Article

A Practical Valorization Approach for Mitigating Textile Fibrous Microplastics in the Environment: Collection of Textile-Processing Waste Microfibers and Direct Reuse in Green Thermal-Insulating and Mechanical-Performing Composite Construction Materials

Beatrice Malchiodi [1,2,3,*], **Erika Iveth Cedillo-González** [1,2,3,*], **Cristina Siligardi** [1,2,3] **and Paolo Pozzi** [1,3]

1 Department of Engineering Enzo Ferrari, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Vivarelli 10, 41125 Modena, Italy; cristina.siligardi@unimore.it (C.S.); paolo.pozzi@unimore.it (P.P.)
2 National Interuniversity Consortium of Materials Science and Technology INSTM, 50121 Florence, Italy
3 CRICT—Inter-Departmental Research and Innovation Center on Constructions and Environmental Services, Via Vivarelli 10, 41125 Modena, Italy
* Correspondence: beatrice.malchiodi@unimore.it (B.M.); ecedillo@unimore.it (E.I.C.-G.)

Abstract: Microplastic (MP) contamination is an urgent environmental issue to address. Fibrous microplastics (FMPs) are the principal MP type in the air and have already been found in human stool and lung tissues. FMPs are generated from the lifecycle of synthetic and blended textiles and are expected to increase due to fast fashion. Among textile processes, the finishing of fabrics is estimated to generate 5000 t/year of textile waste fibers in Italy, including FMPs. To limit FMPs spread, this paper suggests, for the first time, the direct collection of blended finishing textile waste microfibers and reuse in designing thermal-insulating and mechanical-performing fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (FRCs). The microfibers were thoroughly characterized (size, morphology, composition, and density), and their use in FRCs was additionally evaluated by considering water absorption and release capacity. Untreated, water-saturated, and NaOH-treated microfibers were considered in FRCs up to 4 wt%. Up to a +320% maximum bending load, +715% toughness, −80% linear shrinkage, and double-insulating power of Portland cement were observed by increasing microfiber contents. NaOH-treated and water-saturated microfibers better enhanced toughness and linear shrinkage reduction. Therefore, green and performant composite construction materials were obtained, allowing for the mitigation of more than 4 kg FMPs per ton of cement paste. This is a great result considering the FMP contamination (i.e., 2–8 kg/day fallout in Paris), and that FRCs are promising and shortly-widely used construction materials.

Keywords: fibrous microplastics; microfibers; textile; waste; construction materials; recycling; mitigation; thermal conductivity; three-point bending; fiber-reinforced cementitious composites

1. Introduction

In the last few years, microplastic (MP) pollution has become an environmental issue of global concern. MPs are plastic debris with sizes between 0.3 μm and 5 mm [1]. They are composed of synthetic polymers such as polyethylene (PE), polystyrene (PS), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyester (PL), nylon (PA6), etc. MPs can be found in several shapes, such as beads, foams, films, fragments, and fibers. Like other chemical pollutants, MPs are already distributed among the four major environmental compartments: air, water, soil, and biota. Such compartments not only behave as MP reservoirs but grant the transport of MPs within them [2], allowing MPs to behave similarly to global biogeochemical cycles and spiral around the globe with different atmospheric, oceanic, cryospheric, and terrestrial residence times [3].

Several removal technologies based on physical, chemical, and biological processes have been proposed to restore the environment from MP pollution. Since the presence of

MPs was first reported in water in 2004 [4], a broad spectrum of MP removal technologies from the aqueous medium, such as adsorption, sedimentation, ultrafiltration, photocatalysis, magnetic removal, microbubbles, and activated sludges, has been investigated [5–11]. When MPs were found in the terrestrial environment, biodegradation became the primary investigated strategy for soil remediation [12].

The presence of MPs in atmospheric fallout was reported in 2015, and fibrous microplastics (FMPs) represented the primary type of MP present in the air [13,14]. According to the European Chemical Agency (ECHA), fibrous microplastics are defined as MPs with lengths between 0.3 μm and 15 mm, and a length-to-diameter ratio of greater than three [1]. FMPs released into the atmosphere during the lifetime of synthetic and blended textiles (production, use, and disposal) significantly contribute to the overall issue of MP pollution. For instance, airborne FMPs can reach terrestrial and aquatic environments through wind transport, deposition on the surface of cities or agrosystems, and runoff [13,14]. In 2017, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) estimated that FMPs released from textiles during industrial and household laundry accounted for 35% of the annual MP emission sources into the oceans [15]. Once entered into aquatic and terrestrial environments, FMPs impact their physicochemical characteristics [16] and interact in several ways with the biota, inducing health issues such as neurotoxicity, oxidative stress, oxidative damage, and even death [2,16,17]. Additionally, the transfer of FMPs from the atmosphere to aquatic and terrestrial environments represents a probable pathway to humans [17], and FMPs have already been found in human stool [18] and human lung tissues [19]. For this reason, developing innovative strategies to decrease the quantities of FMPs released into the atmosphere is a key aspect of the overall framework for reducing MP pollution.

The emissions of textile-derived FMPs are expected to increase due to the growing demand for synthetic or blended textiles and fibers [20]. This phenomenon is mainly attributable to fast fashion, which promotes mass consumption and a linear economic model. As a result, a large amount of textile waste is generated both from the industry (special waste) and consumers (urban waste). The former includes unsold clothes and industrial process waste, whereas the latter old-fashioned or end-of-life products. In Italy, textile waste production is significant and amounts to 490,000 tons/year of special waste and 146,000 tons/year of urban waste [21].

A portion of textile waste is currently recycled. Clothes waste, both from pre- and post-consumer use, is reused as clothing in the second-hand market or donated to charity. Fabrics and scraps are sorted according to color and material and recycled to create new yarns and fabrics, thermal-insulating panels, and upholstery for home and transport furniture. However, waste fibers from processing and other non-recyclable/reusable textile wastes are currently delivered to landfills.

Among these, waste microfibers from the raising and shearing of fabrics display a high risk of diffusion into the air and soil because of their volatility. Raising and shearing are mechanical finishing processes used to create heat-insulating and aesthetic fabrics. First, fibers are pulled out from the fabric to form a heat-insulating fluff; then, the fluff is cut at a defined and adjustable height for aesthetic purposes. During this finishing process, a suspension of waste microfibers is generated in the air, which is then collected by air-filtering systems, compacted, and delivered to landfills. In Italy, 5000 tons/year of these textile-waste-processing microfibers is currently disposed to landfills. This involves discharge costs for the producer and the risk of spreading textile waste from landfills into the environment. Particularly, the synthetic ones can be considered FMPs due to their size and composition, and can worsen the FMP issue in the environment.

To solve this environmental issue, this paper proposes the reuse of blended textile waste microfibers from the raising and shearing of fabrics, addressing the need to implement new strategies against the release of microfibers, particularly FMPs, into the environment. The decision to consider blended textile waste microfibers and not just synthetics ones arise from the desire to propose a mitigation solution for FMPs that is close to reality, thus proposing a practical solution to a real issue. For the first time, we propose the

reuse of FMPs as a mechanical reinforcement for fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (FRCs), which are innovative composite construction materials. In FRCs, fibers increase the ductility of the cement matrix by reducing cracking during shrinkage and loading, thus increasing the material durability compared with solely cement. Steel, carbon, glass, and polypropylene fibers are usually employed [22,23] for these purposes; however, using textile waste microfibers would additionally allow for green FRCs with better thermal insulation properties. Furthermore, the proposed solution aims to reduce the amount of textile waste disposed to landfills and waste management costs for the textile industry. Apart from the outlined benefits, recycling this kind of microfiber allows to directly collect FMPs before reaching and spreading into the atmosphere and to control their properties (i.e., size, composition, etc.).

In this study, blended textile waste microfibers from the raising and shearing of fabrics were chemically and physically characterized. Their use in FRCs was preceded by water absorption, water release, and real density measurements to enable proper mix design (water, cement, and fiber content). Untreated, water-saturated, and mercerized textile waste microfibers were added to the cementitious mix up to 4 wt% (40 vol%). Finally, the influence of fiber surface treatment and content on the FRC was detected in terms of linear shrinkage, three-point bending test, and thermal conductivity. It was found that at least 4 kg of FMPs per ton of cement mix could be used in creating green FRCs with increased thermal-insulating properties, mechanical strength, toughness, and reduced shrinkage compared with the reference Portland cement. Considering that 3–10 t of microfibers are deposited by atmospheric fallout every year in Paris, 29% of which constituted by FMPs (i.e., 2.38–7.95 kg of FMPs/day) [2,14], the present approach significantly allows the same amount of FMPs falling in Paris per day to be recovered before reaching and polluting the environment.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Textile Waste Microfibers

The textile waste microfibers involved in this study were generated by a textile finishing plant located in Carpi (Modena, Italy). The company performs finishing to impart thermal insulation and aesthetic properties to the surface of different fabrics, including cotton, cotton blends, and synthetics. The process includes raising and shearing phases for heat-insulating and aesthetic purposes. The involved textile microfibers were generated during the shearing phase and collected by air-pumping and air-filtering systems (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Generation of textile waste microfibers from the finishing of fabric for heat-insulating and aesthetic properties.

The type and coloring of fabrics change periodically according to market demand and imply a heterogeneity (in composition, diameter, and color) of the textile waste microfibers produced. In addition, the length of the waste microfibers differs due to the raising and shearing phases shown in Figure 1. Six samples were collected between the months of November and December to comprise a representative batch of blended microfibers. From the company's data on fabrics subjected to finishing processes in that period, it was found that the surveyed microfibers were composed of 61% pure cotton, 29% cotton blend (cotton and PA), and 10% synthetics (PA, PC, PL, and NY).

The different composition of textile waste microfibers (natural or synthetic) was detected by ATR-FTIR spectroscopy (FTIR VERTEX 70, Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany). The spectra were acquired after 32 scans between a 600–4000 cm^{-1} transmittance range and with a 4 cm^{-1} resolution. Additionally, OPUS software (OPUS 6.5, Bruker Optics GmbH, Selb, Germany) was involved in the spectrum analysis. The data composition was then compared and confirmed with that from the manufacturer's waste datasheet.

An environmental scanning electron microscope in high vacuum mode (ESEM, ESEM-Quanta 200 Fei Company, Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK) was involved in distinguishing FMPs from natural fibers based on their different morphologies.

In addition, the fibers were characterized by optical microscopy mainly to assess the average size of the synthetic ones to determine whether they could be defined as FMPs. A Leica EZ4D (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) stereo microscope was used at different magnifications, and the average size of synthetic microfibers was computed through ImageJ software (1.53k Version), with over 30 measurements for length and 50 for diameter. The Set measurements function of ImageJ (Analyze section) was used to set the measuring reference scale based on the known reference bar length of the optical images. Then the Measurements function (Analyze section) was applied to measure the length and diameter of the synthetic fibers with respect to the set reference scale.

The real density of the textile waste microfibers was achieved through a Micromeritics Accupyc 1330 helium pycnometer by Micromeritics Instruments (Norcross, GA, USA). This value, as well as that for the water absorption and release over time by microfibers, was needed to pursue a subsequent mix design of FRCs.

The water absorption (WA) of microfibers was computed after 24 h of immersion in water at laboratory conditions (25 ± 2 °C, 60 ± 5% RH) as $[(M_{wet} - M_{dry})/M_{dry}] \times 100$, where M_{wet} is the fiber mass after 24 h of immersion and M_{dry} is the fiber mass before immersion.

The test of water release over time was performed to quantify the amount of water released by the saturated microfibers in the FRC mix. This phenomenon would promote deferred cement hydration over time and is important in determining the water/cement ratio for the mix design of FRCs. For this purpose, 10 g of microfibers was saturated in water for 24 h at laboratory conditions, then drained and placed in a glass vessel. The container was placed on a scientific balance in a climate chamber set at 25 °C and 50% RH. The weight loss of the microfibers was continuously recorded for 24 h and defined as the amount of water released over time.

2.2. Treatments of the Textile Waste Microfibers

The textile waste microfibers were considered in three different conditions within the cementitious matrix: untreated, water-saturated, and mercerized (NaOH-treated). The influence of each state was observed and derived from the characterization of FRCs.

The untreated reference condition referred to dry textile microfibers as supplied by the manufacturer.

The water-saturated condition was involved to better control the microfiber water absorption and release over time and eventual promotion of cement hydration over time.

The mercerization treatment (hereafter named NaOH treatment) was involved to possibly increase the mechanical properties of the investigated textile microfibers, and thus those of the FRCs. This treatment, generally used for cotton fiber and other cellulose fibers, confers improved physical and mechanical properties to the textile fibers. On the one hand, it results in the breakdown and fibrillation of fibers into smaller and thinner fibers, leading to an increase in aspect ratio. On the other hand, the surface becomes rougher and reactive, allowing for better adhesion and wettability of the fibers with the matrix, respectively. The polymerization degree and molecular orientation of cellulose crystals change due to the cementation of hemicellulose and lignin, which are removed during the process. These changes enhance the mechanical properties and tensile strength [24,25]. First, the microfibers were dried at 80 °C until reaching a mass change between two measurements lower than 0.1%. Then, they were soaked for 30 min in a 5% NaOH solution

of demineralized water. Subsequently, the microfibers were bathed while being stirred in demineralized water for 15 min; this process was repeated until reaching a neutral pH. In the end, the microfibers were dried again at 80 °C until obtaining a mass change between two measurements lower than 0.1%.

Water-saturated and NaOH-treated conditions improve FRC properties primarily through the optimization of natural microfiber properties. Although these treatments are not specific to synthetic microfibers, an optimization of the blended microfiber properties promotes the enhancement of all textile waste microfibers, including synthetics, and thus the mitigation of FMPs from the environment.

2.3. Cement-Based Composites Reinforced by Textile Waste Microfibers (FRCs)

CEM-I 42.5R Portland cement (Cemento Grigio 425, Knauf, Iphofen, Germany) was used to manufacture FRCs. The mix design of the FRCs considered a constant effective water-to-cement ratio (w_{eff}/c) equal to 0.42 and microfiber contents of 0 wt%, 1 wt%, 2 wt%, 3 wt%, and 4 wt%. Microfiber contents above 4 wt% were not investigated because of the workability loss of the FRCs in the fresh state. For the FRCs containing water-saturated microfibers, the amount of water absorbed and then released over time ($w_{released}$) was considered as part of the effective water (w_{eff}) intended for cement hydration. Thus, for FRC mixes with water-saturated microfibers, the amount of water added during the mixing phase (w) was computed as the difference between the effective water and the water released over time ($w = w_{eff} - w_{released}$). The cement was slowly added to water in an electronic mixer. The paste was mixed at a slow speed (220 rpm) for 1 min and at a high speed (440 rpm) for 4 min. Then, the selected content of microfibers (untreated, water-saturated, or NaOH-treated) was added to the paste and manually mixed with a trowel. The mix was cast into $50 \times 35 \times 300$ and $30 \times 300 \times 300$ mm^3 assembled wooden formworks and was vibrated for 1 min on a vibrating plate (55-C0157/B Controls Group). Then, it was covered with a plastic cloth and cured for 28 days in a climatic chamber at 25 ± 2 °C, $95 \pm 5\%$ RH. Four samples for each mix were manufactured for the linear shrinkage and three-point bending tests ($50 \times 35 \times 300$ mm^3 beams), and the thermal conductivity measurement ($30 \times 300 \times 300$ mm^3 slabs). The three-point bending test was performed by using a UTM INSTRON 5567 under displacement mode (1 mm/min), considering a 30 kN load cell and 10 cm mid-span. A heat flow meter HFM Lambda (Netzsch-Gerätebau GmbH, Selb, Germany) was used to measure the thermal conductivity of the FRC samples reinforced with untreated microfibers. For all tests on FRCs, the desired mean features were averaged over four measurements, and the standard deviation was computed.

3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization of Textile Waste Microfibers

The composition of the microfibers under investigation was already known (61% cotton, 29% cotton blend, and 10% synthetics) from the composition data supplied by the producer (see Section 2.1). In addition to the 10% pure synthetic fibers, additional synthetic microfibers were present in the 29% cotton blend fraction, mainly PA.

The microfiber composition was experimentally validated through a comparison between the ATR-FTIR spectrum of the textile waste microfibers and that of the one-component textile fibers (i.e., pure cotton, nylon, polyester, etc.). For conciseness, Figure 2 reports the spectrum of the textile waste microfibers in which the characteristic peaks of cotton (green) and synthetics (red) are highlighted. The identification of the characteristic peaks is confirmed by the literature [26–28].

Figure 2. ATR-FTIR spectroscopy of textile waste microfibers. Characteristic peaks related to synthetic microfibers are highlighted in red, while those of cotton in green.

ESEM microscopy (Figure 3) confirmed this evidence by detecting cotton and synthetic fibers with a predominance of cotton. In particular, cotton fibers can be distinguished from synthetic ones since they are flattened and rolled up [27–29]. By contrast, synthetic fibers display a cylindrical cross-section with a rough surface and grooves (Figure 3) [27,28].

Figure 3. ESEM micrograph of textile waste microfibers at 600× magnitude.

Focusing on synthetic microfibers (at least 10% of the textile microfibers under investigation), a length of 3.65 ± 2.57 mm and a diameter of 17.28 ± 1.68 μm were determined with ImageJ software from optical images (Figure 4). Since synthetic microfibers displayed a 0.3 μm–15 mm length and a length-to-diameter ratio of greater than three, then they can be considered a source of FMPs according to the ECHA definition.

The mean real density of microfibers was evaluated at 1.15 g/cm^3. It was found that the microfibers were dry while displaying a water absorption of 340%. However, this amount of water was entirely released over time (w$_{released}$), and 95% of the water was released after 24 h. During the first few hours (from 0 to 3:45 h, Figure 5a), the water release was slower, but then it followed a faster and linear trend over time (from 3:45 to 7:30 h, Figure 5b).

Figure 4. Optical images of textile waste microfibers at (**a**) 30× and (**b**) 20× magnification.

$$y = -3 \times 10^{-5}x^2 - 0.0013x + 21.985$$
$$R^2 = 0.9983$$

$$y = -23.067x + 33.259$$
$$R^2 = 0.999$$

Figure 5. Water release over time by textile waste microfibers at early stage: (**a**) up to 225 min (3:45 h); (**b**) from 225 to 450 min (between 3:45 and 7:30 h).

3.2. Characterization of Composite Construction Materials Containing Textile Waste Microfibers

The three-point bending test displayed that the mechanical performance of FRCs, such as the maximum bending load (Figure 6a) and toughness (Figure 6b), gradually improved with increasing fiber content.


Figure 6. Improvement in three-point bending performance of FRCs when increasing microfiber content: (**a**) maximum bending load; (**b**) toughness. The error bars stand for standard deviation.

Compared to the reference value of Portland cement (0 wt% microfibers), an improvement of up to 320% in the maximum bending load was observed for FRCs containing 4 wt% microfibers. However, a more significant influence on maximum bending load enhancing was observed for fiber content, rather than for fiber treatment (Figure 6a).

By contrast, toughness was affected by both fiber content and treatment (Figure 6b). The toughness enhancement was magnified with increasing fiber contents [30,31], and NaOH treatment resulted in more efficiency for contents ≥3 wt%. Remarkably, a 715% enhancement in toughness was displayed by the FRC containing 4 wt% of NaOH-treated microfibers, compared with that of the reference sample with 0 wt% microfibers. The better performance of NaOH-treated microfibers was attributable to the effect of mercerization treatment, which promoted a rougher and more functionalized fiber surface [24,25,32]. Therefore, NaOH treatment resulted in increased mechanical and chemical adhesion between the constituent phases of the composite material (cementitious matrix and textile microfiber), which is known and generally sought to improve the overall mechanical behavior of FRCs [32,33]. The water-saturated microfibers behaved better than the untreated ones, likely due to a greater $w_{released}$ over time, which promoted a differed hydration of the cementitious binder, and thus better mechanical properties. Supporting this hypothesis, the difference in performance was detected for 3 and 4 wt%, which had a higher amount of water released compared to that of 1 and 2 wt%.

These mechanical improvements were attributable to a good fiber distribution, fiber mechanical reinforcement, and crack-bridging effect [30,34,35]. Although microfibers are generally regarded as affecting the FRC strength prior to the maximum bending load (first cracking load), they can also influence the post-peak behavior, especially if they are embedded into cementitious matrices with low-grade aggregates or cement paste [34]. As reported in Figure 7f, the microfibers acted as anchors between the two matrix edges at the fracture section. This action, called crack-bridging, limits crack formation and propagation during both shrinkage (especially for microfibers) and mechanical loading [30,34,35]. During loadings, the tensile stresses are transferred from the cementitious matrix to the fiber reinforcement, which, being more ductile than the matrix, allows the FRC to have greater overall ductility and energy absorption [34]. Since the crack-bridging effect was stronger as the fibers acted in a direction perpendicular to the cross-sectional area, the microfibers exploited their maximum crack-bridging effect during the three-point bending test of the FRCs (Figure 7f) [30].

Moreover, a high specific surface area of reinforcing fibers is a main factor in enhancing the energy absorption capacity and toughness of FRCs [36]. This property allows for a better fiber-to-matrix adhesion, and thus a better mechanical behavior of the FRC. A high surface-to-volume ratio is a characteristic property of MPs. According to Zhang et al. [2], the same property is considerably higher for FMPs than, for example, spherical MPs. When present in polluted environments, the high surface-to-volume ratio leads to the absorption of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) on the MP surface. The absorption of such pollutants may increase the hazardous nature of both MPs and FMPs [2]. If consumed by biota, they may act as POP vectors, leading to bioaccumulation in such organisms. Although there is still a debate questioning whether MPs constitute significant sinks and vectors of POPs [37,38], predictions estimate that large quantities of MPs will be released into the sea in the next 30 years [39], increasing the likelihood of POP transfer from MPs to biota. The approach used in this study took advantage of the high surface-to-volume ratio of FMPs. Thus, although this property represents an increased capacity for absorbing hazardous organic chemicals if FMPs are released into the environment, in this study, their intrinsically high specific surface area-to-volume ratio was expected to promote FRC properties, accordingly. Given the evidence from Figures 6 and 7f, a decrease in crack width and crack propagation was observed as the microfiber content in FRCs increased (Figure 7). The limitation of crack opening allowes the prevention of the early degradation of the material due to environmental chemical attacks, thus extending the service life of the structure in which the material is employed.

Figure 7. Reduction of crack opening during three-point bending test due to the crack-bridging effect of higher microfiber content: (**a**) reference unreinforced sample (0 wt% microfibers); (**b**) 1 wt% microfibers; (**c**) 2 wt% microfibers; (**d**) 3 wt% microfibers; (**e**) 4 wt% microfibers; (**f**) detail of the crack-bridging effect. The pictures are related to untreated microfibers, but the same results were obtained for water-saturated and NaOH-treated microfibers.

Figure 8 displays the influence of the crack-bridging effect on the linear shrinkage measurement. The use of microfibers, particularly synthetic ones, has been highlighted as the best solution to reduce hydraulic shrinkage by the standard prescriptions [30]. In this study, it was observed that the linear shrinkage of the unreinforced reference sample was positively reduced by both increasing the microfiber content and treatment type. NaOH treatment resulted in more efficiency for reduced microfiber contents (−60% at 1 wt%). However, 1 wt% water-saturated and untreated microfibers reduced it by 40% and 20%, respectively. The influence of microfiber treatment was reduced by increasing the microfiber content until becoming negligible at 4 wt%. Up to 80% linear shrinkage reduction was observed for all FRCs with 4 wt% microfibers compared with that of the reference Portland cement (0 wt%).

Figure 8. Reduction in linear shrinkage of FRCs compared with that of Portland cement when increasing the microfiber content of untreated, water-saturated, and NaOH-treated textile waste microfibers.

The increase in microfiber content also contributed to reduce the thermal conductivity, thereby increasing the thermal-insulating power of the final FRC (Figure 9). Indeed, textile fibers are known for their thermal insulation properties, which is the main reason they are used to manufacture clothing [40]. In particular, the addition of 4 wt% of untreated microfibers reduced the thermal conductivity of the reference unreinforced sample by 42%, almost doubling the insulating power of solely Portland cement.

Figure 9. Improvement in power insulation of FRCs when increasing untreated textile waste microfiber content.

4. Conclusions

In recent years, the presence of microplastics (MPs) within all environmental compartments (air, water, soil, and biota) has become an emerging global issue, both for the concerning number of MPs and induced health issues in biota. The most prevalent MPs in the air are fibrous microplastics (FMPs), which can spread rapidly from one compartment to another (35% of MPs are in oceans) and have already been found within human tissues and stool. FMPs arise from the lifecycle of synthetic and blended textiles (production, use, and disposal), and their impact on the environment is expected to worsen because of the fast-fashion concept that favors mass production to a circular economic system. Among textile waste (490,000 t/year of special waste and 146,000 t/year of urban waste in Italy), processing waste fibers, including FMPs, are currently not recycled. In particular, waste microfibers from the finishing of fabrics (5000 t/year in Italy) are volatile and are therefore easily released into the environment.

For the first time, this paper proposes a viable solution to the problem of FMPs from processing textile waste microfibers by arranging for their collection directly at the process stage, before spreading into the air or soil. Their collection can occur during the finishing of fabrics using an air-filtering system, and their reuse is hypothesized as reinforcing microfibers into construction materials. Therefore, at the same time, a new mitigation technique for FMPs and the manufacturing of green fiber-reinforced cementitious composite materials (FRC) are proposed. Moreover, by considering blended textile waste microfibers and not only synthetics, this study addresses the issue of FMPs from textile waste by considering a real-like condition.

A composition of 61% pure cotton, 29% cotton blend (cotton and synthetics), and 10% synthetics resulted from the characterization of the blended textile waste microfibers. From size measurements, the synthetic microfibers (accounting for at least 10% of the textile waste microfibers involved) were classified as FMPs, following the ECHA definition.

Portland cement-based FRCs were designed, including up to 4 wt% of blended textile waste microfibers both in untreated, water-saturated, and NaOH-treated conditions. As expected, the maximum bending load and toughness linearly improved with increased microfiber contents due to the crack-bridging effect enacted by the microfibers. Additionally, the propagation of crack width appeared to be reduced. Remarkably, adding 4 wt% microfibers enhanced the maximum bending load and toughness of the reference Portland cement by 320% and 715%, respectively. Linear shrinkage and thermal conductivity also appeared to be dependent upon microfiber content. A reduction of up to 80% in linear shrinkage was displayed by FRCs with 4 wt% microfibers, compared with that of Portland cement, thus strongly preventing the crack formation from shrinkage and lengthening the nominal life of the material. Moreover, the 4 wt% microfibers also allowed a doubling of the thermal-insulating power. This enhancement stands as a significant achievement for the thermal–energetic performance of buildings and is a direct result of using intrinsically thermal-insulating textile microfibers. Concerning the microfiber treatments, NaOH-treated microfibers displayed the best performance in toughness (above 3 wt%) and linear shrinkage (below 4 wt%) due to improved chemical and mechanical adhesion with the cementitious matrix. For microfiber content lower than 3 wt%, no difference in toughness was detected between different surface conditions, the same for 4 wt% microfiber content in shrinkage. The water-saturation condition was the second most performant treatment in promoting a cement hydration process more controlled and differed over time. Water-saturation and NaOH treatment, which are generally intended to improve natural fiber properties, optimized the FRC behavior, thus promoting the use of the overall blended textile microfiber waste, including FMPs.

The viability of producing green FRCs by reusing hitherto-unrecycled-textile waste microfibers was demonstrated. The inclusion of textile waste microfibers additionally brought improved thermal-insulating and mechanical properties to Portland cement. Remarkably, considering the composition of the microfibers, the suggested solution promotes the removal of at least 4 kg of FMPs (almost the same number of FMPs falling in a day in Paris) per ton of cement paste. Hence, a mitigation of MPs from the environment and the production of green and optimized FRCs were validated.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC); Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC). *Background Document to the Opinion on the Annex XV Report Proposing Restrictions on Intentionally Added Microplastics*; European Chemicals Agency (ECHA): Helsinki, Finland, 2000.

2. Zhang, Y.-Q.; Lykaki, M.; Markiewicz, M.; Alrajoula, M.T.; Kraas, C.; Stolte, S. Environmental Contamination by Microplastics Originating from Textiles: Emission, Transport, Fate and Toxicity. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2022**, *430*, 128453. [CrossRef]

3. Brahney, J.; Mahowald, N.; Prank, M.; Cornwell, G.; Klimont, Z.; Matsui, H.; Prather, K.A. Constraining the Atmospheric Limb of the Plastic Cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *118*, e2020719118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Thompson, R.C.; Olsen, Y.; Mitchell, R.P.; Davis, A.; Rowland, S.J.; John, A.W.G.; McGonigle, D.; Russell, A.E. Lost at Sea: Where Is All the Plastic? *Science* **2004**, *304*, 838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Tadsuwan, K.; Babel, S. Microplastic Abundance and Removal via an Ultrafiltration System Coupled to a Conventional Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant in Thailand. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2022**, *10*, 107142. [CrossRef]

6. Ahmed, R.; Hamid, A.K.; Krebsbach, S.A.; He, J.; Wang, D. Critical Review of Microplastics Removal from the Environment. *Chemosphere* **2022**, *293*, 133557. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. Vital-Grappin, A.D.; Ariza-Tarazona, M.C.; Luna-Hernández, V.M.; Villarreal-Chiu, J.F.; Hernández-López, J.M.; Siligardi, C.; Cedillo-González, E.I. The Role of the Reactive Species Involved in the Photocatalytic Degradation of HDPE Microplastics Using C,N-TiO$_2$ Powders. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 999. [CrossRef]

8. Domínguez-Jaimes, L.P.; Cedillo-González, E.I.; Luévano-Hipólito, E.; Acuña-Bedoya, J.D.; Hernández-López, J.M. Degradation of Primary Nanoplastics by Photocatalysis Using Different Anodized TiO$_2$ Structures. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, *413*, 125452. [CrossRef]

9. Acuña-Bedoya, J.D.; Luévano-Hipólito, E.; Cedillo-González, E.I.; Domínguez-Jaimes, L.P.; Hurtado, A.M.; Hernández-López, J.M. Boosting Visible-Light Photocatalytic Degradation of Polystyrene Nanoplastics with Immobilized CuxO Obtained by Anodization. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 106208. [CrossRef]

10. Tang, Y.; Zhang, S.; Su, Y.; Wu, D.; Zhao, Y.; Xie, B. Removal of Microplastics from Aqueous Solutions by Magnetic Carbon Nanotubes. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2021**, *406*, 126804. [CrossRef]

11. Zhang, M.; Yang, J.; Kang, Z.; Wu, X.; Tang, L.; Qiang, Z.; Zhang, D.; Pan, X. Removal of Micron-Scale Microplastic Particles from Different Waters with Efficient Tool of Surface-Functionalized Microbubbles. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, *404*, 124095. [CrossRef]

12. Kumari, A.; Rajput, V.D.; Mandzhieva, S.S.; Rajput, S.; Minkina, T.; Kaur, R.; Sushkova, S.; Kumari, P.; Ranjan, A.; Kalinitchenko, V.P.; et al. Microplastic Pollution: An Emerging Threat to Terrestrial Plants and Insights into Its Remediation Strategies. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 340. [CrossRef]

13. Dris, R.; Gasperi, J.; Rocher, V.; Saad, M.; Renault, N.; Tassin, B.; Dris, R.; Gasperi, J.; Rocher, V.; Saad, M.; et al. Microplastic Contamination in an Urban Area: A Case Study in Greater Paris. *Environ. Chem.* **2015**, *12*, 592–599. [CrossRef]

14. Dris, R.; Gasperi, J.; Saad, M.; Mirande, C.; Tassin, B. Synthetic Fibers in Atmospheric Fallout: A Source of Microplastics in the Environment? *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2016**, *104*, 290–293. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Boucher, J.; Friot, D. *Primary Microplastics in the Oceans: A Global Evaluation of Spurces*; International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN): Gland, Switzerland, 2017.

16. Zhao, T.; Lozano, Y.M.; Rillig, M.C. Microplastics Increase Soil PH and Decrease Microbial Activities as a Function of Microplastic Shape, Polymer Type, and Exposure Time. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **2021**, *9*, 675803. [CrossRef]

17. Barboza, L.G.A.; Lopes, C.; Oliveira, P.; Bessa, F.; Otero, V.; Henriques, B.; Raimundo, J.; Caetano, M.; Vale, C.; Guilhermino, L. Microplastics in Wild Fish from North East Atlantic Ocean and Its Potential for Causing Neurotoxic Effects, Lipid Oxidative Damage, and Human Health Risks Associated with Ingestion Exposure. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *717*, 134625. [CrossRef]

18. Schwabl, P.; Köppel, S.; Königshofer, P.; Bucsics, T.; Trauner, M.; Reiberger, T.; Liebmann, B. Detection of Various Microplastics in Human Stool: A Prospective Case Series. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2019**, *171*, 453–457. [CrossRef]

19. Jenner, L.C.; Rotchell, J.M.; Bennett, R.T.; Cowen, M.; Tentzeris, V.; Sadofsky, L.R. Detection of Microplastics in Human Lung Tissue Using MFTIR Spectroscopy. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *831*, 154907. [CrossRef]

20. Grand View Research. *Synthetic Fibers Market Growth Analysis Report, 2021–2028*; Grand View Research: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2021; p. 145.

21. Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale. *Rapporto Rifiuti Speciali, Edizione 2020*; ISPRA—Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale: Rome, Italy, 2020; p. 611.

22. Brandt, A.M. Fibre Reinforced Cement-Based (FRC) Composites after over 40 Years of Development in Building and Civil Engineering. *Compos. Struct.* **2008**, *86*, 3–9. [CrossRef]

23. Signorini, C.; Sola, A.; Malchiodi, B.; Nobili, A. Highly Dissipative Fiber-Reinforced Concrete for Structural Screeds. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* **2022**, *34*, 04022022. [CrossRef]

24. Verma, D.; Goh, K.L. Effect of Mercerization/Alkali Surface Treatment of Natural Fibres and Their Utilization in Polymer Composites: Mechanical and Morphological Studies. *J. Compos. Sci.* **2021**, *5*, 175. [CrossRef]

25. Giraldo, L.J.Q.; Cruz, L.J.; García, J.A.; Alcaraz, A.; González, E.; Osorio, J.A. Incidence of Mercerization Treatment in the Mechanical Properties of Bamboo Fibre Bundles "Guadua Angustifolia Kunth" from Colombian Origin. *DYNA* **2019**, *86*, 156–163. [CrossRef]

26. Rizal, S.; Olaiya, F.G.; Saharudin, N.I.; Abdullah, C.K.; Olaiya, N.G.; Mohamad Haafiz, M.K.; Yahya, E.B.; Sabaruddin, F.A.; Ikramullah; Abdul Khalil, H.P.S. Isolation of Textile Waste Cellulose Nanofibrillated Fibre Reinforced in Polylactic Acid-Chitin Biodegradable Composite for Green Packaging Application. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 325. [CrossRef]

27. Hanoğlu, A.; Çay, A.; Yanık, J. Production of Biochars from Textile Fibres through Torrefaction and Their Characterisation. *Energy* **2019**, *166*, 664–673. [CrossRef]

28. Yousef, S.; Tatariants, M.; Tichonovas, M.; Kliucininkas, L.; Lukošiūtė, S.-I.; Yan, L. Sustainable Green Technology for Recovery of Cotton Fibers and Polyester from Textile Waste. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2020**, *254*, 120078. [CrossRef]

29. Rafikov, A.S.; Khakimova, M.S.; qizi Fayzullayeva, D.A.; Reyimov, A.F. Microstructure, Morphology and Strength of Cotton Yarns Sized by Collagen Solution. *Cellulose* **2020**, *27*, 10369–10384. [CrossRef]

30. National Research Council. *Guide for the Design and Construction of Fiber-Reinforced Concrete Structures 2007*; National Research Council: Rome, Italy, 2007.

31. Payrow, P.; Nokken, M.R.; Banu, D.; Feldman, D. Effect of Surface Treatment on the Post-Peak Residual Strength and Toughness of Polypropylene/Polyethylene-Blended Fiber-Reinforced Concrete. *J. Compos. Mater.* **2011**, *45*, 2047–2054. [CrossRef]

32. Latif, R.; Wakeel, S.; Zaman Khan, N.; Noor Siddiquee, A.; Lal Verma, S.; Akhtar Khan, Z. Surface Treatments of Plant Fibers and Their Effects on Mechanical Properties of Fiber-Reinforced Composites: A Review. *J. Reinf. Plast. Compos.* **2019**, *38*, 15–30. [CrossRef]

33. Signorini, C.; Sola, A.; Malchiodi, B.; Nobili, A.; Gatto, A. Failure Mechanism of Silica Coated Polypropylene Fibres for Fibre Reinforced Concrete (FRC). *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2020**, *236*, 117549. [CrossRef]

34. Shafei, B.; Kazemian, M.; Dopko, M.; Najimi, M. State-of-the-Art Review of Capabilities and Limitations of Polymer and Glass Fibers Used for Fiber-Reinforced Concrete. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 409. [CrossRef]

35. Zhong, H.; Zhang, M. Experimental Study on Engineering Properties of Concrete Reinforced with Hybrid Recycled Tyre Steel and Polypropylene Fibres. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2020**, *259*, 120914. [CrossRef]

36. Rostami, R.; Zarrebini, M.; Sanginabadi, K.; Mostofinejad, D.; Abtahi, S.M.; Fashandi, H. The Effect of Specific Surface Area of Macro Fibers on Energy Absorption Capacity of Concrete. *J. Text. Inst.* **2019**, *110*, 707–714. [CrossRef]

37. Arienzo, M.; Ferrara, L.; Trifuoggi, M. The Dual Role of Microplastics in Marine Environment: Sink and Vectors of Pollutants. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 642. [CrossRef]

38. Rodrigues, J.P.; Duarte, A.C.; Santos-Echeandía, J.; Rocha-Santos, T. Significance of Interactions between Microplastics and POPs in the Marine Environment: A Critical Overview. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *111*, 252–260. [CrossRef]

39. Luan, X.; Kou, X.; Zhang, L.; Chen, L.; Liu, W.; Cui, Z. Estimation and Prediction of Plastic Losses to the Environment in China from 1950 to 2050. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2022**, *184*, 106386. [CrossRef]

40. Siddiqui, M.O.R.; Sun, D. Development of Experimental Setup for Measuring the Thermal Conductivity of Textiles. *Cloth. Text. Res. J.* **2018**, *36*, 215–230. [CrossRef]