

Editorial

Statement of Peer Review

Nancy Chasquibol¹  and Claudia M. Haros^{2,*} 

¹ Ingeniería Industrial, Grupo de Investigación en Alimentos Funcionales, Instituto de Investigación Científica, Universidad de Lima, Av. Javier Prado Este 4600, Fundo Monterrico Chico, Surco, Lima 15023, Peru; nchasquibol@ulima.edu.pe

² Cereal Group, Instituto de Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos, Spanish Council for Scientific Research (IATA-CSIC), 46980 Valencia, Spain

* Correspondence: cmharos@iata.csic.es; Tel.: +34-963900022

In submitting conference proceedings to *Biology and Life Sciences Forum*, the volume editors of the proceedings certify to the publisher that all papers published in this volume have been subjected to peer review administered by the volume editors. Reviews were conducted by expert referees to the professional and scientific standards expected of a proceedings journal.

- Type of peer review: single-blind; double-blind; triple-blind; open; other (please describe): open; the editors
- Conference submission management system: the conference was organized through the web: <https://civf.ulima.edu.pe/>
- Number of submissions sent for review: 24
- Number of submissions accepted: 24
- Acceptance rate (number of submissions accepted/number of submissions received): all accepted
- Average number of reviews per paper: two or three
- Total number of reviewers involved: seven
- Any additional information on the review process: no comments

Reviewers' Criteria: The editors are the reviewers and are provided with guidelines and criteria by the conference organizers. These criteria include assessing the significance of the research, the rigor of the methodology, the clarity of presentation, the originality of the work, and the relevance to the field. Reviewers also comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the manuscript and may suggest improvements or revisions.

Editorial Decision: based on the feedback from peer reviewers, the editors make a decision. The possible decisions include the following:

- Acceptance: the manuscript is accepted for publication without major revisions.
- Minor Revisions: the manuscript needs minor changes or clarifications before acceptance.
- Major Revisions: the manuscript requires substantial revisions and needs to be reevaluated after revisions.
- Rejection: the manuscript does not meet the necessary quality and is not suitable for publication in its current form.

Revisions and Resubmission: If revisions are requested, the authors make the necessary changes to address the editors' comments and concerns. The revised manuscript is then resubmitted to the editors for further evaluation.

Final Decision: The Editorial or handling editor makes a final decision based on the revised manuscript and feedback from the authors. This decision may lead to acceptance, further revisions, or rejection.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.



Citation: Chasquibol, N.; Haros, C.M. Statement of Peer Review. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2024**, *37*, 26. <https://doi.org/10.3390/blsf2024037026>

Published: 23 December 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.