


## Article

# Semi-Local Convergence of Two Derivative-Free Methods of Order Six for Solving Equations under the Same Conditions

Ioannis K. Argyros<sup>1,\*</sup>, Christopher I. Argyros<sup>1</sup>, Jinny Ann John<sup>2</sup> and Jayakumar Jayaraman<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Computing and Mathematical Sciences, Cameron University, Lawton, OK 73505, USA<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Puducherry Technological University, Pondicherry 605014, India

\* Correspondence: iargyros@cameron.edu

**Abstract:** We propose the semi-local convergence of two derivative-free, competing methods of order six to address non-linear equations. The sufficient convergence criteria are the same, making a direct comparison between them possible. The existing convergence technique uses the standard Taylor series approach, which requires derivatives up to order seven. The novelty and originality of our work lies in the fact that in contrast to previous research works, our convergence theorems only demand the first derivative. In addition, formulas for determining the region of uniqueness for solution, convergence radii, and error estimations are suggested. Such results cannot be found in works relying on the seventh derivatives. As a consequence, we are able to broaden the utility of these productive methods. The confirmation of our convergence findings through application problems brings this research to a close.

**Keywords:** non-linear models; divided difference; derivative-free method; semi-local convergence; convergence ball

**MSC:** 37N30; 47J25; 49M15; 65H10; 65J15



**Citation:** Argyros, I.K.; Argyros, C.I.; John, J.A.; Jayaraman, J. Semi-Local Convergence of Two Derivative-Free Methods of Order Six for Solving Equations under the Same Conditions. *Foundations* **2022**, *2*, 1022–1030. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foundations2040068>

Academic Editor: Manuel Alberto M. Ferreira

Received: 5 September 2022

Accepted: 25 October 2022

Published: 1 November 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Let  $B$  denote a normed linear space that is complete. Suppose that  $D \subseteq B$  is a non-null, open, and convex set. Non-linear equations of the following type:

$$F(x) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $T : D \subseteq B \rightarrow B$  is derivable as per Fréchet, may be used to simulate a wide range of complex scientific and engineering issues [1–5]. Mathematicians have long struggled to overcome non-linearity. These equations are very difficult to solve analytically. Because of this, the employment of iterative methods to reach a conclusion is common among scientists and researchers. Newton's method is a popular iterative method for dealing with non-linear equations, but it is only of convergence order two. Many novel, higher-order iterative strategies for dealing with non-linear equations have been discovered in recent years and are currently being used [6–10]. However, the theorems on the convergence of these methods in most of these publications were derived by applying high-order derivatives. Furthermore, no results have been discussed regarding the error distances, radii of convergence, or the region in which the solution was the only one.

In research articles on iterative methods, it is crucial to determine the region where convergence is possible. Most of the time, the convergence zone is rather small. It is required to broaden the convergence domain without making any extra assumptions. Likewise, while investigating the convergence of iterative methods, exact error distances must be estimated. Taking these points into consideration, we developed convergence

theorems for two methods,  $GM_6$  (2) and  $SM_6$  (3), which were proposed in [11] and [9], respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= x_n + F(x_n), \\ v_n &= x_n - F(x_n), \end{aligned}$$

and  $[u_n, v_n; F]$  is the divided difference of order one [1,4].

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - [u_n, v_n; F]^{-1}F(x_n), \\ z_n &= y_n - M_n^{-1}F(y_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - M_n^{-1}F(z_n), \\ M_n &= 2[y_n, x_n; F] - [u_n, v_n; F], \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - [u_n, v_n; F]^{-1}F(x_n), \\ z_n &= y_n - (3I - 2[u_n, v_n; F]^{-1}[y_n, x_n; F])[u_n, v_n; F]^{-1}F(y_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - (3I - 2[u_n, v_n; F]^{-1}[y_n, x_n; F])[u_n, v_n; F]^{-1}F(z_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The convergence in these methods [9,11] is based on derivatives of  $F$  up to order seven and only offers the convergence rate. As a consequence, the applicability of these methods is limited. To emphasize this, we define  $F$  on  $D = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$  with the following equation:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x^3 \ln(x^2) + x^5 - x^4, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Next, it is easy to notice that due to the unboundedness of  $F'''$ , the results from the convergence of  $GM_6$  [11] and  $SM_6$  [9] do not stand true for this elementary example. Moreover, these articles do not provide any formula for approximating the error  $\|x_n - x_*\|$ , the convergence region, or the uniqueness and accurate location of the root  $x_*$ . This encourages us to develop the ball convergence theorems and hence compare the convergence domains of  $GM_6$  and  $SM_6$  by considering assumptions only on  $[\cdot, \cdot; F]$ . Our research provides important formulas for the estimation of  $\|x_n - x_*\|$  and the convergence radii. This study also discusses an exact location and the uniqueness of  $x_*$ .

It is worth noting that the articles in [9,11] did not provide such formulas and locations either. The originality and novelty of our work derived from this fact. The same advantages can be obtained if our methodology is applied to other single or multi-step methods using the inverses of divided differences or derivatives along the same lines [11–13]. In particular, our work in [1] used derivatives of order one. Therefore, it cannot be used to solve equations that contain non-differentiable operators. We have provided such an example in the numerical Section 4 (see Example 3).

The other contents of this material can be summarized as follows: In Section 2, we develop two scalar sequences that are proved as majorizing sequences for methods (2) and (3). Section 3 discusses the semi-local convergence properties of the methods under consideration ((2) and (3)). The numerical testing of convergence outcomes is described in Section 4. A discussion is given in Section 5, while concluding remarks are provided in Section 6.

## 2. Majorizing Sequences

Two scalar sequences are generated, which are shown to be majorizing for method (2) and method (3). Let  $s_0 \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 0$  be given parameters. Set  $T = [0, \infty)$ . Suppose the following:

There exist functions  $h_1 : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h_3 : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \psi_0 : T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which are continuous and non-decreasing such that for  $h_2(t) = h_1(t)t + s_0$  and  $h_4(t) = h_3(t)t + s_0$ , the following equation:

$$\psi_0(h_2(t), h_4(t)) - 1 = 0$$

has a least solution denoted by  $\rho_0 \in T - \{0\}$ . Set  $T_0 = [0, \rho_0]$ . Let  $\psi : T_0 \times T_0 \times T_0 \times T_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous and non-decreasing function. Moreover, define the first scalar sequence  $\{a_n\}$  with the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 0, \quad b_0 = s, \\ p_n &= \psi(a_n, b_n, h_2(a_n), h_4(a_n))(b_n - a_n), \\ q_n &= p_n + \psi_0(h_2(a_n), h_4(a_n)), \\ c_n &= b_n + \frac{p_n(b_n - a_n)}{1 - q_n}, \\ \lambda_n &= (1 + \psi_0(c_n, b_n))(c_n - b_n) + p_n, \\ a_{n+1} &= c_n + \frac{\lambda_n}{1 - q_n}, \\ \mu_{n+1} &= (1 + \psi_0(a_n, a_{n+1}))(a_{n+1} - a_n) + (1 + \psi_0(h_2(a_n), h_4(a_n)))(b_n - a_n), \\ \text{and } b_{n+1} &= a_{n+1} + \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{1 - \psi_0(h_2(a_{n+1}), h_4(a_{n+1}))}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The second scalar sequence is also denoted by  $\{a_n\}$  and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \frac{1}{1 - \psi_0(h_2(a_n), h_4(a_n))} \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{\psi(a_n, b_n, h_2(a_n), h_4(a_n))}{1 - \psi_0(h_2(a_n), h_4(a_n))} \right] p_n, \\ c_n &= b_n + \frac{\sigma_n p_n}{1 - q_n}, \\ a_{n+1} &= c_n + \sigma_n \lambda_n, \\ b_{n+1} &= a_{n+1} + \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{1 - \psi_0(h_2(a_{n+1}), h_4(a_{n+1}))}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The functions  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi$  are assumed to be symmetric without loss of generality. Next, a common convergence result is given. The limit point for each of them is called  $a^*$ , although it is generally not the same.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$*

$$q_n < 1, \quad \psi_0(a_n, b_n) < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad a_n < \tau \tag{7}$$

*for some  $\tau > 0$ . Then, the sequence generated by formula (5) (or formula (6)) is bounded from above by  $\tau$ , non-decreasing and convergent to each upper-bound (least)  $a^*$  such that  $a^* \in [s, \tau]$ .*

**Proof.** Definition (5) of sequence  $\{a_n\}$  (or sequence  $\{a_n\}$  given by formula (6)) and condition (7) immediately imply the result.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *The parameter  $\tau$  can be possibly chosen as  $\tau < \rho_0$ . However, it can also be chosen to simply satisfy condition (7), thus forcing the convergence for formula (5) or (6).*

The notation  $U(x, \alpha), U[x, \alpha]$  stands for the open and closed balls in  $B$ , with a center  $x \in D$  and a radius  $\alpha > 0$ .

### 3. Semi-Local Convergence

The common conditions connected to the parameters and functions of the previous section are described below.

Suppose the following:

(H<sub>1</sub>) There exists  $x_0 \in D$  such that  $x_0 + F(x_0), x_0 - F(x_0) \in D$ ,  $[u_0, v_0; F]^{-1}F'(x_0)^{-1} \in L(B, B)$ ,  $\|[u_0, v_0; F]^{-1}F(x_0)\| \leq s$ , and  $\|F(x_0)\| \leq s_0$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $\|F'(x_0)^{-1}([u_1, u_2; F] - F'(x_0))\| \leq \psi_0(\|u_1 - x_0\|, \|u_2 - x_0\|)$ ,  
 $\|I - [u_1, x_0; F]\| \leq h_1(\|u_1 - x_0\|)$ ,  
 $\|I + [u_2, x_0; F]\| \leq h_3(\|u_2 - x_0\|)$  for all  $u_1, u_2 \in D$ .  
 Set  $D_0 = D \cap U(x_0, \rho_0)$ .

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $\|F'(x_0)^{-1}([u_3, u_4; F] - [u_5, u_6; F])\| \leq \psi(\|u_3 - x_0\|, \|u_4 - x_0\|, \|u_5 - x_0\|, \|u_6 - x_0\|)$   
 for all  $u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6 \in D_0$ .

(H<sub>4</sub>) Condition (7) holds.

(H<sub>5</sub>)  $U[x_0, a_1^*] \subset D$ , where  $a_1^* = \max\{a^*, h_2(a^*), h_4(a^*)\}$ .

Next, the semi-local convergence is presented for method (2).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that conditions (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>5</sub>) hold. Then, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  starting at  $x_0 \in D$  and given by method (2) (or method (3)) is well-defined, remains in  $U(x_0, a^*)$ , and is convergent to some  $x_* \in U[x_0, a^*]$  satisfying  $F(x_*) = 0$ . Moreover, the following assertion holds for all  $n \geq 0$ :

$$\|x_* - x_n\| \leq a^* - a_n. \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** Mathematical induction is employed to show that

$$\|y_m - x_m\| \leq b_m - a_m, \tag{9}$$

$$\|z_m - y_m\| \leq c_m - b_m, \tag{10}$$

and

$$\|x_{m+1} - z_m\| \leq a_{m+1} - c_m. \tag{11}$$

Estimate (9) holds if  $m = 0$ , since according to condition (H<sub>1</sub>),

$$\|y_0 - x_0\| = \|[u_0, v_0; F]^{-1}F(x_0)\| \leq s = s - 0 = b_0 - a_0 < a^*,$$

and the iterate  $y_0 \in U(x_0, a^*)$ . We need the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_m - x_0\| &= \|x_m - x_0 - F(x_m) + F(x_0) - F(x_0)\| \\ &= \|(I - [x_m, x_0; F])(x_m - x_0) + F(x_0)\| \\ &\leq \|I - [x_m, x_0; F]\| \|x_m - x_0\| + \|F(x_0)\| \\ &\leq h_1(\|x_m - x_0\|) \|x_m - x_0\| + s_0 \\ &= h_2(\|x_m - x_0\|) \leq a_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain the equation below:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_m - x_0\| &\leq \|I + [x_m, x_0; F]\| \|x_m - x_0\| + \|F(x_0)\| \\ &\leq h_3(\|x_m - x_0\|) \|x_m - x_0\| + s_0 \\ &= h_4(\|x_m - x_0\|) \leq a_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u_m, v_m \in U(x_0, a^*)$ . By applying condition (H<sub>2</sub>), we obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F'(x_0)^{-1}([u_m, v_m; F] - F'(x_0))\| &\leq \psi_0(\|u_m - x_0\|, \|v_m - x_0\|) \\ &\leq \psi_0(h_2(a_m), h_4(a_m)) < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Then, the perturbation Lemma on linear operators attributed to Banach [4] asserts that  $[u_m, v_m; F]^{-1} \in L(B, B)$  and

$$\|[u_m, v_m; F]^{-1}F'(x_0)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \psi_0(h_2(a_m), h_4(a_m))}. \tag{13}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F'(x_0)^{-1}(M_m - F'(x_0))\| &\leq \|F'(x_0)^{-1}([y_m, x_m; F] - [u_m, v_m; F])\| + \|F'(x_0)^{-1}([y_m, x_m; F] - F'(x_0))\| \\ &\leq \psi(\|x_m - x_0\|, \|y_m - x_0\|, \|v_m - x_0\|, \|w_m - x_0\|) + \psi_0(\|x_m - x_0\|, \|y_m - x_0\|) \\ &= \bar{q}_m \leq q_m. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Moreover, according to the first sub-step of method (2), we can write the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} F(y_m) &= F(y_m) - F(x_m) - [u_m, v_m; F](y_m - x_m) \\ &= ([y_m, x_m; F] - [u_m, v_m; F])(y_m - x_m), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F'(x_0)^{-1}F(y_m)\| &\leq \psi(\|x_m - x_0\|, \|y_m - x_0\|, \|v_m - x_0\|, \|w_m - x_0\|)\|y_m - x_m\| \\ &= \bar{p}_m \leq p_m. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Consequently, with the second sub-step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_m - y_m\| &\leq \frac{\bar{p}_m}{1 - \bar{q}_m} \\ &\leq \frac{p_m}{1 - q_m} = c_m - b_m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_m - x_0\| &\leq \|z_m - y_m\| + \|y_m - x_0\| \\ &\leq c_m - b_m + b_m = c_m < a^*. \end{aligned}$$

That is, (10) holds and the iterate  $z_m \in U(x_0, a^*)$ .

In view of the identity  $F(z_m) = F(z_m) - F(y_m) + F(y_m) = [z_m, y_m; F](z_m - y_m) + F(y_m)$ , (15), and (H<sub>2</sub>), we can obtain the equation below:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F'(x_0)^{-1}F(z_m)\| &\leq (1 + \psi_0(\|z_m - x_0\|, \|y_m - x_0\|))\|z_m - y_m\| + \bar{p}_m \\ &= \bar{\lambda}_m \leq \lambda_m. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Therefore, with the third sub-step of methods (2) and (16), we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{m+1} - z_m\| &\leq \|M_m^{-1}F'(x_0)\|\|F'(x_0)^{-1}F(z_m)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{\lambda}_m}{1 - \bar{q}_m} \leq \frac{\lambda_m}{1 - q_m} = a_{m+1} - c_m \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|x_{m+1} - x_0\| \leq \|x_{m+1} - z_m\| + \|z_m - x_0\| \leq a_{m+1} - c_m + c_m = a_{m+1} < a^*.$

It thus follows that estimate (11) holds, and that the iterate  $x_{m+1} \in U(x_0, a^*)$ . Moreover, the first sub-step of method (2) gives the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_{m+1}) &= F(x_{m+1}) - F(x_m) - [u_m, v_m; F](y_m - x_m) \\ &= [x_{m+1}, x_m; F](x_{m+1} - x_m) - [u_m, v_m; F](y_m - x_m), \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F'(x_0)^{-1}F(x_{m+1})\| &\leq (1 + \psi_0(\|x_{m+1} - x_0\|, \|x_m - x_0\|))\|x_{m+1} - x_m\| \\ &\quad + (1 + \psi_0(\|u_m - x_0\|, \|v_m - x_0\|))\|y_m - x_m\| \quad (17) \\ &= \bar{\mu}_{m+1} \leq \mu_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, with (17) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{m+1} - x_{m+1}\| &\leq \|[u_{m+1}, v_{m+1}; F]^{-1}F'(x_0)\| \|F'(x_0)^{-1}F(x_{m+1})\| \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{\mu}_{m+1}}{1 - \psi_0(h_2(a_{m+1}), h_4(a_{m+1}))} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{1 - \psi_0(h_2(a_{m+1}), h_4(a_{m+1}))} = b_{m+1} - a_{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|y_{m+1} - x_0\| \leq \|y_{m+1} - x_{m+1}\| + \|x_{m+1} - x_0\|$   
 $\leq b_{m+1} - a_{m+1} - a_{m+1} = b_{m+1} < a^*.$

Thus, the induction for estimates (9)–(11) is finished.

According to Lemma (1), the sequence  $\{a_m\}$  is complete and convergent. It then follows from estimates (8)–(11) that the sequence  $\{x_m\}$  is also convergent in the Banach space  $B$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{x_m\}$  is convergent for some  $x_* \in U[x_0, a^*]$ . Then, using the continuity of the operator  $F$  and (17), we deduce that

$$F(a^*) = 0 \quad \text{if } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally, from the estimate below:

$$\|x_{m+j} - x_m\| \leq a_{m+j} - a_m \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we can obtain estimate (8) if  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

The uniqueness of the ball is discussed in the next result.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose the following:*

- (1) *There exists a solution  $\xi \in U(x_0, \rho_1)$  for some  $\rho_1 > 0$ .*
- (2) *Condition  $(H_2)$  holds on the ball  $U(x_0, \rho_1)$ .*
- (3) *There exists  $\rho_2 > \rho_1$  such that*

$$\psi_0(\rho_1, \rho_2) < 1. \quad (18)$$

Set  $D_2 = D \cap U[x_0, \rho_2]$ .

Then, the equation  $F(x) = 0$  is uniquely solvable by  $a^*$  in the region  $D_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\xi_1 \in D_2$  with  $F(\xi_1) = 0$ . Define the linear operator  $G = [\xi, \xi_1; F] = [\xi + F(\xi), \xi_1 + F(\xi_1); F]$ . It then follows from  $(H_2)$  and (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F'(x_0)^{-1}(G - F'(x_0))\| &\leq \psi_0(\|\xi - x_0\|, \|\xi_1 - x_0\|) \\ &\leq \psi_0(\rho_1, \rho_2) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that  $\xi = \xi_1$ , since the linear operator  $G$  is invertible, and  $G(\xi - \xi_1) = F(\xi) - F(\xi_1) = 0 - 0 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.**

- (1) *If all the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, then we can set  $\rho_1 = a^*$ .*

(2) The parameter  $\rho_0$  given in closed form can replace  $a^*$  in condition  $(H_5)$ .

Concerning the proof of Theorem 1, when method (3) is used instead, we notice the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_m - y_m\| &= \|(I + 2[u_m, v_m; F]^{-1}([u_m, v_m; F] - [y_m, x_m; F]))[u_m, v_m; F]^{-1}F(y_m)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \psi_0(\|u_m - x_0\|, \|v_m - x_0\|)} (1 + 2 \frac{\psi(\|x_m - x_0\|, \|y_m - y_0\|, \|v_m - v_0\|, \|u_m - u_0\|)}{1 - \psi_0(\|u_m - x_0\|, \|v_m - x_0\|)}) \bar{\rho}_m \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}_m \bar{\rho}_m \leq a_{m+1} - c_m \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{m+1} - z_m\| &\leq \bar{\sigma}_m \bar{\lambda}_m \\ &\leq \sigma_m \lambda_m \leq a_{m+1} - c_m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|y_{m+1} - x_{m+1}\| \leq b_{m+1} - a_{m+1}$ , as in method (2).

The rest is omitted as it is identical to the proof of Theorem 1.

#### 4. Numerical Examples

**Example 1.** Let  $B = \mathbb{R}^3, D = U[0, 1]$ . Define the function  $F$  on  $D$  for  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$  with the following equation:

$$F(x) = F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (e^{x_1} - 1, \frac{e - 1}{2} x_2^2 + x_2, x_3)^T$$

We have  $x_* = (0, 0, 0)^T$ . The divided difference is given by  $[x, y; F] = \int_0^1 F'(y + \theta(x - y))d\theta$  for all  $x, y \in D$ , with  $x \neq y$ . Moreover, by this definition, it follows that for  $x_0 = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1)^T$ , the  $(H)$  conditions are satisfied provided that  $\psi_0(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}(e - 1)(s + t)$ ,  $\psi(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}e(s + t)$  and  $\tau = \rho_0 = \frac{1}{e-1}$ . The iterates are as given in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Error estimates for Example (1).

Methods	$\ x_1 - x_*\ $	$\ x_2 - x_*\ $	$\ x_3 - x_*\ $	$\ x_4 - x_*\ $
Method (2) ( $GM_6$ )	$3.333 * 10^{-5}$	$4.85711 * 10^{-8}$	$4.65495 * 10^{-25}$	$3.60678 * 10^{-127}$
Method (3) ( $SM_6$ )	$2.65222 * 10^{-5}$	$9.93835 * 10^{-9}$	$2.75132 * 10^{-29}$	$1.23853 * 10^{-152}$

Then, we can see that methods (2) and (3) converge to  $x_*$ , and that the method ( $SM_6$ ) is faster in this example.

**Example 2.** Let  $B = \mathbb{R}^5, D = U[0, 1]$  and consider the system of five equations defined by

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^5 x_j - e^{x_i} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 5,$$

where  $x_* = (0.20388835470224016 \dots, 0.20388835470224016 \dots, 0.20388835470224016 \dots, 0.20388835470224016 \dots, 0.20388835470224016 \dots)^T$ .

Choose  $x_0 = (0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3)^T$ . The divided difference is given by  $[x, y; F] = \int_0^1 F'(y + \theta(x - y))d\theta$  for all  $x, y \in D$ , with  $x \neq y$ . Then, the error estimates are as given in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Error estimates for Example (2).

Methods	$\ x_1 - x_*\ $	$\ x_2 - x_*\ $	$\ x_3 - x_*\ $	$\ x_4 - x_*\ $
Method (2) ( $GM_6$ )	$7.4587996 * 10^{-3}$	$1.67162 * 10^{-9}$	$2.11783 * 10^{-49}$	$8.75714 * 10^{-289}$
Method (3) ( $SM_6$ )	$5.99747 * 10^{-3}$	$3.6518 * 10^{-10}$	$1.86099 * 10^{-53}$	$3.25959 * 10^{-313}$

Hence, we can conclude that methods (2) and (3) converge to  $x_*$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $B = (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . Let us consider the following non-linear system:

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 + |x - 1| - \frac{1}{4} &= 0 \\ x + |y| - \frac{3}{2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\|u\|_\infty = \|(u_1, u_2)\|_\infty = \max\{|u_1|, |u_2|\}$  for  $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The operator  $F = (F_1, F_2)$  connected to this system is defined by the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(u_1, u_2) &= u_2^2 + |u_1 - 1| - \frac{1}{4} \text{ and} \\ F_2(u_1, u_2) &= u_1 + |u_2| - \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, operator  $F$  is not differentiable. Hence, the methods containing the derivative cannot be used to solve this system [1,6]. We then use  $[u, v; F] \in M_{2 \times 2} \in \mathbb{R}$ , which is the set of  $2 \times 2$  matrices in  $\mathbb{R}$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [u, v; F]_{k,1} &= \frac{F_k(v_1, v_2) - F_k(u_1, v_2)}{v_1 - u_1} \\ [u, v; F]_{k,2} &= \frac{F_k(u_1, v_2) - F_k(u_1, u_2)}{v_2 - u_2} \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $v_1 \neq u_1$  and  $v_2 \neq u_2$ . We subsequently pick the initial point to be  $(0.8, -0.3)$ . Then, after two iterations, both methods (2) and (3) give the solution  $x_* = (1, -\frac{1}{2})$ .

**Example 4.** Consider the non-linear equation  $F(x) = x^3 - 20$  defined on  $B = \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D = U[2, 1]$ . We obtain  $x_* = 2.714417616594906572$ . Take  $x_0 = 2.7$ . The divided difference is given by  $[x, y; F] = \int_0^1 F'(y + \theta(x - y))d\theta$  for all  $x, y \in D$ , with  $x \neq y$ . Then, the error estimates are as given in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Error estimates for Example (4).

Methods	$\ x_1 - x_*\ $	$\ x_2 - x_*\ $	$\ x_3 - x_*\ $	$\ x_4 - x_*\ $
Method (2) ( $GM_6$ )	$4.62347 * 10^{-3}$	$5.09219 * 10^{-6}$	$9.08917 * 10^{-24}$	$2.93927 * 10^{-130}$
Method (3) ( $SM_6$ )	$3.65222 * 10^{-3}$	$1.01023 * 10^{-6}$	$4.524756 * 10^{-28}$	$3.65299 * 10^{-156}$

Hence, we can conclude that methods (2) and (3) converge to  $x_*$ .

### 5. Discussion

The semi-local convergence analysis of high convergence order methods has also been discussed in [1]. However, this was only performed for two-step methods under hypotheses on  $F''$  and using the method of recurrent functions. In the present paper, we dealt with three-step methods under weaker hypotheses, only on  $F'$  and under more general conditions. In addition, it must be noted that the second Fréchet derivative used in [1] did not appear in the methods of the present paper nor in the methods used in [1]. Hence, we also extended the applicability of these two-step methods in [1] as well as the three-step methods in the present paper. It is also worth noting that the technique developed in the present paper may be applied in the solutions of high-order boundary value problems of the form given in references [14–17].

### 6. Conclusions

A comparison was made between the convergence balls of two derivative-free equation solvers that are similar in efficiency. The ball convergence of  $GM_6$  and  $SM_6$  solely required a generalized Lipschitz continuity. Finally, our analytical conclusions were validated



against real-world application challenges. Our technique was demonstrated with the use of methods (2) and (3) as examples. However, the technique does not really depend on these methods. Therefore, it can be used on other single and multi-step methods using inverses of divided differences of order one or derivatives along the same lines [6,8,9,12]. This will be the topic of our future research.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; methodology, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; software, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; validation, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; formal analysis, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; investigation, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; resources, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; data curation, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; writing—original draft preparation, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; writing—review and editing, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; visualization, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; supervision, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; project administration, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J.; funding acquisition, I.K.A., C.I.A., J.A.J. and J.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations were used in this manuscript:

$L(U, V)$  Set of linear operators from  $U$  to  $V$   
 $\{a_n\}$  Scalar sequence

## References

1. Argyros, I.; Khattri, S. A unifying semi-local analysis for iterative algorithms of high convergence order. *J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2013**, *4*, 85–103.
2. Argyros, I.K. Unified convergence criteria for iterative Banach space valued methods with applications. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1942. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Argyros, I.K. *The Theory and Applications of Iteration Methods*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2022.
4. Kantorovich, L.V.; Akilov, G.P. *Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces*; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1964.
5. Magreñán, Á.A.; Gutiérrez, J.M. Real dynamics for damped Newton's method applied to cubic polynomials. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2015**, *275*, 527–538. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Behl, R.; Bhalla, S.; Magreñán, Á.A.; Moysi, A. An Optimal Derivative Free Family of Chebyshev–Halley's Method for Multiple Zeros. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 546. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Cordero, A.; Hueso, J.L.; Martínez, E.; Torregrosa, J.R. A modified Newton–Jarratt's composition. *Numer. Algorithms* **2010**, *55*, 87–99. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Ezquerro, J.; Hernández, M.; Romero, N.; Velasco, A. On Steffensen's method on Banach spaces. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2013**, *249*, 9–23. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Sharma, J.R.; Arora, H. An efficient derivative free iterative method for solving systems of nonlinear equations. *Appl. Anal. Discret. Math.* **2013**, *7*, 390–403. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Steffensen, J. Remarks on iteration. *Scand. Actuar. J.* **1933**, *1933*, 64–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Grau-Sánchez, M.; Noguera, M.; Amat, S. On the approximation of derivatives using divided difference operators preserving the local convergence order of iterative methods. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2013**, *237*, 363–372. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Hernández, M.; Rubio, M. A uniparametric family of iterative processes for solving nondifferentiable equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2002**, *275*, 821–834. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Liu, Z.; Zheng, Q.; Zhao, P. A variant of Steffensen's method of fourth-order convergence and its applications. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2010**, *216*, 1978–1983. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. El-Gamel, M.; Adel, W.; El-Azab, M. Bernoulli polynomial and the numerical solution of high-order boundary value problems. *Math. Nat. Sci.* **2019**, *4*, 45–59. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Adel, W.; Sabir, Z. Solving a new design of nonlinear second-order Lane–Emden pantograph delay differential model via Bernoulli collocation method. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **2020**, *135*, 427. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Adel, W. A fast and efficient scheme for solving a class of nonlinear Lienard's equations. *Math. Sci.* **2020**, *14*, 167–175. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Zahra, W.; Ouf, W.; El-Azab, M. A robust uniform B-spline collocation method for solving the generalized PHI-four equation. *Appl. Appl. Math. Int. J. (AAM)* **2016**, *11*, 24.