II donor) and plastoquinone  $Q_B$  [1,4,5,7,11,12,16,25,26].

### 3. Experimental

#### 3.1. General

All reagents were purchased from Merck (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and Alfa (Alfa-Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA). Reactions were performed using a StartSYNTH microwave lab station (Milestone, Sorisole, Italy). The melting points were determined on a Kofler hot-plate apparatus HMK (Franz Kustner Nacht KG, Dresden, Germany) and are uncorrected. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on an iD7 diamond ATR optical system for a Nicolet™ Impact 410 Fourier-transform IR spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, West Palm Beach, FL, USA). The spectra were obtained by the accumulation of 64 scans with  $2\text{ cm}^{-1}$  resolution in the region of  $4000\text{--}650\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . All  $^1\text{H}$ - and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL ECZR 400 MHz NMR spectrometer (400 MHz for  $^1\text{H}$  and 100 MHz for  $^{13}\text{C}$ , JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) in dimethyl sulfoxide- $d_6$  (DMSO- $d_6$ ).  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm. High-resolution mass spectra were measured using a high-performance liquid chromatograph Dionex UltiMate® 3000 (Thermo Scientific, West Palm Beach, FL, USA) coupled with an LTQ Orbitrap XL™ Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap Fourier Transform Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) equipped with a HESI II (heated electrospray ionization) source in the positive mode.

### 3.2. Synthesis

General procedure for the synthesis of carboxamide derivatives 1–9: 2-Hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxylic acid (1.0 g, 5.3 mM) was suspended in dry chlorobenzene (30 mL) at ambient temperature and phosphorus trichloride (0.23 mL, 2.7 mM, 0.5 eq.), and the corresponding substituted aniline (5.3 mM, 1 eq.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was transferred to the microwave reactor, where the synthesis was performed (1st phase: 10 min, 100 °C, 100 W; 2nd phase: 15 min, 120 °C, 500 W; 3rd phase: 20 min, 130 °C, 500 W). Then, the mixture was cooled to 60 °C, and then the solvent was removed to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was washed with hydrochloride acid and water. The crude product was recrystallized from EtOH.

Described anilides 1–4 were characterized by Gonec et al. [1].

N-(2,4-Difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxamide (5). Yield 83%; Mp 176–180 °C; IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3207, 1636, 1615, 1600, 1538, 1511, 1501, 1435, 1428, 1369, 1349, 1271, 1257, 1243, 1197, 1135, 1094, 1034, 971, 956, 901, 845, 819, 809, 754, 743, 727; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: 10.19 (s, 1H), 10.16 (s, 1H), 7.90 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.9 Hz, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 7.86 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 7.85 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.82 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.49 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz), 7.36 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 10.8 Hz, *J* = 9.1 Hz, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 7.34 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 8.2 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz), 7.24 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.15 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: 166.0, 159.0 (dd, *J* = 244.1 Hz, *J* = 11.4 Hz), 154.9 (dd, *J* = 249.3 Hz, *J* = 12.4 Hz), 151.9, 131.5, 130.4, 128.0, 127.4, 127.0, 126.8 (dd, *J* = 9.6 Hz, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 123.5, 123.0, 122.6 (dd, *J* = 12.4 Hz, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 118.3, 117.6, 111.1 (dd, *J* = 21.9 Hz, *J* = 3.5 Hz), 104.3 (dd, *J* = 26.6 Hz, *J* = 24.3 Hz); <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: -114.3 (m), -117.3 (m); HR-MS: [M-H]<sup>+</sup> calculated 298.067411 *m/z*, found 298.06796 *m/z*.

N-(2,5-Difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxamide (6). Yield 83%; Mp 148–151 °C; IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3245, 1621, 1609, 1581, 1532, 1504, 1462, 1418, 1408, 1334, 1249, 1236, 1223, 1203, 1161, 1145, 1103, 961, 870, 823, 784, 755, 740, 723, 673; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: 10.36 (s, 1H), 10.29 (s, 1H), 8.03 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 10.1 Hz, *J* = 6.1 Hz, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 7.87 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 7.85 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.83 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.48 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz), 7.35 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 10.4 Hz, *J* = 9.5 Hz, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 7.34 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 8.2 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz), 7.24 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 7.03–7.08 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: 166.2, 157.7 (dd, *J* = 238.6 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz), 152.1, 149.9 (dd, *J* = 242.0 Hz, *J* = 2.3 Hz), 131.5, 130.7, 128.0, 127.5, 127.5 (dd, *J* = 13.9 Hz, *J* = 11.9 Hz), 127.1, 123.5, 123.0, 118.3, 117.2, 116.5 (dd, *J* = 22.3 Hz, *J* = 9.9 Hz), 111.2 (dd, *J* = 24.2 Hz, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 110.6 (dd, *J* = 28.4 Hz, *J* = 1.5 Hz); <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: -117.5 (m), -129.1 (m); HR-MS: [M-H]<sup>+</sup> calculated 298.067411 *m/z*, found 298.06796 *m/z*.

N-(2,6-Difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxamide (7). Yield 85%; Mp 167–169 °C; IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3242, 1630, 1609, 1584, 1526, 1465, 1403, 1331, 1290, 1236, 1209, 1162, 1147, 1135, 1010, 966, 899, 810, 776, 745, 707; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: 10.18 (s, 1H), 10.08 (s, 1H), 7.88 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.3 Hz), 7.82–7.85 (m, 2H), 7.51 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 7.0 Hz, *J* = 3.7 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz), 7.20–7.40 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: 165.92, 158.07 (dd, *J* = 248.7 Hz, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 151.92, 131.56, 130.40, 128.05 (t, *J* = 9.9 Hz), 128.01, 127.40, 126.97, 123.44, 123.01, 118.26, 117.46, 114.62 (t, *J* = 17.2 Hz), 111.89 (m); HR-MS: [M-H]<sup>+</sup> calculated 298.06741 *m/z*, found 298.06805 *m/z*.

N-(3,4-Difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxamide (8). Yield 82%; Mp 213–217 °C; IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3307, 1642, 1615, 1589, 1559, 1512, 1435, 1410, 1353, 1289, 1258, 1237, 1210, 1157, 1106, 969, 850, 806, 779, 744, 671; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: 10.62 (s, 1H), 10.18 (s, 1H), 8.02 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 13.3 Hz, *J* = 7.6 Hz, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 7.88 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 7.85 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.67 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.49–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.47 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz), 7.43 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 10.5 Hz, *J* = 9.1 Hz, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.34 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 8.2 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz), 7.26 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: 165.9, 151.8, 149.0 (dd, *J* = 242.7 Hz, *J* = 13.1 Hz), 145.3 (dd, *J* = 241.7 Hz, *J* = 12.6 Hz), 136.6 (dd, *J* = 9.0 Hz, *J* = 2.6 Hz), 131.3, 130.4, 128.0, 127.4, 127.1, 123.3, 123.1, 118.3, 118.0, 117.5 (d, *J* = 17.7 Hz), 115.5 (dd, *J* = 5.7 Hz, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 108.1 (d, *J* = 21.7 Hz); <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ: -137.3 (ddd,

$J = 22.7$  Hz,  $J = 13.2$  Hz,  $J = 8.7$  Hz),  $-144.8$  (m); HR-MS:  $[M-H]^+$  calculated 298.067411  $m/z$ , found 298.06799  $m/z$ .

N-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxamide (**9**). Yield 70%; Mp 219–223 °C; IR ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3328, 1646, 1614, 1549, 1514, 1476, 1442, 1425, 1349, 1312, 1267, 1241, 1210, 1152, 1112, 999, 987, 891, 835, 804, 743, 665;  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  (DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$ : 10.78 (s, 1H), 10.26 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.8$  Hz), 7.86 (d, 1H,  $J = 7.3$  Hz), 7.66 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 7.56–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.48 (td, 1H,  $J = 8.1$  Hz,  $J = 1.5$  Hz), 7.34 (td, 1H,  $J = 7.3$  Hz,  $J = 1.1$  Hz), 7.26 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.8$  Hz), 6.96 (tt, 1H,  $J = 9.3$  Hz,  $J = 2.3$  Hz);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  (DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$ : 166.38, 162.51 (dd,  $J = 242.6$  Hz,  $J = 15.3$  Hz), 151.84, 141.98 (t,  $J = 13.7$  Hz), 131.21, 130.61, 128.05, 127.35, 127.22, 123.18, 123.16, 118.29, 117.81, 102.33–101.77 (m), 98.43 (t,  $J = 26.3$  Hz); HR-MS:  $[M-H]^+$  calculated 298.06741  $m/z$ , found 298.06808  $m/z$ .

### 3.3. Lipophilicity Determination by HPLC (Capacity Factor $k$ /Calculated $\log k$ )

An HPLC system Agilent 1200 equipped with a DAD detector (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used. A chromatographic column Symmetry<sup>®</sup> C<sub>18</sub> 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , 4.6  $\times$  250 mm, Part No. WAT054275, (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) was used. The HPLC separation process was monitored and evaluated by EZChrom Elite software ver. 3.3.2 (Agilent). Isocratic elution by a mixture of MeOH p.a. (72%) and H<sub>2</sub>O-HPLC Mili-Q grade (28%) as a mobile phase was used. The total flow of the column was 1.0 mL/min, injection 20  $\mu\text{L}$ , column temperature 40 °C and sample temperature 10 °C. The detection wavelength 210 nm was chosen. The KI methanolic solution was used for the dead time ( $t_D$ ) determination. Retention times ( $t_R$ ) were measured in minutes. The capacity factors  $k$  were calculated according to the formula  $k = (t_R - t_D)/t_D$ , where  $t_R$  is the retention time of the solute, whereas  $t_D$  denotes the dead time obtained using an unretained analyte.  $\log k$ , calculated from the capacity factor  $k$ , is used as the lipophilicity index converted to  $\log P$  scale. The  $\log k$  values of the individual compounds are shown in Table 1.

### 3.4. Study of Photosynthetic Electron Transport (PET) Inhibition in Spinach Chloroplasts

Chloroplasts were prepared from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) according to Kralova et al. [27]. The inhibition of photosynthetic electron transport (PET) in spinach chloroplasts was determined spectrophotometrically (Genesys 6, Thermo Scientific) using an artificial electron acceptor 2,6-dichlorophenol-indophenol (DCIPP) according to Kralova et al. [27], and the rate of photosynthetic electron transport was monitored as a photoreduction of DCPIP. The measurements were carried out in phosphate buffer (0.02 M, pH 7.2) containing sucrose (0.4 M), MgCl<sub>2</sub> (0.005 M) and NaCl (0.015 M). The chlorophyll content was 30 mg/L in these experiments, and the samples were irradiated ( $\sim 100$  W/m<sup>2</sup> with 10 cm distance) with a halogen lamp (250 W) using a 4 cm water filter to prevent warming of the samples (suspension temperature 22 °C). The studied compounds were dissolved in DMSO due to their limited water solubility. The applied DMSO concentration (up to 4%) did not affect the photochemical activity in spinach chloroplasts. The inhibitory efficiency of the studied compounds was expressed by IC<sub>50</sub> values, i.e., by molar concentration of the compounds causing a 50% decrease in the oxygen evolution rate relative to the untreated control. The comparable IC<sub>50</sub> value for the selective herbicide 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea, DCMU (Diuron<sup>®</sup>) was about 2.1  $\mu\text{M}$ . The results are shown in Table 1.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, T.G. and J.J.; methodology, T.G. and J.J.; investigation, T.G., M.O. and J.J.; writing, T.G., M.O. and J.J.; funding acquisition, M.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work is based on the use of Large Research Infrastructure CzeCOS supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic within the CzeCOS program, grant number LM2018123; M.O. was supported by SustES—Adaptation strategies for sustainable ecosystem services and food security under adverse environmental conditions, project no. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16\_019/0000797.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Gonec, T.; Kos, J.; Zadrazilova, I.; Pesko, M.; Govender, R.; Keltosova, S.; Chambel, B.; Pereira, D.; Kollar, P.; Imramovsky, A.; et al. Antibacterial and herbicidal activity of ring-substituted 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carboxanilides. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 9397–9419. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Gonec, T.; Pospisilova, S.; Holanova, L.; Stranik, J.; Cernikova, A.; Pudelkova, V.; Kos, J.; Oravec, M.; Kollar, P.; Cizek, A.; et al. Synthesis and antimicrobial evaluation of 1-[(2-substituted phenyl)carbamoyl]naphthalen-2-yl carbamates. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 1189. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Gonec, T.; Stranik, J.; Pesko, M.; Kos, J.; Oravec, M.; Kralova, K.; Jampilek, J. Photosynthesis-inhibiting activity of 1-[(2-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]- and 1-[(2-nitrophenyl)carbamoyl]naphthalen-2-yl alkylcarbamates. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 1199. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Gonec, T.; Kos, J.; Zadrazilova, I.; Pesko, M.; Keltosova, S.; Tengler, J.; Bobal, P.; Kollar, P.; Cizek, A.; Kralova, K.; et al. Antimycobacterial and herbicidal activity of ring-substituted 1-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *21*, 6531–6541. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Gonec, T.; Kos, J.; Pesko, M.; Dohanosova, J.; Oravec, M.; Liptaj, T.; Kralova, K.; Jampilek, J. Halogenated 1-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides affecting photosynthetic electron transport in photosystem II. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 1709. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Spaczynska, E.; Mrozek-Wilczkiewicz, A.; Malarz, K.; Kos, J.; Gonec, T.; Oravec, M.; Gawecki, R.; Bak, A.; Dohanosova, J.; Kapustikova, I.; et al. Design and synthesis of anticancer 1-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides with p53 independent mechanism of action. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 6387. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Michnova, H.; Pospisilova, S.; Gonec, T.; Kapustikova, I.; Kollar, P.; Kozik, V.; Musiol, R.; Jendrzewska, I.; Vanco, J.; Travnicek, Z.; et al. Bioactivity of methoxylated and methylated 1-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides: Comparative molecular surface analysis. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 2991. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Kauerova, T.; Gonec, T.; Jampilek, J.; Hafner, S.; Gaiser, A.K.; Syrovets, T.; Fedr, R.; Soucek, K.; Kollar, P. Ring-substituted 1-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides inhibit proliferation and trigger mitochondria-mediated apoptosis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 3416. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Gonec, T.; Zadrazilova, I.; Nevin, E.; Kauerova, T.; Pesko, M.; Kos, J.; Oravec, M.; Kollar, P.; Coffey, A.; O'Mahony, J.; et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of *N*-alkoxyphenyl-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides. *Molecules* **2015**, *20*, 9767–9787. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Gonec, T.; Pospisilova, S.; Kauerova, T.; Kos, J.; Dohanosova, J.; Oravec, M.; Kollar, P.; Coffey, A.; Liptaj, T.; Cizek, A.; et al. *N*-Alkoxyphenylhydroxynaphthalene-carboxamides and their antimycobacterial activity. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 1068. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Gonec, T.; Kralova, K.; Pesko, M.; Jampilek, J. Antimycobacterial *N*-alkoxyphenyl-hydroxynaphthalenecarboxamides affecting photosystem II. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *27*, 1881–1885. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Kos, J.; Zadrazilova, I.; Pesko, M.; Keltosova, S.; Tengler, J.; Gonec, T.; Bobal, P.; Kauerova, T.; Oravec, M.; Kollar, P.; et al. Antibacterial and herbicidal activity of ring-substituted 3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 7977–7997. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Kauerova, T.; Kos, J.; Gonec, T.; Jampilek, J.; Kollar, P. Antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic effect of novel nitro-substituted hydroxynaphthalenylides on human cancer cell lines. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2016**, *17*, 1219. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Kos, J.; Kapustikova, I.; Clements, C.; Gray, A.I.; Jampilek, J. 3-Hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxanilides and their antitrypanosomal activity. *Monatsh. Chem.* **2018**, *149*, 887–892. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Bak, A.; Kos, J.; Michnova, H.; Gonec, T.; Pospisilova, S.; Kozik, V.; Cizek, A.; Smolinski, A.; Jampilek, J. Similarity-driven pharmacophore mapping for series of *N*-(disubstituted-phenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 6583. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Kos, J.; Gonec, T.; Oravec, M.; Jendrzewska, I.; Jampilek, J. Photosynthesis-inhibiting activity of *N*-(disubstituted-phenyl)-3-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxamides. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 4336. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Campos, L.E.; Garibotto, F.M.; Angelina, E.; Kos, J.; Tomasic, T.; Zidar, N.; Kikelj, D.; Gonec, T.; Marvanova, P.; Mokry, P.; et al. Searching new structural scaffolds for BRAF inhibitors. Integrative study using theoretical and experimental techniques. *Bioorg. Chem.* **2019**, *91*, 103125. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Campos, L.E.; Garibotto, F.M.; Angelina, E.; Kos, J.; Gonec, T.; Marvanova, P.; Vettorazzi, M.; Oravec, M.; Jendrzewska, I.; Jampilek, J.; et al. Hydroxynaphthalenecarboxamides and substituted piperazinylpropanediols, two new series of BRAF inhibitors. A theoretical and experimental study. *Bioorg. Chem.* **2020**, *103*, 104145. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Gonec, T.; Bobal, P.; Suján, J.; Pesko, M.; Guo, J.; Kralova, K.; Pavlacka, L.; Vesely, L.; Kreckova, E.; Kos, J.; et al. Investigating the spectrum of biological activity of substituted quinoline-2-carboxamides and their isosteres. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 613–644.

20. Gonec, T.; Kos, J.; Nevin, E.; Govender, R.; Pesko, M.; Kushkevych, I.; Oravec, M.; Kollar, P.; O'Mahony, J.; Kralova, K.; et al. Preparation and biological properties of ring-substituted naphthalene-1-carboxanilides. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 10386–10409. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Classification of Herbicides. Weed Management in Horticulture Crops. Available online: <http://ecoursesonline.iasri.res.in/mod/page/view.php?id=12032> (accessed on 14 September 2021).
22. Izawa, S. Acceptors and donors for chloroplast electron transport. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Colowick, P., Kaplan, N.O., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 1980; Volume 69, Part C, pp. 413–434.
23. Sherwani, S.I.; Arif, I.A.; Khan, H.A. Modes of action of different classes of herbicides. In *Herbicides—Physiology of Action, and Safety*; Price, A., Kelton, J., Sarunaite, L., Eds.; IntechOpen: Rijeka, Croatia, 2015; Chapter 8.
24. Jablonkai, I. Molecular mechanism of action of herbicides. In *Herbicides—Mechanisms and Mode of Action*; Abd El-Ghany Hasaneen, M.N., Ed.; IntechOpen: Rijeka, Croatia, 2011; Chapter 1.
25. Otevrel, J.; Mandelova, Z.; Pesko, M.; Guo, J.; Kralova, K.; Sersen, F.; Vejsova, M.; Kalinowski, D.; Kovacevic, Z.; Coffey, A.; et al. Investigating the spectrum of biological activity of ring-substituted salicylanilides and carbamoylphenylcarbmates. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 8122–8142. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Kralova, K.; Perina, M.; Waissner, K.; Jampilek, J. Structure-activity relationships of *N*-benzylsalicylamides for inhibition of photosynthetic electron transport. *Med. Chem.* **2015**, *11*, 156–164. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Masarovicova, E.; Kralova, K. Approaches to measuring plant photosynthesis activity. In *Handbook of Photosynthesis*, 2nd ed.; Pessaraki, M., Ed.; Taylor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2005; pp. 617–656.