

Abstract

Using Antibiotics Scaffolds Will Warrant Novel Radiotracers for Effective Positron Emission Tomography Imaging of Infections: Triumph or Pitfall? †

Arno Christiaan Gouws^{1,2} , Hendrik Gerhardus Kruger¹ , Mike Machaba Sathekge^{2,3}, Jan Rijn Zeevaart^{2,4,5}, Thavendran Govender^{2,6}, Tricia Naicker^{1,2} and Thomas Ebenhan^{2,5,7,*}

¹ Catalysis and Peptide Research Unit, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4000, South Africa; 23445467arno@gmail.com (A.C.G.); kruger@ukzn.ac.za (H.G.K.); naickert1@ukzn.ac.za (T.N.)

² Nuclear Medicine Research Infrastructure NPC, Pretoria 0001, South Africa; mike.sathekge@sanumeri.co.za (M.M.S.); janrijn.zeevaart@sanumeri.co.za (J.R.Z.); goven-dert@unizulu.ac.za (T.G.)

³ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Steve Biko Academic Hospital, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0001, South Africa

⁴ Radiochemistry, The South African Nuclear Energy Corporation, Brits 0420, South Africa

⁵ DSI/Preclinical Drug Development Platform, North-West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

⁶ Department of Chemistry, University of Zululand, KwaDlangezwa 3886, South Africa

⁷ Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0001, South Africa

* Correspondence: thomas.ebenhan@up.ac.za

† Presented at the 2nd International Electronic Conference on Antibiotics—Drugs for Superbugs: Antibiotic Discovery, Modes of Action and Mechanisms of Resistance, 15–30 June 2022; Available online: <https://eca2022.sciforum.net/>.



Citation: Gouws, A.C.; Kruger, H.G.; Sathekge, M.M.; Zeevaart, J.R.; Govender, T.; Naicker, T.; Ebenhan, T. Using Antibiotics Scaffolds Will Warrant Novel Radiotracers for Effective Positron Emission Tomography Imaging of Infections: Triumph or Pitfall? *Med. Sci. Forum* **2022**, *12*, 36. <https://doi.org/10.3390/eca2022-12748>

Academic Editor: Manuel Simões

Published: 15 June 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: positron emission tomography; antibiotic-derived; imaging of infection; infection diagnosis; nuclear medicine; molecular imaging; radiopharmaceutical development; treatment monitoring; mechanism of action; antibiotics

1. Background

The excellent features of non-invasive molecular imaging, its progressive technology (real-time, whole-body imaging and quantification), and global impact by a growing infrastructure for positron emission tomography (PET) scanners are encouraging prospects to investigate new concepts which could transform clinical care of complex infectious diseases. Researchers are aiming towards the extension beyond the routinely available radiopharmaceuticals, looking for more effective tools that interact directly with causative pathogens. We were interested in investigating whether the actual use of antibiotics as PET radiotracers can be successful or might be too much of a challenge.

2. Methods

We systematically reviewed and critically evaluated antibiotic-derived PET radiopharmaceutical development efforts aimed at infection imaging either considered for (a) *radiotracer development for infection imaging* or (b) *radio-antibiotic-based PET imaging thereby supplementing other tools for pharmacologic drug characterization*. Overall, we evaluated a total of twenty original PET radiotracers derived from eleven different approved antibiotics (*listed below). A critical, in-depth assessment was performed, thereby revealing the challenges and pitfalls reflecting on antibiotics as an input for their radiopharmaceutical development as infection imaging agents.

3. Results

There are a few shortcomings, making the antibiotic scaffold for radiotracer development a questionable choice: (a) the radiolabeling protocol is unable to match with antibiotic

activity, (b) a risk of compromised tracer sensitivity, (c) the risk of questionable accuracy of visualizing infectious foci in humans, (d) the detrimental effect of the rampant (often only prophylactical) use of antibiotics, mismatching radiotracers of antibiotic origin, and (e) the occurrence of misjudged radiotracer bioavailability and unwanted biodistribution. Our conceivable strategies or solutions to overcome these pitfalls may therefore include: the more efficient, early-on usage of computational tests, data libraries or structure-activity-relationship (SAR) investigations, a proactive disregard for antibiotics with a cumbersome or predisposed mechanism of action (e.g., selection of molecules showing unique mechanisms of action), pre-emptive action towards the different isotopic mass effect for radiotracer preparation, opting for radiotracer testing in non-human primates to supplement phase 0 clinical trial safety dossiers, and selecting vectors (for drug-resistant pathogens) that support target overexpression or genetic redundancy. Particularly burdensome radiotracer ADME effects may be conquered by the following guidelines: prioritize antibiotics featuring a rapid clearance from high-risk organs for infection, perform candidate selection based on host-enzymatic and tissue-specific interactions, practice SAR-guided incorporation of a radiolabeled functional group, contemplate pharmacologically inert delivery systems, and solely permit radionuclide incorporation into non-cleavable prosthetic groups.

4. Conclusions

We found that antibiotic-derived PET radiotracer development is very scattered and often represented by single studies, afflicted with incoherent study designs which consequently introduce biases which, in turn, reduces the validity and reliability of otherwise promising results. However, the high-quality and extensive studies on carbon-11- and fluoride-18-labeled trimethoprim have sparked a new belief that antibiotics can become clinically relevant infection imaging agents that facilitate improving disease prognostication and allow for a more intricate understanding of the mechanisms governing antibiotic performance and emergence of resistance.

5. *List of PET Radiotracers

- (1) [¹¹C]trimethoprim
- (2) [¹⁸F]FP-trimethoprim
- (3) [¹⁸F]F-ciprofloxacin
- (4) [⁶⁸Ga]Ga(NOTA-SCN)-ciprofloxacin
- (5) [⁶⁸Ga]Ga(DOTA-SCN)-ciprofloxacin
- (6) [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTA-ciprofloxacin
- (7) [¹⁸F]FP-ciprofloxacin
- (8) [¹⁸F]F-lomefloxacin
- (9) [¹⁸F]F-fleroxacin
- (10) [¹⁸F]F-trovafoxacin
- (11) [¹¹C]isoniazid
- (12) 2-[¹⁸F]F-isoniazid
- (13) [¹¹C]PT70 (Isoniazid analogue)
- (14) [¹¹C]PT119 (Isoniazid analogue)
- (15) [¹¹C]pyrazinamide
- (16) 5-[¹⁸F]F-pyrazinamide
- (17) [¹⁸F]F-linezolid
- (18) [⁷⁶Br]Br-bedaquiline
- (19) [¹¹C]rifampin
- (20) [¹¹C]erythromycin

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/eca2022-12748/s1>.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.E. and A.C.G.; methodology, A.C.G. and T.E.; software, A.C.G., T.E, T.N., T.G. and J.R.Z.; validation, M.M.S., J.R.Z., H.G.K. and T.E.; formal analysis, A.C.G. and H.G.K.; investigation, A.C.G., T.E., T.N. and H.G.K.; resources, T.E., T.N., H.G.K., J.R.Z. and M.M.S.; data curation, A.C.G.; writing—original draft preparation, A.C.G.; writing—review and editing, A.C.G., T.E., J.R.Z., M.M.S., H.G.K., T.N. and T.G. visualization, A.C.G., T.E, T.N. and T.G.; supervision, T.E, T.N., T.G. and H.G.K.; project administration, T.N., T.G. and T.E.; funding acquisition, J.R.Z., M.M.S., H.G.K., T.N. and T.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.