


Strategic Intelligence: A Semantic Leadership Perspective

Dan Paiuc ^{1,*} , Adina Săniuță ² and Alina Mirela Teacu Parincu ³

¹ Center for Research in Management, National School of Political and Administrative Studies (SNSPA), 012244 Bucharest, Romania

² Faculty of Management, National University of Political Studies and Public Administration (SNSPA), 012244 Bucharest, Romania; adina.saniuta@facultateademangement.ro

³ The Institute for Business Administration in Bucharest (ASEBUSS), 012101 Bucharest, Romania; teacualina@yahoo.com

* Correspondence: dan.paiuc@facultateademangement.ro

Abstract: This paper explores the notion of strategic intelligence, namely with respect to leadership and business-oriented areas. Strategic intelligence is a researched concept that primarily concerns the collection and analysis of intelligence for policy and military planning, mainly at national and international levels, whereas this study of management and organizational decision-making application is an extension of that. This article's subject is the necessity for strategic intelligence, a crucial competence for leaders, managers, and companies striving to remain competitive, to be utilized and best leveraged in today's volatile and dynamic business contexts. This study follows a research design that includes a thorough literature review and bibliometric research, executed via VoSViewer, illustrating the concept of strategic intelligence from a leadership point of view as a driver of competitive advantage, enhanced by knowledge dynamics. Furthermore, this article points out that the limitations are acknowledged because the literature on the matter is limited, as strategic intelligence is relatively new to the business sector; moreover, more research is required to fully understand and use the potential of strategic intelligence in business growth. Overcoming these limitations and continuing to study the strategic intelligence concept could, on the one hand, use multiple platforms, such as scholarly articles or encyclopedias, and, on the other hand, support businesses in gaining a competitive edge and making informed decisions that can fuel their success in an ever-evolving market.



Citation: Paiuc, D.; Săniuță, A.; Teacu Parincu, A.M. Strategic Intelligence: A Semantic Leadership Perspective. *Encyclopedia* **2024**, *4*, 785–798. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia4020050>

Academic Editor: Luis Machado

Received: 25 March 2024

Revised: 27 April 2024

Accepted: 29 April 2024

Published: 30 April 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: strategic intelligence; leadership; neuroleadership; global management; cultural intelligence; knowledge dynamics

1. Introduction

According to Sherman Kent, Yale University history professor and pioneer of strategic intelligence (abbreviated SQ in line with its predecessors IQ—intelligence quotient; CQ—cultural intelligence; EQ—emotional intelligence), *intelligence work* is an endeavour to acquire the knowledge upon which a successful course of action can be rested [1]. On the other hand, strategic intelligence is perceived as knowledge upon which a nation's foreign relations must rest in war or peace [2]. In this framed context, strategic intelligence is regarded as vital to a nation's survival. Building on this, and according to LaPaglia, who focused on the cultural roots of strategic intelligence [3], SQ enables the possibilities of gaining and keeping strategic values [4] and advantages, goal-reaching, and potential realization in challenging, adverse, or different environments. Furthermore, LaPaglia emphasizes that SQ not only enables the acquisition and maintenance of strategic values and advantages but also facilitates goal achievement in various complex settings.

Strategic intelligence usually assists high-level decision-makers, such as senior government leaders, in understanding the geopolitical factors shaping the world around them. Such intelligence can help leaders and major stakeholders anticipate future events, avoid

strategic surprises, and make informed decisions [5]. Not all intelligence is predictive in nature, but forecasts are a vital aspect of SQ, as they reduce uncertainty about future events for decision-makers. Collecting raw data about a particular country's diplomatic, economic, and military capacity falls under the initial set scope of strategic intelligence. However, that data must be analyzed and combined with other forms of intelligence, such as emotional intelligence (EQ) and cultural intelligence (CQ) [6], to produce credible forecasts [7,8].

Nowadays, strategic intelligence is more important than ever [9]. The bipolarity of the Cold War and its new recent waves have been replaced by the uncertainty of an emerging multipolar system [10]. Nonetheless, strategic intelligence is meaningless if decision-makers refuse to act on it. A nation's need for intelligence resources is dependent on the view of the world held by its leaders. Relations between intelligence producers and consumers are vital for a nation's security. Here lies the great paradox of strategic intelligence: billions of dollars are spent annually worldwide to acquire and interpret information on world events, only to have it dismissed out-of-hand by policy officials for reasons having little or nothing to do with the quality of the intelligence reporting [11]. Strategic intelligence competes for decision-makers' attention against other elements that create strategic information, knowledge, and insights [12], as a considerable number of leaders' decisions today are still based on opinions, beliefs, and their derivatives rather than on SQ. These challenges originate from shrinking trust in state institutions and the decline in the status of truth [13], all in an era under pressure from fake news and artificial intelligence (AI)-generated information [14].

In management fields, strategic intelligence deployment allows leaders to see the whole picture of the direct and indirect competition landscape, sense threats and vulnerabilities at the earliest possible stage for proactive risk management, utilize early changes in the external environment, enhance knowledge dynamics [15], make real-time decisions based on the latest data in order to stay alert and agile and not drown in unpredictable evolutions, and finally achieve sustainable growth and success [16], which is essential in the current complex and dynamic business environment [17]. This strategic foresight empowers leaders and managers to foresee proactive, informed measures and judgments that set their organizations right for sustainable long-term success and resilience in an ever-changing global and multicultural context [16].

Our bibliometric and semantic research about the connections of SQ with leadership can be enhanced by engaging with the business community, think tank hubs, strategists, and academic platforms, such as encyclopedias, which can popularize faster and increase the credibility of the strategic intelligence knowledge base.

From the above-mentioned perspective, our research questions are:

RQ1: Is there a positive relationship between SQ and *leadership*?

RQ2: Is *knowledge dynamics* a main driver of SQ that facilitates decision-making?

RQ3: Is *technology* a driver of SQ?

In continuation of this *Introduction*, we will present a *Theoretical background* analysis that will focus on the following: nature of strategic intelligence; theoretical and practical foundations of strategic intelligence; a short history of main strategic intelligence forums and institutions; strategic intelligence in leadership and neuroleadership. Afterwards, we will present our *Methodological approach*, focusing on data and bibliometric research on strategic intelligence, in order to end with *Results and discussions* and *Conclusions*.

2. Theoretical Background

This literature review aims to critically analyze research studies concerning strategic intelligence. In this section, the scholarly literature is used to examine key findings, theoretical frameworks, and empirical evidence that all contribute to our understanding of strategic intelligence. The review covers elements that refer to the nature of strategic intelligence, the theoretical bases of SQ, and the leadership domains of its practical use.

2.1. Nature of Strategic Intelligence

Strategic intelligence is the organized gathering, analysis, and interpretation of information that is needed to support decision-making and strategic planning. Strategic intelligence, in Fuld's vision, is a never-ending process that permits organizations to gather and evaluate pertinent data, detect patterns and trends, and then convert facts into actionable data intelligence [17]. It is a crucial instrument for opportunity identification, threat analysis, and effective strategy formulation.

Strategic intelligence is also the process of data capture and analysis to guide major decisions and policies [18]. The application of strategic intelligence is a practice that goes a long way in history, through some thousands of years. In ancient times, kings and emperors had used spies and scouts to gain information about their enemy and target lands. Leaders use operational intelligence to plan attacks, create alliances, and strengthen defences [1,19]. The recent centuries have been considered an era of increased importance of systematic intelligence collection, especially in contemporary nation-states. As strategic intelligence became vital during wartime, the CIA, KGB, and MI6 formalized it in the 20th century [20]. Nowadays, leaders in both government and private sectors apply strategic intelligence to design competitive policies and programs. This article dives deeply into the role of strategic intelligence and performance within leadership and examines the historical development of SQ.

2.2. Theoretical and Practical Foundations of Strategic Intelligence

2.2.1. Theoretical Foundations for Strategic Intelligence

Different theoretical approaches undercut the strategic intelligence study, which highlights the factors that determine its effectiveness and the consequences for organizational performance.

1. **Resource-Based View (RBV):** The resource-based view states that strategic intelligence is important for the organization to detect and utilize its unique resources and capabilities [21]. This view focuses on the role of strategic intelligence in gaining and developing valuable resources that can generate sustainable competitive advantages.
2. **Information Processing Theory:** Information processing theory focuses on how organizations gain, understand, and utilize information to make rational decisions [22]. Strategic intelligence is an instigator of the most optimal information processing, helping organizations analyze their external environment and internal capabilities and resources and then make strategic choices.

2.2.2. Practical Applications of Strategic Intelligence

Strategic intelligence is applied in many areas, such as business, government, and national security. The subsequent sections highlight some of the main practical applications.

1. **Business Intelligence:** Within a business context, strategic intelligence helps organizations collect, analyze, and interpret data related to customers, competitors, and market trends [11,23]. Market intelligence is used to discover emerging opportunities, evaluate competitor strategies, and develop creative solutions. Strategic intelligence also guides decisions in such spheres as product design, marketing, and resource allocation [24].
2. **Geopolitical Intelligence:** When it comes to geopolitics, the attention is directed to the investigation and assessment of political, economic, and social factors; the purpose of this process is to know and predict what changes can happen on the global scale [10,25]. This information is vital for governments and international organizations to develop efficient foreign policies, security strategies, and responses to geopolitical challenges and threats.
3. **Competitive Intelligence:** Competitive intelligence occurs through the systematic gathering and analysis of information about the competitors to gain a competitive edge [26]. Competitive intelligence helps recognize competitors' strengths and weaknesses, analyze industry trends, and adjust the developed strategies. By keeping abreast of

market dynamics, organizations will be able to make more informed decisions and take advantage of emergent opportunities.

4. Risk Management: Strategic intelligence is crucial in risk identification and elimination. Building on a monitoring and analysis of several internal and external factors, organizations are able to pre-emptively identify possible threats and come up with successful risk-management plans [27]. SI helps in risk probability and impact assessment, which allows organizations to rightly allocate resources and formulate contingency plans.

2.3. A Short History of Main Strategic Intelligence Forums and Institutions—USA-Focused

The theory of strategic intelligence, as presented in the Introduction, was first formulated and contextualized by Sherman Kent in 1949 [1,2,28]. Kent defines strategic intelligence as the knowledge upon which a nation's foreign relations must rest in war and peace [28]. However, the history of the concept behind strategic intelligence is very ancient, with some of the earliest sources of such knowledge being Sun Tzu's *The Art of War*, which was penned around 500 B.C. [29,30]. The ancient Chinese text laid more emphasis on the need to understand the enemy and the environment prior to the introduction of warfare.

In the United States, strategic intelligence began to develop during the times of the Revolutionary War in the 18th century [31]. Spies and secret agents were employed extensively by both sides to collect information on each other's military capabilities, strategies, and weaknesses [20]. The Culper Ring was one of the initial American spy networks under George Washington's command, and its primary objective in 1780 was to gather information about the British forces occupying New York. The function of intelligence kept evolving in the 19th century. By the 1870s, all leading powers had created permanent military intelligence agencies. The U.S. had several active Army and Navy intelligence agencies combined into the Military Information Division in 1885. This branch offered operational intelligence that was used until 1903 to support military operations and planning [32].

Strategic intelligence was essential in the two World Wars and the era of the Cold War that came after [33]. The Second World War was a major stimulant of the development of American strategic intelligence. Before America entered the war in 1941, President Roosevelt had set up the Office of the Coordinator of Information to examine international happenings. Following Pearl Harbor, that office was restructured to perform as the Office of Strategic Services (OSS), which was the first central intelligence agency of the nation [34]. The OSS gathered world political, economic, and military intelligence in order to assist the war effort. American strategic intelligence capabilities increased dramatically during the first years of the Cold War. The CIA was created out of the OSS by the National Security Act in 1947 [34]. The CIA was assigned to correlate, assess, and distribute intelligence information in order to help national security policymakers. Other important organizations included the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), which deals with military intelligence, and the National Security Agency (NSA), which specializes in signals intelligence [2,35]. Intelligence was a significant silent partner when it came to influencing U.S. policy and assessments during major Cold War crises and conflicts, starting from the Berlin Blockade through the Cuban Missile Crisis and to the Vietnam War [28]. Advanced technologies have improved the characteristics of satellite imagery and communications intercept capabilities. So, human intelligence also continued to be important in weaving through closed societies like the ex-Soviet Union.

The 9/11 terrorist attacks against the United States resulted in substantial changes within the intelligence community [36]. The Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 created the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) to enhance coordination among various bodies. Counterterrorism emerged as one of the highest priorities, in addition to countering other transnational threats. Currently, the U.S. intelligence community is composed of 17 specialized agencies, including the CIA, NSA, DIA, and the components of the FBI, State Department, Treasury, and other departments. Dominant objectives also involve detecting terrorist networks, evaluating future security challenges

concerning climate change and artificial intelligence, and fighting against disinformation by foreign adversaries [28].

On the other side of the ocean, the European Strategic Intelligence and Security Center (ESISC) gathers and analyzes intelligence; produces geopolitical, economic, and security reports; monitors threats from terrorism, organized crime, piracy, and social unrest; follows social networks; and lobbies [37,38].

2.4. Strategic Intelligence in Leadership and Neuroleadership

Effective leadership in any firm requires strategic intelligence [24]. Leaders must comprehend the competitive environment, risks, and opportunities to influence strategy and decision-making [11]. Strategic intelligence helps executives be proactive and gain long-term insights [12]. Combined with cultural intelligence [39], SQ can also support the thriving of the new generation of knowmads and digital nomads and foster diversity and inclusion within multicultural leadership [6,40].

First, strategic intelligence gives leaders a comprehensive view of the strategic landscape [41]. This means assessing not just competitors but also political, economic, social, technological, and other trends that may impact the organization's vision and goals. Strategic intelligence utilizes various disciplinary lenses—geospatial, political, economic, cultural, and historical—to develop a holistic picture [41]. In this way, leaders can better analyze and plan systemic relationships and interdependencies [42]. This equips them to consider second and third-order effects when weighing decisions and potential courses of action.

Second, strategic intelligence enables leaders and managers to identify threats, risks, and vulnerabilities early [43]. By collecting and analyzing warning indicators, leaders can foresee potential adverse developments while there is still time to prepare mitigation strategies. Emerging threats may stem from competitor innovations, policy changes, demographic shifts, or environmental pressures. Anticipating these changes prevents strategic surprises and shocks that could weaken the organization [43]. Leaders are empowered to be more proactive than reactive [42].

Third, strategic intelligence opens leaders' eyes to new opportunities for advantage [18]. Consumer trends, cutting-edge technologies, changes in the regulatory climate—these external shifts can all be turned into opportunities for organizations nimble enough to capitalize on them. Strategic intelligence provides the foreknowledge for leaders to take the initiative and place smart bets [18]. It allows them to build strategic plans ahead of competition and to include within possible late moves of challengers. This intelligence-led opportunism is critical to unlocking growth and new possibilities.

Fourth, strategic intelligence empowers leaders with information [44]. Before acting, good leaders gather all the facts and insights. Strategic intelligence informs planning and decision-making. Understanding strategic conditions, not assumptions or groupthink, helps leaders make decisions [44,45].

Finally, strategic intelligence enables leaders to prevent strategic surprises [46]. Leaders must adapt to a dynamic environment. They use strategic intelligence to connect dots and distinguish signals from simple noise. They can adjust to emerging trends and inflexion points before they gather momentum [46]. Strategic intelligence gives leaders the foresight to lead in a balanced manner [47].

The current context is the scene of permanent transformations and changes following new social, economic, and political trends manifested on a global scale, as well as the digital revolution. These elements have generated an unprecedented dynamic shake of the entrepreneurial organizational ecosystem, which has become more complex and competitive [48], stimulating organizations to explore new horizons and levers for reinventing their activities in order to remain anchored in the current and daily changing reality [49]. Also, it encourages the permanent evaluation of perspectives and the design of a new vision, plans, and strategic thinking in order to achieve organizational performance. The lack of certainty and knowledge and the gap between the known and the unknown and between the current situation of the organization and the horizon in which it projects

its future [15] strengthen these approaches and support the rethinking of managerial and organizational approaches via strategic intelligence.

Previous research has highlighted a meaningful connection between leadership and strategic intelligence, which is essential for managerial and leadership success and implicit decision-making processes [7,8,50,51]. Strategic intelligence aims to discover specific models and trends, possible opportunities and threats, which facilitate the anticipation of changes and the adaptation process, the alignment with the dynamics of the organizational environment, maintaining a sustainable competitive advantage and the need for informed, substantiated decisions [7], through a systematic process, focused on obtaining, from internal and external sources, specific data, evaluated by both qualitative and quantitative techniques, and the interpretation, evaluation, and dissemination of relevant information for the strategy of an organization, its objectives in the long term, and its strategic decision-making process [7].

For an effective management and leadership, both strategic intelligence and the opportunities generated by the digital revolution—which generated the development of new disciplines and shaped new approaches following the application of neuroscience in the managerial and organizational spectrum—must be capitalized on, making the transition from the traditional management approach to neuro-management, from economics to neuro-economics, from leadership to neuroleadership, from strategy to neurostrategy, from marketing to neuro-marketing, from planning to neuro-planning [52,53], and from business to neuro-business—the new concept that incorporates the areas of business and organizational administration [54].

Neuroleadership, developed for the progress of leadership processes at the organizational level, focuses on managerial decision-making processes and how to solve problems, manage emotions, collaborate and approach and facilitate change [55], improve management practices, coaching, consulting, and management training, change management, innovation and creativity, influence, and commitment of people [55–58]. Neuroleadership, via SQ, facilitates a holistic approach to leadership, targeting areas such as strategy and organizational behavior, decision-making processes, social interaction and collaboration, cultivating trust, and targeting the entire human spectrum in the organizational environment [55].

To overcome the multiple challenges and difficulties faced by the organizational environment, it has become imperative to develop new ways of approaching management and leadership at the corporate level, which outline new ways of performing to generate organizational success. A culture of experimentation and implementation of innovative, complementary approaches is being encouraged [59].

Strategically intelligent neuroleaders will be able to overcome constant challenges through a continuous process of leadership development, effective decision-making processes, and the competitive advantage generated through coherent and sustainable measures that do not limit future opportunities, vision, adaptability, creativity, dynamism, and integrity. These will provide the platform for effective management leadership and organizational performance [59,60].

In today's rapidly changing business environment, it is crucial for leaders to be adaptable and open to new ideas in order to stay ahead of the competition. By embracing innovative approaches and continuously developing their leadership skills, neuroleaders can effectively leverage strategic intelligence in order to navigate challenges and drive organizational success.

3. Data and Bibliometric Research on Strategic Intelligence

Our research is also backed by a bibliometric mapping of the SQ concept within the first generic and then leadership-focused contexts. The data were retrieved from SCOPUS on the 18th of February 2024 via an advanced search function, and the first SQ mentioned by Scopus is dated from 1951. However, Scopus registered the first joint mentions of SQ and leadership only in 1986. Both searches for “*strategic intelligence*” and “Strategic intelligence”

and “leadership” were performed in all Scopus fields, domains, and periods to maximize our analysis’s search recall and future relevance in VOSviewer.

The SQ search returned 3269 results, of which 30.7% were published in the last four years (2020–2023), placing the SQ topic into the recent actuality agenda. The top subject area is social sciences, with more than 50% of publications, while the first source type is the journal, with a share of more than 65%. The top three SQ authors, as reflected by Scopus, are David R. Mandel (32), Stephen Marrin (18), and Gamboa-Rosales (17). The top SQ affiliations are composed of King’s College London (60), The University of Manchester (28), and Georgetown University (28).

The SQ and leadership search returned 587 results, of which 250 (42.6%) were published within the recent period of 2020–2023, enhancing even more the major public interest in these connected notions within the last period—marked by the COVID-19 pandemic and its post effects. While the top subject area and source types remain the same in the SQ single search (social sciences: 51%, journal: 63%), this time, the top author’s podium is composed of Lee, Y.I. (9), Peter Trim, R.J (7), and Michael Maccoby (6). The first SQ and leadership affiliation is the same as in our single SQ search, King’s College London (13). However, the next two places are now occupied by the University of St. Andrews (7) and the University of Oklahoma (7). In Table 1 below, we summarize our bibliometric research:

Table 1. A bibliometric search of SQ and SQ + leadership into the Scopus database.

Searched Expressions	Search Fields	Returned Results	The First Year of Public Mention Recorded by Scopus	Returned Results 2020–2023	Top Subject Area	Top Source Type	Top Three Authors	Top Three Affiliations
“Strategic Intelligence”	all fields	3269	1951	1004 (30.7%)	Social Sciences (50.6%)	Journal (65.8%)	Mandel, D.R. (32), Marrin, S. (18), Gamboa-Rosales, N.K (17)	King’s College London (60), The University of Manchester (28), Georgetown University (28)
“Strategic Intelligence” AND “Leadership”	all fields	587	1986	250 (42.6%)	Social Sciences (50.9%)	Journal (62.9%)	Lee, Y.I. (9), Trim, P.R.J (7), Maccoby, M. (6)	King’s College London (13), University of St. Andrews (7), University of Oklahoma (7)

Source(s): Authors’ own research.

The documents were exported from Scopus into a CSV file that captured all *citations*, *bibliographical*, *abstract* and *keywords*, *funding*, and *other* information. The CSV files were exported into VOSViewer (version 1.6.20)—a visualizing scientific landscapes software [61]—in order to identify and build bibliometric maps with interconnections within main concepts.

The resulting maps reflect the visualization of theoretical concepts using nodes, words, and their connections. Nodes and words are sized based on their weights, representing factors like importance or frequency. Proximity between nodes indicates the strength of their connection, with shorter distances suggesting stronger relationships. Lines represent the co-occurrence of keywords, with thickness showing frequency. Clusters group nodes of the same color that aid in identifying interconnected concepts. Table 2 presents the keyword co-occurrences of our two searches as a result of VOSviewer with a full counting method.

Table 2. Keywords and keyword co-occurrences of our 2 SQ-related searches as reflected in VOSViewer.

Searched Expressions	Total Number of Keywords	Number of Keywords Meeting the Threshold of 5	Number of Keywords Meeting the Threshold of 2
“Strategic intelligence”	11,336	683	2665
“Strategic intelligence” AND “leadership”	2153	55	340

Source(s): Authors’ own research.

Our first map of SV, as reflected by VOSviewer, is presented below in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Strategic intelligence map/all fields search/3269 results/11,336 keywords/683 keywords with a threshold of 5. Source(s): Authors’ own research.

In the above-presented map, 8 clusters are identified with a total link strength of 34,869. The first and red cluster (left-down; 193 items) is rooted in the backgrounds of SQ with a main focus on expressions such as *strategic intelligence* (792 link strength), *decision-making* (1156), and *competitive intelligence* (542). The second and green cluster (left-center; 152 items) is focused mainly on two sub-concepts: *knowledge management* (409) and *innovation* (714), together with their variations and derivatives. The third dark blue cluster (left-up; 103 items) is focused on the geopolitical security side of SQ and gravitates around core expressions such as *intelligence analysis* (157), *terrorism* (138), or *international relations* (81). In this cluster, we also find the *leadership* (70) concept well represented. The fourth yellow cluster (right-down: 87 items) is centered on both the SQ research side with leading expressions such as *article* (1930) or *controlled study* (547) and also on drug traffic control with key themes such as *cocaine* (666) or *illicit drugs* (349). The fifth and violet cluster (center-up; 84 items) is related to medical outcomes and interconnections interfering with SQ fields, such as *COVID-19* (170) or *patient monitoring* (72). The sixth cluster, in light blue (right up: 37 items), is linked to gender repartitions: *female* (723), *male* (681). Meanwhile the seventh orange

cluster (center-down; 26 items) represents the bibliometric analysis of the SV concepts and its sub-categories such as *science mapping* (116). The last cluster in brown color (center) has only one element: *verbal probability* (42).

The impact and influence of knowledge dynamics on strategic intelligence, as well as SQ’s links with *leadership* and *management*, *technology*, *information data*, and *sustainability*, are reflected in Table 3 below:

Table 3. Main concept extractions from SQ map from VOSviewer. Source(s): Authors’ own research.

Item/s	From Cluster	Link Strength	Fields	Area	Total Link Strength	Total Link Strength Share
knowledge	1	156	Knowledge	Knowledge dynamics	4428	12.7%
Knowledge-based systems	1	75				
knowledge creation	2	20				
knowledge engineering	2	52				
knowledge sharing	2	25				
tacit knowledge	2	24				
knowledge management	2	409				
information management	1	258	Information and data			
management information systems	1	80				
19 other <i>information</i> -related terms	1, 3, 5, 7	1491				
18 <i>data</i> -related terms	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7	1838				
leadership	3	70	Leadership	Leadership and management	2055	5.9%
14 <i>management</i> -related terms	1, 2, 5	1148	Management			
2 <i>control</i> -related items	1, 4	646				
2 <i>governance</i> -related items	3	162				
coordination	1	29				
11 <i>decision</i> -making-related items	1	2039	Decision making	Decision making	2039	5.8%
22 <i>technology</i> -related terms	1, 2, 3, 5, 7	2267	Technology, AI, and digital transformation	Technology	2892	8.3%
social networking (online)	1	137				
artificial intelligence	1	294				
AI	1	31				
3 <i>digital transformation</i> -related items	1, 2, 5	163				
5 <i>performance</i> -related terms	1, 2, 4	207	Performance/profitability	Performance and profitability	238	0.7%
profitability	1	31				
12 <i>innovation</i> -related items	1, 2, 3	1276	Innovation	Innovation	1276	3.7%
sustainability	2	212	Sustainability	Sustainability	508	1.5%
sustainable development	1	296				

Source(s): Authors’ own research.

We can see from Table 3 that *knowledge dynamics* (KD) is a key driver of strategic intelligence, accounting for 12.7% of the total link strength of the SQ map. The pattern is kept in the cases of cultural intelligence and emotional intelligence, where KD plays a vital role [62,63]. *Leadership* and *management* are directly linked with SQ and cumulate a 5.9% share of the SQ map’s strength, while *decision-making* structures account for 5.8%. In the same context, *technology* represents 8.3%, *innovation* is 3.7%, *sustainability* is 1.5%, and *performance* is 0.7%. Reformulating, we have demonstrated that within strategic intelligence, knowledge dynamics is essential for the leadership function to support and enhance the best decision-making outcomes based on big data and technology to deliver performance and foster innovation and sustainability.

Our second map portraying the joint presentation of SQ and leadership, as reflected by VOSviewer, is illustrated in Figure 2 below.

We can observe that strategic intelligence and leadership regrouped together under the same cluster (yellow)—Figure 2a—this re-enforcing the direct connections of the two concepts underlined in Table 3. Also, excluding the military and geopolitical approach

from the global strategic intelligence map, the business intelligence component now clearly fuels the SQ's tactical, systematic, and foresight planning (Figure 2b).




Figure 2. Strategic intelligence AND leadership map/all fields search/587 results/2153 keywords/55 keywords with a threshold of 5 (a) and 340 keywords with a threshold of 2 (b). Source(s): Authors' own creation.

4. Results, Discussions, and Practical Implications

This literature review offers knowledge about strategic intelligence's character, theoretical principles, and operational functions. Analysis shows that strategic intelligence is a complex notion involving the orderly gathering, interpretation, and analysis of information meant to aid in decision-making and strategic planning. Theoretical underpinnings, including resource-based view and information processing theory, portray how strategic intelligence is related to the success of an organization. Strategic intelligence is used in a variety of domains, including business, leadership, government, and national security, and is characterized by its flexibility and relevance in promoting competitive advantage, risk management, and innovation. Our study enhanced the findings below by answering our research questions and stating a clear relationship between *KD* and *SQ* on one side and *SQ* and *leadership* on the other, all vectorized by *technology*.

Strategic intelligence has exposed risks, opportunities, and the complex strategic environment for ages, helping leaders make decisions. Modern strategic intelligence uses worldwide data and analysis. High-quality strategic information gives executives timely, relevant, and accurate insights for long-term strategy, minimizing risks. As fast change makes the world more unpredictable, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous, strategic intelligence will rise.

The practical implications of this analysis suggest that strategic intelligence plays an essential role in decision-making and strategic planning across various domains such as business, leadership, government, and national security. By recognizing the interconnectedness of strategic intelligence with leadership, organizations can leverage business intelligence to drive tactical, systematic, and foresight planning. Strategic intelligence, characterized by its adaptability and relevance, provides insights for competitive advantage, risk management, and innovation, enabling leaders to navigate complex strategic environments effectively. Furthermore, in the rapidly evolving business landscape, strategic intelligence remains essential for organizational success, necessitating a comprehensive evaluation of intelligence effectiveness, especially within modern technologies such as e-business and artificial intelligence.

5. Conclusions

We have answered our research questions and have demonstrated that knowledge dynamics is a main driver of *SQ* that powers decision-making and that there is a positive

relationship between SQ and leadership baked by technology. Strategic intelligence is, therefore, a key component of successful decision-making and strategic planning. Its multidimensional character includes both a process and result, depicting that it induces the collecting and analyzing of data in order to come up with well-considered strategies. The perspectives on strategic intelligence emphasize its significance in geopolitical and business settings. SQ enables organizations to achieve competitive advantage, risk minimization, and innovation. In the ever-changing business dynamics, strategic intelligence is still critical for the success of an organization.

It is an enormous task to evaluate the effectiveness of various intelligence forms, especially within the scope of strategic intelligence [64,65], especially within new E-business and AI-driven contexts [66]. Complexity arises from the diverse character of intelligence work, which includes secret analysis and operations, the result of which cannot always be seen at once. In addition, the success criteria of strategic intelligence in the leadership spheres are complicated, as they mostly go beyond the direct results to the shaping of the long-term policy and decision-making [67] processes. Hence, such a metric for measuring the effectiveness of SQ in leadership and management entails appreciating the direct and indirect effects of intelligence actions concerning the company's public and confidential targets [68,69]. The study on SQ and its connections should be continued, but this article enhanced the strategic intelligence information and knowledge both on organizations and encyclopedias.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; methodology, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; software, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; validation, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; formal analysis, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; investigation, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; resources, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; data curation, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; writing—original draft preparation, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; writing—review and editing, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; visualization, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; supervision, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P.; project administration, D.P., A.S. and A.M.T.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study did not require ethical approval.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Kent, S. *Strategic Intelligence for American World Policy*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1949.
2. Olcott, A. Revisiting The Legacy: Sherman Kent, Willmoore Kendall, and George Pettee—Strategic Intelligence in the Digital Age. *Stud. Intell.* **2009**, *53*, 21–32. Available online: <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA504947.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
3. LaPaglia, G. *The Cultural Roots of Strategic Intelligence*; Lexington Books: London, UK, 2019.
4. Paiuc, D. Cultural Intelligence as a Main Competency for Multinational Leadership and Global Management. In Proceedings of the Strategica—International Academic Conference/Knowledge Economy Section, Bucharest, Romania, 21–22 October 2021; Bratianu, C., Zbucea, A., Anghel, F., Hrib, B., Eds.; Tritonic Publishing House: Bucharest, Romania, 2021; pp. 1080–1089. Available online: <https://strategica-conference.ro/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/81.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
5. Mandel, D.R.; Barnes, A. Accuracy of Forecasts in Strategic Intelligence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 10984–10989. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Bratianu, C.; Paiuc, D. Diversity and Inclusion within Multicultural Leadership in the Covid Years: A Bibliometric Study 2019–2022. *Oradea J. Bus. Econ.* **2023**, *8*, 40–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Maccoby, M. *Strategic Intelligence: Conceptual Tools for Leading Change*; Oxford United Press: Oxford, UK, 2015.
8. Maccoby, M.; Scudder, T. Strategic Intelligence: A Conceptual System of Leadership for Change. *Perform. Improv.* **2011**, *50*, 32–40. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Costazolanitova, B. Strategic Intelligence in Preventing Radicalism and Terrorism: A Study in Cilacap. *Interdiscip. Soc. Stud.* **2023**, *3*, 1–5. [[CrossRef](#)]

10. Ntumba, M.; Bosch, M.; Consoni, A.; Plaatjie, L. *Global Security Policy for Geopolitical Risks Mitigation*; Tod'Aérs Global Network [TGN]: New York, NY, USA, 2022; pp. 1–35. Available online: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1fvjmspa7okpzlb8j71szml_ivvzectbf/view?pli=1 (accessed on 25 March 2024).
11. Shapira, I. The Main Challenges Facing Strategic Intelligence. *Strateg. Assess.* **2020**, *23*, 1–19. Available online: <https://strategicassessment.inss.org.il/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/the-main-challenges-facing.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
12. Shapira, I. Strategic Intelligence as an Art and a Science: Creating and Using Conceptual Frameworks. *Intell. Natl. Secur.* **2019**, *35*, 283–299. [CrossRef]
13. Horsthemke, K. Educational Research, Culturally Distinctive Epistemologies and the Decline of Truth. *Eur. Educ. Res. J.* **2019**, *18*, 513–526. [CrossRef]
14. Hyun, K.; Seo, M.; Lee, G. Politicization of Fake News Debates and Citizen Attitudes towards Fake News and Its Regulation. *Journalism* **2024**. [CrossRef]
15. Bratianu, C.; Paiuc, D. A Bibliometric Analysis of Knowledge Dynamics in Managerial Decision Making. *Knowledge* **2022**, *2*, 702–718. [CrossRef]
16. Mehta, D.; Mehta, A. Strategic Intelligence and Business Performance: A Study of Indian Pharmaceutical Industries. *Eur. Chem. Bull.* **2023**, *12*, 5287–5295. [CrossRef]
17. Fuld, L.M. The Competitor Intelligence Process. *Compet. Intell. Rev.* **1996**, *7*, 4–10.
18. Levine, S.S.; Bernard, M.; Nagel, R. Strategic Intelligence: The Cognitive Capability to Anticipate Competitor Behavior. *Strateg. Manag. J.* **2017**, *38*, 2390–2423. [CrossRef]
19. Adams, J. *Strategic Intelligence in the Cold War and Beyond*, 1st ed.; Routledge: London, UK, 2014; p. 166. [CrossRef]
20. Barnea, A. Strategic Intelligence: A Concentrated and Diffused Intelligence Model. *Intell. Natl. Secur.* **2020**, *35*, 701–716. [CrossRef]
21. Colombo, M.G.; Lucarelli, C.; Marinelli, N.; Micozzi, A. Emergence of New Firms: A Test of the Resource-Based View, Signaling and Behavioral Perspectives. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* **2024**, *1–44*. [CrossRef]
22. Lawrence, D.O.; Ashleigh, M.J.; Lucky-Kormene, C.O.; Tende, I.; Elechi, B.; Lawrence, A.W. Aligning Strategic Intelligence and Graduate Employability: A Conceptual Review. *J. Strateg. Manag.* **2023**, *7*, 61–80. [CrossRef]
23. Al-Sharjabi, A.M.; Al-Dhobaibi, A.A.M.; Al-Abidi, S.N. Strategic Intelligence and Its Impact on Knowledge Management Governance: A Field Study on the University of Science & Technology-Yemen. *J. Stat. Appl. Probab.* **2024**, *13*, 323–337. [CrossRef]
24. Abd, K.M.A.; Abbas, S.A.; Khudair, A.H. Impact of Strategic Management Practices on Organizational Entrepreneurship: Mediating Effect of Strategic Intelligence. *Acad. Strateg. Manag. J.* **2019**, *18*, 1939–6104. Available online: <https://www.abacademies.org/articles/impact-of-strategic-management-practices-on-organizational-entrepreneurship-mediating-effect-of-strategic-intelligence-1939-6104-18-4-396.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
25. Mandel, D.R.; Barnes, A. Geopolitical Forecasting Skill in Strategic Intelligence. *J. Behav. Decis. Mak.* **2018**, *31*, 127–137. [CrossRef]
26. Reichert, F.; Janissek-Muniz, R.; Heinze, M.; Cainelli, A.; Zawislak, P. Innovation Capabilities and the Role of Strategic Intelligence. In *CONF-IRM 2020: Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Resources Management, Miami, FL, USA, 28–29 May 2020*; Association for Information System: Gainesville, FL, USA, 2020; pp. 1–15. Available online: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/confirm2020/13> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
27. Purwanto, B.; Husini, Z.; Anggiani, S.; Kusnadi. The Influence of Resource Based View, Strategic Management Information System on Performance of Personnel in Information Intelligence Technology in State Intelligence Agency Mediated in Strategic Policy. *Int. J. Res.-GRANTHAALAYAH* **2022**, *10*, 86–98. [CrossRef]
28. Walsh, P.F.; Harrison, M. Strategic Intelligence Practice in the Australian Intelligence Community: Evolution, Constraints and Progress. *Intell. Natl. Secur.* **2021**, *36*, 660–675. [CrossRef]
29. McNeilly, M. *Sun Tzu and the Art of Modern Warfare*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2014. [CrossRef]
30. Walsh, P.F. Improving Strategic Intelligence Analytical Practice through Qualitative Social Research. *Intell. Natl. Secur.* **2017**, *32*, 548–562. [CrossRef]
31. Barnea, A. National Strategic Intelligence and Competitive Intelligence: How a Comparative View and Mutual Learning Can Help Each? *J. Mediterr. Balk. Intell.* **2017**, *10*, 133–151. Available online: <https://www.rieas.gr/images/rieasnews/jmbinew.pdf#page=135> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
32. Abuzaid, A.N. Exploring the Impact of Strategic Intelligence on Entrepreneurial Orientation: A Practical Study on the Jordanian Diversified Financial Services Companies. *Int. Manag. Rev.* **2017**, *13*, 72–82. Available online: <https://ijbmer.com/docs/volumes/vol8issue1/ijbmer2017080104.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
33. Rogers, M. *The Strategic Value of Intelligence to Confront 21st-Century Threats*; The Heritage Foundation: Washington, DC, USA, 2016. Available online: <https://thf-reports.s3.amazonaws.com/2016/HL1276.pdf> (accessed on 23 March 2024).
34. Adel, A. Analytical Study of the Influence of Strategic Intelligence on the Development of Strategic Marketing Decisions: A Study of Selected Hotels in Kurdistan. *Int. J. Rur. Dev. Environ. Health Res.* **2020**, *4*, 211–222. [CrossRef]
35. Davis, J. Sherman Kent and the Profession of Intelligence Analysis. *Sherman Kent Cent. Intell. Anal. Occas. Pap.* **2002**, *1*, 0017. Available online: <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA526587.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
36. Albarwari Sheri, A.M.; Nori, N.R.; Gupta, S.K. The Role of Strategic Intelligence in Enhancing Effective Talent Management: A Study on Some Academic Institutions of Duhok Governorate. *Acad. J. Nawr. Univ.* **2021**, *10*, 137–155. [CrossRef]
37. Rogers, B.; Pearce, J. *Strategic Intelligence Management: National Security Imperatives and Information and Communications Technologies*; Elsevier, Inc.: Waltham, MA, USA, 2013. [CrossRef]

38. Gearon, L.F. National Security and Intelligence—Outreach, Commentary, Critique: A Global Survey of Official, Policy and Academic Sources. In *The Routledge International Handbook of Universities, Security and Intelligence Studies*; Gearon, L., Ed.; Routledge: London, UK, 2019; pp. 453–524. [CrossRef]
39. Paiuc, D.; Iliescu, A.N.; Bejinaru, R. Cultural Intelligence: A Core Competence of Knowmads in Multicultural Business Environment. *Manag. Res. Prac. MRP* **2024**, *16*, 5–25. Available online: <https://mrp.ase.ro/v16i1/1.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
40. Das, A. Diversity Intelligence for Inclusive Leadership: A Conceptual Framework. *Glob. J. Manag. Bus. Res. A Admi. Manag.* **2019**, *19*, 1–7. Available online: <https://inclusionplusinstitute.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/DiversityIntelligence.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
41. Hamour, H.M.J. The Effect of Strategic Intelligence of Leaders on Organizational Innovation in Jordanian Industrial Companies. *J. Manag. Inf. Decis. Sci.* **2021**, *24*, 1–16. Available online: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/ede1f32dddc784a5bc3022e905025f19/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=38743> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
42. Paiuc, D. *Developing Multicultural Leadership Using Knowledge Dynamics and Cultural Intelligence*; Emerald Publishing Limited: Leeds, UK, 2024.
43. Calof, J.; Richards, G.; Santilli, P. Integration of Business Intelligence with Corporate Strategic Management. *J. Intell. Stud. Bus.* **2017**, *7*, 62–73. [CrossRef]
44. Gitelman, L.D.; Kozhevnikov, M.V.; Chebotareva, G.S. Strategic intelligence of an organization amid uncertainty. In *Sustainable Energy Policies and Technology*; Syngellakis, S., Ed.; WIT Press: Southampton, UK, 2021; pp. 294–305.
45. Gorla, S. Information–information for innovation: Strategic, competitive and technological intelligence. In *Innovation Economics, Engineering and Management Handbook 1: Main Themes*; Uzunidis, D., Kasmir, F., Adatto, L., Eds.; Jhon Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 2021; pp. 195–200. [CrossRef]
46. Huang, M.-H.; Rust, R.T. A Strategic Framework for Artificial Intelligence in Marketing. *J. Acad. Mark. Sci.* **2021**, *49*, 30–50. [CrossRef]
47. Mohammed, S.M.; Saadaoui, S. The Role of Strategic Intelligence for Leadership in Developing Proactive Work Behaviours amongst Universities' Employees. *J. Appl. Res. High. Educ.* **2023**. [CrossRef]
48. Teacu Parincu, A.M.; Capatina, A.; Varon, D.J.; Bennet, P.F.; Recuerda, A.M. Neuromanagement: The Scientific Approach to Contemporary Management. *Proc. Int. Conf. Bus. Excell.* **2020**, *14*, 1046–1056. [CrossRef]
49. Liu, W.; Xu, Y.; Xu, T.; Ye, Z.; Yang, J.; Wang, Y. Integration of Neuroscience and Entrepreneurship: A Systematic Review and Bibliometric Analysis. *Front. Psychol.* **2022**, *13*, 810550. [CrossRef]
50. Maccoby, M. Successful Leaders Employ Strategic Intelligence. *Res. Technol. Manag.* **2001**, *44*, 58–60. Available online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24133996> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
51. Alamooti, H.M.; Barmayehvar, B.; Zamanzadeh, B. Investigating the Relationship between Strategic Intelligence and Leadership in Implementing the Strategy of Project-Based Organizations. *Civil Proj. J.* **2002**, *4*, 35–50. [CrossRef]
52. Braidot, N.P. *Neuromanagement: Cómo Utilizar a Pleno el Cerebro en la Conducción Exitosa de las Organizaciones*; Granica: Buenos Aires, Argentina, 2008.
53. Braidot, N. *Neuromanagement Nueva Edición: Del Management al Neuromanagement*; Ediciones Granica: Washington, DC, USA, 2014.
54. Ghadiri, A.; Habermacher, A.; Peters, T. *Neuroleadership: A Journey through the Brain for Business Leaders*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013.
55. Rock, D. *Quiet Leadership: Help People Think Better—Don't Tell Them What to Do!: Six Steps to Transforming Performance at Work*; HarperCollins Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2006.
56. Rock, D. SCARF: A Brain-Based Model for Collaborating with and Influencing Others. *NeuroLeadership J.* **2016**, *1*, 44–52. Available online: <https://schoolguide.casel.org/uploads/sites/2/2018/12/scarf-neuroleadershiparticle.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
57. Ringleb, A.; Rock, D. The Emerging Field of NeuroLeadership. *NeuroLeadership J.* **2008**, *1*, 3–19. Available online: <https://edata.conferenceboard.ca/docs/default-source/hr-resources/dec2012webinardavidrock.pdf?sfvrsn=0> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
58. Schaufenbuel, K. *The Neuroscience of Leadership: Practical Applications*; UNC Executive Development: Chappel Hill, NC, USA, 2014; pp. 2–12. Available online: <https://www.acuitycoaching.com/pdf/The-Neuroscience-of-Leadership-Practical-Applications.pdf> (accessed on 25 March 2024).
59. Teacu Parincu, A.M. *The Impact of Neuromanagement Practices on Increasing the Performance of Organizations in Romania*; Pro Universitaria: Bucharest, Romania, 2024.
60. Shearer, C.B. Multiple Intelligences in Gifted and Talented Education: Lessons Learned from Neuroscience after 35 Years. *Roeper Rev.* **2020**, *42*, 49–63. [CrossRef]
61. Van Eck, N.J.; Waltman, L. *VOSviewer 1.6.17 Manual*; Universiteit Leiden: Leiden, The Netherlands, 2021.
62. Bratianu, C.; Paiuc, D. Emotional and Cultural Intelligences: A Comparative Analysis between the United States of America and Romania. *Manag. Mark.* **2023**, *18*, 91–112. [CrossRef]
63. Filice, L.; Weese, W. Developing Emotional Intelligence. *Encyclopedia* **2024**, *4*, 583–599. [CrossRef]
64. Moore, D.T.; Krizan, L.; Moore, E.J. Evaluating Intelligence: A Competency-Based Model. *Int. J. Intell. CounterIntelligence* **2005**, *18*, 204–220. [CrossRef]

65. Klein, M.; Janissek-Muniz, R. The Importance of Anticipative Strategic Intelligence and Environment Scanning for Personalization. In Proceedings of the XLIII Encontro da ANPAD-EnANPAD, São Paulo, Brazil, 2–5 October 2019; pp. 1–16. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/raquel-janissek-muniz/publication/336868544_the_importance_of_anticipative_strategic_intelligence_and_environment_scanning_for_personalization.pdf (accessed on 25 March 2024).
66. Castillo, M.; Taherdoost, H. The Impact of AI Technologies on E-Business. *Encyclopedia* **2023**, *3*, 107–121. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Taherdoost, H.; Madanchian, M. Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Methods and Concepts. *Encyclopedia* **2023**, *3*, 77–87. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Strategium Space. Strategic Intelligence Quotient Test. 2024. Available online: <https://strategium.space/e/test-entry/> (accessed on 26 April 2024).
69. Global Partnership for Sustainable Development Data. Strategic Intelligence Platform: Leveraging Intelligence and Systems Leadership to Achieve the SDGs. 2024. Available online: <https://www.data4sdgs.org/resources/strategic-intelligence-platform-leveraging-intelligence-and-systems-leadership-achieve> (accessed on 27 April 2024).

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.